

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 12:34 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of Anonymous 5.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00895

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 940

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435D73747)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/8/2014 12:33:37 PM
Received Date: 1/8/2014 12:33:37 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/8/2014 12:33:37 PM
Comment of Anonymous 5.pdf	70442	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: 12/02/2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence DGEIS and Proposed Rule - Perrysburg, Ohio

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose.	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

I don't think the NRC really listens to people who are concerned about the extreme dangers of nuclear issues, including nuclear waste. If they ever listened they wouldn't propose rules to disenfranchise the public of their rights to protect the environment and therefore strip us of rights to equality, happiness etc.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: (12/31/2013)

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Please provide any additional comments:

OPTIONAL

Name _____ Organization _____

Telephone No. _____ E-Mail _____ ☐ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Sarah Lopas	MAIL STOP: (HQ Staff Only) 3WFN-14C64
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001	



205550001

