

Georgia Department of Natural Resources

205 Butler Street, S.E., East Floyd Tower, Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Joe D. Tanner, Commissioner
Harold F. Reheis, Director
Environmental Protection Division

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tom

FROM: Bill

DATE: May 2, 1994

SUBJECT: Honeywell Device Registration-GA 571D101G

The following is a summary of actions concerning the above named device registry amendment as you had requested.

1. On July 23, 1993, we submitted an amendment in entirety to Lloyd Bolling for this device indicating that the company name had been changed to Honeywell, Inc.
2. On April 13, 1994, the device registry sheet was returned from the Office of State Programs by Paul H. Lohaus with a note attached. The note stated the following:

If Honeywell is a new distributor for the product, they should be given a new vendor code. If this is only a name change, then a new vendor code may not be necessary. In general, when distributors change locations they are given a new vendor code. This may not be necessary if the move is within the same geographical area.

3. I contacted Mr. Lloyd Bolling to request a new vendor code in order to make the required change since Honeywell had acquired the company that had been distributing, and manufacturing the gauge.
4. Lloyd Bolling called me back later to ask the following questions concerning the acquisition of this company by Honeywell, Inc.

Was the previous distributor any longer involved with the distribution of any nuclear gauges? I told Mr. Bolling to my knowledge the previous distributor was no longer involved with nuclear gauges.

Mr. Bolling asked if the manufacturing process for these gauges had been changed since Honeywell, Inc. acquired the company.

I told Mr. Bolling to my knowledge the manufacturing process had not changed since the acquisition by Honeywell. NOTE: I confirmed this with Gary Caines, RSO-Honeywell, at a late date after talking to Mr. Bolling.

5. Mr. Bolling stated that based on the information provided that a new vendor code would not be necessary; however, we did need to indicate the name of the previous distributor on the device registry document.

Thus, it appears that when a company is totally acquired by another company a new vendor code is not necessary.