

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:42 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment 2 of Susan Fasten.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00908

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 953

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4B9B)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM
Received Date: 1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM
Comment 2 of Susan Fasten.pdf		126877

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: October 28, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Chelmsford, MA

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
THIS MEETING WAS A SHAM, IT WAS HELD SO THE NRC 1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. CAN SAY IT LISTENS TO THE PUBLIC'S CONCERNS.	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views. COMMENTS WERE CUT SHORT	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. NOT HEARD OR UNDERSTOOD	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER
IT IS NOT YOUR JOB TO "HOLLY THE MASSES" BY PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY WITH CLAIMS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS ARE "SMALL TO MODERATE" AND THAT NUCLEAR POWER IS ONE SOLUTION TO GLOBAL WARMING. WE ARE NOT STUPID. WE ALL UNDERSTAND THAT THE DAMAGE AND THREAT TO THE WORLD AND HUMANITY BY NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY MAKES GLOBAL WARMING LOOK LIKE CHILD'S PLAY.
ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE NO REAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS POSED BY THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. SHOW SOME HUMILITY!
RESPECT WHAT CRITICS HAVE TO SAY. INCLUDE THEIR REQUESTS, FEARS, AND CONCERNS IN YOUR "REGULATING". THEIR LIVES, FAMILIES, HOMES, AND COMMUNITIES ARE AT RISK. THEY KNOW WHAT THE PROBLEMS AND RISKS ARE AND YOUR BEST AND MOST INVESTED SOURCE FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND PRECAUTIONS NEEDED.
TREATING THE CRITICS OF THE PRESENT NUCLEAR SITUATION WITH ARROGANCE, DISMISSIVENESS, AND A SMUG AIR OF SUPERIORITY IS NOT CONSTRUCTIVE OR SMART.

Please provide any additional comments:

THESE CARING, DEDICATED, KNOWLEDGEABLE PEOPLE HAVE NOTHING TO GAIN
POLITICALLY OR FINANCIALLY, NO JOB TO PROTECT NO SUPERIORS TO
ANSWER TO. THEY SPEND THEIR OWN TIME AND MONEY TRAVELLING TO AND
PREPARING FOR THESE MEETINGS AND SPEAKING UP BECAUSE THEY
UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR LIVES AND ALL OF OUR LIVES ARE ON THE LINE.

DO YOUR JOB. REGULATE. EARN THE "CONFIDENCE" LABEL.

INVITE, INCORPORATE, AND EMBRACE THESE VERY CONCERNED,
KNOWLEDGEABLE, INVESTED CITIZENS IN YOUR DECISIONS AND
ACTIONS.

REMEMBER THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER

OPTIONAL

Name SUSAN FASTEN

Organization EARTH OCCUPANT

Telephone No. _____

E-Mail

SUFASTEN@AOL.COM

☐ Check here if you would like a
member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904



WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Susan Wittick
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Rec'd by NRC
1/7/14