

Eric Jameson - RE: BetaCath SS&D amendment review

From: "Reed, Craig" <CReed@novoste.com>
To: Eric Jameson <EJameson@mail.dnr.state.ga.us>
Date: 02/18/2002 18:33
Subject: RE: BetaCath SS&D amendment review

Eric ,

Appreciate you perseverance on the effort despite the best (or worst) efforts of Bill Gates.

To your question, the individual sealed sources are way too small to bear any legible marking. However, the Transfer Device AND the permanent shielded storage container (white-colored lead lined box) each bear the standard radiation trefoil. In addition, the Transfer Device is individually and uniquely labeled to indicate manufacturer, serial number, isotope, activity and date of assay and the words "Caution - Radioactive Material".

Just send me a list of any drawings or protocols that you need and I'll FedEx'em or faxing them without delay.

Kind regards,

Craig

-----Original Message-----

From: Eric Jameson [mailto:EJameson@mail.dnr.state.ga.us]
Sent: Monday, February 18, 2002 5:13 PM
To: creed@novoste.com
Subject: BetaCath SS&D amendment review

Craig,

Just wanted to touch base with you to let you know status.

Between being under the weather, and fighting continued computer problems (damn Windows re-install) I didn't make much progress over the weekend.

I've gotten through most of the info today, and most of the questions I formulated were resolved as I continued reading in chronological order.

Only remaining question I have so far is:

Is there any indication at all on the individual sealed sources that the sources contain radioactive material (i.e., a trefoil)?

Additionally, I might ask for the non-redacted copies of drawings & test protocols, but only for the duration of the review period (would be returned with final signed documents). I'll be checking with Tom Hill tomorrow on that topic.

I'll resume later and continue to be in touch.

Sincerely,