

JOHN L. NAPIER
6TH DISTRICT, SOUTH CAROLINA

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

Washington, D.C. 20515

January 5, 1982

RE: Mr. Clarence M. Johnson

TO: Mr. Carlton C. Kammerer, Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Sir:

The attached is respectfully referred to you
for such consideration as may be appropriate, and
for a report thereon in duplicate, if possible.

Your assistance in enabling me to provide a
prompt response to my constituent is greatly
appreciated. PLEASE RETURN THIS CORRESPONDENCE
WITH YOUR REPLY.

Yours very truly,



John L. Napier
Member of Congress

DEC 31 1981

Clarence M. Johnson
Route 2 Box 275
Darlington, S.C. 29532

28 December 1981

The Honorable John L. Napier
U.S. House Of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Napier:

Thank you for your reply to my recent expression of interest in regards to gun control. Your stand against gun controls has been highly commendable and reveals your interest in constitutional government. Your honorable stand has recently been highlighted by the recent action that it was not funded and the firearms law enforcement responsibilities are being transferred to the Secret Service. Here, too, a very watchful eye is required so that constitutional government is maintained. It is now important to repeal the Gun Control Act of 1968.

The elimination of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms is only the tip of the iceberg. A careful and continued study is paramount for the elimination of a host of other bureaus and committees that are eating away the substance of the taxpayer. If they are eating away his substance they are eating away his life and his liberties. All three go hand in hand; when one is affected all are affected. To protect these three virtues is constitutional; to do otherwise is unconstitutional.

Therefore, I now come to the 'Tobacco Program' so recently saved from extinction by the vote of congress. I greatly question that this was a victory for the tobacco farmers as it leaves them in the same rut that they were placed in back in the '30's' and continues to allow the large producer large benefits and the small producer little or no benefits. Also, the burden of taxation to support these farm subsidies falls far heavier on the small farmer who has to pay the same price for his machinery and materials as does the large farmer. Too, eliminating the farm subsidies and the related programs would help balance the budget and lower the interest rate. This would do more to help the farmer than the U.S.D.A. could ever think of. It is not the roll of the federal government to subsidize any thing or any one. It causes the government to be thief - to take from the taxpayers and give to a selected few.

I know full well that from a political point of view you have gained and so have the bureaucrats concerned. It seems you have fallen from your throne of grace. I urge you to rethink your actions and work to secure self respect to your constituents.

An item of local concern that was introduced to the Darlington County Council by the county administrator is a multi-million dollar emergency operating center. The Administrator states that such a center is a requirement by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). We already have a multi-million dollar court house, an outreach center in Hartsville, Lamar and one to be built in Society Hill plus the Mazingo building in the city of Darlington. I am beginning to get the feeling that the Darlington County Council is in the construction business.

If the NRC is putting forth these requirements who is to benefit other than the producers of the goods required and bureaucrats concerned? I can see Civil Defense being improved so as to be capable of rendering adequate service in time of need, but the claimed requirements of the NRC seem to be getting out of hand. So I ask, is the Nuclear Facility at Lake Robinson manufacturing Atomic bombs that all this extra activity is necessary? A nuclear power plant is not an atom bomb.

Sincerely,

Clarence M. Johnson

JOHN L. NAPIER
6TH DISTRICT, SOUTH CAROLINA

COMMITTEE:
HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
AGRICULTURE

SUBCOMMITTEES:
TOBACCO AND PEANUTS
COTTON, RICE AND SUGAR
CONSERVATION, CREDIT AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT
ASSISTANT REGIONAL WHIP

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

ROOM 1511
LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515
202-225-3315
DISTRICT OFFICES:
201 WEST EVANS
P.O. Box 1931
FLORENCE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29503
803-667-9551
HORRY COUNTY COURTHOUSE
CONWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29521
803-248-6247

January 5, 1982

Mr. Clarence M. Johnson
Route 2, Box 275
Darlington, S.C. 29532

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for your recent letter and your kind comments concerning my position on gun control.

In regard to your comments on the tobacco price support program, I am pleased that the Congress rejected an amendment that would have abolished this vital program. This program is operated as a non-recourse loan program, and only normal administrative expenses are borne by the taxpayer. There is no payment of taxpayer funds to the tobacco grower. The program gears tobacco production to annual demand, maintains an adequate pricing structure, and prevents flooding the market with underpriced tobacco. The program has been very beneficial to many small farmers, as well as retired farmers and the widows of farmers. They are able to rent their allotments and thereby increase their incomes. There may well be some adjustments in the way the tobacco program is operated in future years. You may be assured that I will work to continue this program and to work for improvements that benefit the people of the District.

I have asked the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to comment on your concerns regarding the Robinson Nuclear Power Plant. As soon as I receive a reply to my inquiry, I will be back in touch with you.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of assistance to you in any other manner during the interim.

With kind regards,

Yours very truly,

John L. Napier
Member of Congress

JLN/bh