

FROM David Carle Orange, Calif.		CONTROL NUMBER 6654	FILE LOCATION
		DATE OF DOCUMENT 1/28/74	ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE 2/14/74
TO The President		ACTION PROCESSING DATES Acknowledged _____ Interim Reply _____ Final _____	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF: _____ Chairman _____ Director of Regulation X Giambusso
DESCRIPTION: Ltr <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Copy <input type="checkbox"/> Other Ref denial by California Coastal Conservation Commission of application for San Onofre and scheduled meeting of the Commission on Feb. 20 to reconsider their conclusion - objects to the destruction of the coastline Encl: photographs			REMARKS
REFERRED TO	DATE	IS NOTIFICATION TO THE JCAE RECOMMENDED?	
Giambusso f/action	2/6/74		
		Cys: O'Leary	
		Docket Files) 50-361 ✓	
		PDR -362	
		LPDR	
		Copy sent PDR	

NO. 74-3523LOGGING DATE February 5, 1974**AEC SECRETARIAT**

TO: ☐ COMMISSIONER ☐ GEN. MANAGER ☒ DIR. REGULATION ☐ GEN. COUNSEL ☐ PLAN. & ANAL. ☐ INFO. SERVICES ☐ SECRETARY

DATE: 2/5/74

INCOMING FROM: David Carle
3701 E. Chapman #9
Orange, Calif 92669

DATE: January 28, 1974SUBJECT: Proposed nuclear power plant site at
San Onofre.

PREPARE REPLY FOR SIGNATURE OF:

- ☐ CHAIRMAN
☐ COMMISSIONER
☐ GM, DR, GC, PA, IS, SECY
☐ SIGNATURE BLOCK OMITTED

☐ PLEASE RETURN ORIGINAL WITH RESPONSE☐ FOR DIRECT REPLY☐ SEND COPY OF REPLY TO:

- ☐ SECY MAIL FACILITY (3)
☐ CHAIRMAN
☐ COMMISSIONERS
☐ SECRETARY

- ☒ FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION
☐ FOR INFORMATION
☐ FOR RECOMMENDATION

REMARKS: _____

_____FOR THE COMMISSION: *Carle*

WHEN SEPARATED FROM ENCLOSURES
HANDLE THIS DOCUMENT AS _____

ACTION SLIP

Hearing
CB

January 28, 1974

28
mcl
AEC
President Richard M. Nixon
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear President Nixon:

I am sending the enclosed photos with this letter because of the interest you have shown in San Onofre in the past. As you know, it is an area just beginning to be known to the public; few of those who have visited the park are familiar with the particular section pictured. The prints, which came from a few of the forty I presented last fall at a California Coastal Conservation Commission (CCCC) hearing, tell their story but some additional facts might be helpful:

---the unique appearance is the result of light-colored sandstone lying under dark clay-silt; that combination then acted upon by wind and water erosion.

---although there are 4.5 miles of coastline at San Onofre, there is only 1 mile of the sandstone; .7 of that is in danger of being leveled, including all the canyons and pinnacles. Nowhere else in California or the nation, so far as I know, can this type of coastline be found.

Back in December, 1973 the CCCC denied a Southern California Edison permit application for nuclear power plants on this site. Many people saw only "Power Plant Application Denied," and not the reasons behind the decision, or the alternatives proposed to make the permit acceptable. Briefly (from the CCCC staff summary):

|| ---alternative sites close by are available.

---a study program to insure against a possible "ocean desert" offshore due to the cooling system could be carried out.

---delays that might have resulted from a change of plans should be minimized now (as you have urged the AEC) in this

DL 6654


Rec'd Off. Dir. of NRC
Date 2/5/74
Time 4:00

energy crisis. The proposed plants would not produce any electricity until 1979 or 1980, if there were no delays, anyway.

On February 20, 1974 the commission meets to reconsider their first conclusion that we can have both energy and environment. Your voice may be all that can get across the message now that such unique beauty does exist in Southern California to justify every effort to work at a compromise.

Thank you very much for your valuable time.

Respectfully,



David Carle
3701 E. Chapman #9
Orange, Calif.

92669