

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:41 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of Susan Fasten.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00907

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 952

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4B97)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/14/2014 7:40:57 AM
Received Date: 1/14/2014 7:40:58 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:40:58 AM
Comment of Susan Fasten.pdf	131840	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: October 28, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Chelmsford, MA

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
THIS MEETING WAS A SHAM. IT WAS HELD SO THE NRC 1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. CAN SAY IT LISTENED TO THE PUBLIC'S CONCERNS.	①	2	3	4	5
IT HELPED ME TO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS NO 2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed. REGULATING THIS DEADLY TECHNOLOGY - ONLY IN PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY.	①	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views. MORE ATTENTION WAS PAID TO NOT LETTING THEM TALK TOO LONG THAN TO THE CONTENT OF THEIR VALID CONCERNS.	①	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. ATTENDEES' CONCERNS AND FACTS WERE BASICALLY DISMISSED AND IGNORED BY NRC STAFF.	①	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	①	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	①	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

- ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER. IT IS NOT YOUR JOB TO "HUMILIATE THE MASSES" BY PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY WITH CLAIMS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS ARE "SMALL TO MODERATE" AND THAT NUCLEAR POWER IS ONE SOLUTION TO GLOBAL WARMING. WE ARE NOT STUPID, WE ALL UNDERSTAND THAT THE DAMAGE AND THREAT TO HUMANITY AND THE WORLD BY NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY MAKES GLOBAL WARMING LOOK LIKE CHILD'S PLAY.
- ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE NO REAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS POSED BY THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. SHOW SOME HUMILITY.
- RESPECT WHAT ATTENDEES HAVE TO SAY AND INCLUDE THEIR REQUESTS, FEARS, AND CONCERNS IN YOUR "REGULATING." THEIR LIVES, FAMILIES, HOMES, AND COMMUNITIES ARE ON THE LINE. THEY KNOW WHAT THE PROBLEMS AND RISKS ARE AND ARE YOUR BEST SOURCE FOR THE CORRECTIONS NEEDED.
- TREATING THE CRITICS OF THE PRESENT NUCLEAR SITUATION WITH ARROGANCE, DISMISSIVENESS, AND A SINGULAR AIR OF SUPERIORITY IS NOT CONSTRUCTIVE OR SMART, NOR IS CUTTING THEIR COMMENTS SHORT. THESE CARING, DEDICATED PEOPLE HAVE

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Please provide any additional comments:

NOTHING TO GAIN POLITICALLY OR FINANCIALLY, HAVE NO JOB TO PROTECT, HAVE NO
SUPERIORS TO ANSWER TO. THEY SPEND THEIR DIME TIME AND MONEY
TRAVELING TO AND PREPARING FOR THESE MEETINGS AND SPEAKING UP
BECAUSE THEY UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR LIVES AND ALL OF OUR LIVES
ARE ON THE LINE,
WHY DO YOU NOT GET IT THAT YOUR LIVES AND FAMILIES AND COMMUNITIES
ARE ON THE LINE AS WELL?

DO YOUR JOB, REGULATE, EARN THE "CONFIDENCE" LABEL,
EMBRACE AND INCORPORATE THESE VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE, CONCERNED,
INVESTED CITIZENS IN YOUR DECISIONS AND ACTIONS,

REMEMBER THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER.

OPTIONAL

Name SUSAN FASTEN

Organization HUMAN RACE

Telephone No. _____

E-Mail SWFASTEN@AOL.COM

☐ Check here if you would like a
member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC


POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Susan Wittick
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555-0001



Rec'd by NRC
1/7/14