



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 5, 2014

Mr. Thomas D. Gatlin
Vice President, Nuclear Operations
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station
Post Office Box 88, Mail Code 800
Jenkinsville, SC 29065

SUBJECT: VIRGIL C. SUMMER NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1 – ACCEPTANCE REVIEW
CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE REQUEST (RR-4-04) FROM ASME CODE
REQUIREMENTS IN 4TH TEN YEAR INSERVICE INSPECTION INTERVAL
(TAC NO. MF3333)

Dear Mr. Gatlin:

By letter dated January 6, 2014, the South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G, the licensee) submitted a alternative request for Virgil C. Summer, Unit 1 (VCSNS) Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Accession No. ML14009A141. The purpose of this letter is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this alternative request. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

Pursuant to Sections 50.55a(a)(3)(i) and 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), the applicant shall demonstrate that the proposed alternatives would provide an acceptable level of quality and safety, or that compliance with the specified requirements of Section 50.55a would result in hardship or unusual difficulty without a compensating increase in the level of quality or safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed amendment in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. You will be advised of any further information needed to support the NRC staff's detailed technical review by separate correspondence.

T. Gatlin

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact me on (301) 415-1009.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Shawn Williams". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Shawn Williams, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-395

cc: Distribution via Listserv

T. Gatlin

- 2 -

If you have any questions, please contact me on (301) 415-1009.

Sincerely,
/RA/

Shawn Williams, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch II-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-395

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

LPL2-1 R/F

RidsAcrsAcnw_MailCTR Resource

RidsNrrDorlLpl2-1 Resource

RidsNrrLASFiguroa Resource

RidsNrrPMSummer Resource

RidsRgn2MailCenter Resource

NSIR_CSD Resource

JBillerbeck

ADAMS Accession No.: ML14031A219

OFFICE	LPLII-1/PM	LPLII-1/LA	LPLII-1/BC	LPLII-1/PM
NAME	SWilliams	SFiguroa	RPascarelli	SWilliams
DATE	2/5/14	2/4/14	2/5/14	2/5/14

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY