



OFFICE OF THE  
INSPECTOR GENERAL

**UNITED STATES**  
**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 8, 2014

MEMORANDUM TO: Chairman Macfarlane

FROM: Hubert T. Bell */RA/*  
Inspector General

SUBJECT: AUDIT OF NRC'S FISCAL YEAR 2013 COMPLIANCE WITH THE  
IMPROPER PAYMENTS ELIMINATION AND RECOVERY ACT  
OF 2010 (OIG-14-A-14)

This report reflects the results of the Office of the Inspector General's (OIG's) audit of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 compliance with the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010 (IPERA).<sup>1</sup> OIG determined that NRC complied with the requirements of IPERA.

## **BACKGROUND**

On July 22, 2010, IPERA was signed into law, which amended the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (IPIA) and generally repealed the Recovery Auditing Act. IPERA also directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to issue implementing guidance to agencies. IPERA requires Federal agencies to periodically review all programs and activities that the agency administers and identify all programs and activities that may be susceptible to significant improper payments.<sup>2</sup> In addition, IPERA requires each agency to conduct recovery

---

<sup>1</sup> Public Law 111-204.

<sup>2</sup> According to IPERA, an improper payment is (A) any payment that should not have been made or that was made in an incorrect amount (including overpayments and underpayments) under statutory, contractual, administrative, or other legally applicable requirements; and (B) includes any payment to an ineligible recipient, any payment for an ineligible good or service, any duplicate payment, any payment for a good or service not received (except for such payments where authorized by law), and any payment that does not account for credit for applicable discounts. IPERA provides a detailed explanation of what is considered a "significant" improper payment (Section 2 (a) (3) (A)).

audits<sup>3</sup> with respect to each program and activity of the agency that expends \$1,000,000 or more annually, if conducting such audits would be cost-effective. On April 14, 2011, OMB issued Memorandum M-11-16, *Issuance of Revised Parts I and II to Appendix C of OMB Circular A-123*, as implementing guidance for the requirements of IPERA.

OMB guidance also specifies that each agency's Inspector General should review agency improper payment reporting in the agency's annual Performance and Accountability Report (PAR) or Annual Financial Report (AFR), and accompanying materials, to determine whether the agency complied with IPIA, as amended by IPERA.<sup>4</sup>

According to OMB guidance, compliance with IPIA, as amended by IPERA, means that the agency has:

- Published a PAR or AFR for the most recent FY and posted that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on the agency Web site.
- Conducted a program specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with Section 3321 of Title 31 U.S.C (if required).
- Published improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment (if required).
- Published programmatic corrective action plans in the PAR or AFR (if required).
- Published, and has met, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and measured for improper payments.
- Reported a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the PAR or AFR.
- Reported information on its efforts to recapture improper payments.

If the agency does not meet one or more of these requirements, it is not compliant with IPIA, as amended by IPERA. The agency's Inspector General should also evaluate the accuracy and completeness of agency reporting and performance in reducing and recapturing improper payments.

---

<sup>3</sup> Recovery audits are also referred to as "payment recapture audits."

<sup>4</sup> Although IPERA amends IPIA, the authorizing legislation is still named IPIA.

## **OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this audit was to assess NRC's compliance with the IPERA and report any material weaknesses in internal control.

## **RESULTS**

Based on our review of NRC's FY 2013 PAR and other documentation provided by the agency, OIG has determined that the agency is in compliance with the requirements of IPERA. OIG has also concluded that agency reporting of improper payments is accurate and complete.

## **AGENCY COMMENTS**

The Chief Financial Officer reviewed the OIG draft report and had no comments.

## **SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

We audited agency documents related to NRC's compliance with IPERA for FY 2013. We conducted our work at NRC headquarters during March 2014 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

This audit was conducted by Eric Rivera, Team Leader; Terri Cooper, Audit Manager; and Michael Steinberg, Senior Auditor.

If you have any questions, please contact Stephen D. Dingbaum, Assistant Inspector General for Audits, at 415-5915 or me at 415-5930.

cc: Commissioner Svinicki  
Commissioner Apostolakis  
Commissioner Magwood  
Commissioner Ostendorff  
J. Dyer, CFO  
M. Satorius, EDO