

FROM:

**Southern California Edison Co.**  
**Los Angeles, Calif.**  
**(C. D. Knodle)**

DATE OF DOCUMENT:

8-25-70

DATE RECEIVED:

8-28-70

NO.:

2744

LTR:

E

MEMO:

REPORT:

OTHER:

TO:

Eber Price

ORIG.:

1

CC:

OTHER:

ACTION NECESSARY ☐NO ACTION NECESSARY ☐CONCURRENCE ☐COMMENT ☐

DATE ANSWERED:

CLASSIF:

U

POST OFFICE

REG. NO.:

FILE CODE:

DOCKERS: 50-206, -361 and -362

DESCRIPTION: (Must Be Unclassified)

Ltr. re the construction of Units  
 2 and 3 of the San Onofre Nuclear  
 Station.....and trans:

ENCLOSURES:

(1 cy. each rec'd)

Map of San Onofre Nuclear Generating  
 Station.....

Map.....

REMARKS:

REFERRED TO

DATE

RECEIVED BY

DATE

Saltzman: 9-2

w/1 cy. ltr. &  
 both maps

Distribution:

3-reg. file cys. w/out encls.

3-WARC PDR

6-SAN &amp; LA PDRs

1-OCG (Ragelhardt - P-506R)

1-Leith (C-261)

ACKNOWLEDGED  
 DO NOT REMOVE

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

MAIL CONTROL FORM FORM AEC-3265  
 (8-60)

DOCKET NO. 50-206

- 361

- 362

Southern California Edison Company

P. O. BOX 351

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90053

TELEPHONE: 624-7111  
AREA CODE 213

August 25, 1970

Regulatory


File Cy.

H. FRED CHRISTIE  
TREASURER

C. D. KNODLE  
MANAGER OF INSURANCE

C. W. CAMPBELL  
R. J. PIKE  
INSURANCE ANALYSTS

Mr. Eber R. Price, Director  
Div. of State & Licensee Relations  
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission  
4915 St. Elmo Avenue  
Washington, D. C.



Dear Mr. Price:

Re: Construction of Units 2 & 3 -- San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

Several months ago, Mr. David Barry III, Southern California Edison Company Attorney, discussed with you the proposed construction of Units 2 & 3 at the above location. At that time, verbal approval was received from your office to the effect that the AEC would be willing to consider the new construction as being off-site as related to Unit 1 now in operation. Subsequently, approvals were also received from NEPIA and NELIA that they, too, would consider the new construction off-site if Course of Construction insurance could be purchased in the conventional market. We have confirmation that where the new construction will be considered off-site, conventional All Risk-Builder's Risk insurance will be made available to us in the conventional market.

Since receiving the above approvals, our Engineering and Construction Departments have requested that we amend the present site description contained in the NEPIA policy. The purpose of a change is so that we can utilize some of the vacant land contained within the Unit 1 site description. Since land at San Onofre is at a premium, it is vital to use unused portions of the Unit 1 site in connection with the construction of Units 2 & 3. I do not believe this revision should cause any great concern since the land is presently not in use and is an excludable item under our NEPIA policy.

Enclosed for your further consideration is a mark-up of the changes we wish to make in the Unit 1 site description. On the enclosed map, the red border

Mr. Eber R. Price

- 2 -

August 25, 1970

line represents the present Unit 1 site description. The blue shaded areas are the portions of land to be amended as being off-site.

The shaded area No. 3 is on a bluff approximately 90 feet above Unit 1 facilities and on the same level as the switchyards and Information Center which are now off-site properties. Area No. 3 will be used in part to house a new Information Center with the present Information Center to be torn down. Furthermore, we would intend for the new Information Center to be off-site upon completion.

The switchyards as shown in the upper right are also off-site properties with values excluded under the NEPIA policy. The existing switchyards separately owned by Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company are to be dismantled, moved to a temporary location up on the bluff, and later re-built. The shaded area No. 2 will in part be used for this purpose. In addition to the need for rebuilding and enlarging the switchyards, we also intend to re-build on a terrace concept as shown in the enclosed map. The re-design is to provide better seismic protection.

Area No. 3 is a laydown area for storage of materials and supplies associated with Units 2 & 3. Please note that the blue shaded areas with the exception of area No. 3 on the bluff will be fenced off from Unit 1. Also enclosed is a preliminary map which shows the location of Units 2 & 3 as related to Unit 1 with the proposed additional laydown area between Units 1 & 2.

The red shaded areas represent extensions of the access road and railroad spur. These improvements are not a part of Units 2 & 3 construction but will be associated therewith in the delivery of materials.

In order for us to proceed with various contracts, planning, and scheduling, may we have your early approval of the proposed revision to the Unit 1 site description. Our insurance brokers are presently contacting NEPIA and NELIA for their approvals.

If you need additional information, please let us know.

Sincerely,



CDK/sa  
Enclosures