

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:43 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** Comment of Jessie Collins.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51  
**FRN#:** 78FR56775  
**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246  
**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/20/13  
**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel  
**COMMENT#:** 00909

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 954

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4B9D)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 1/14/2014 7:42:37 AM  
**Received Date:** 1/14/2014 7:42:37 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:42:37 AM
Comment of Jessie Collins.pdf		100524

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**



## NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting  
Date:

12/02/2013

Meeting Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence DGEIS and  
Title: Proposed Rule - Perrysburg, Ohio

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

① Quit trying to push their agenda on the public. ② Don't license any more nuclear reactors. ③ Don't renew any expiring licenses. ④ Start figuring out what other line of work you would like to go into.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Please provide any additional comments:

I realize it is your job to protect & promote this deadly industry. However, I believe you understand the insanity of it all.

**OPTIONAL**

Name Jessie Collins Organization Alliance to Halt Termi 3  
Telephone No. 313-766-4311 E-Mail jessiepauline@gmail.com ☒ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE  
NECESSARY  
IF MAILED  
IN THE  
UNITED STATES

**BUSINESS REPLY MAIL**

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Sarah Lopas

MAIL STOP:  
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN-14C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Rec'd by  
NRC  
11/7/14