

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 12:21 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of Deb Arnason.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00884

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 929

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435D73722)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/8/2014 12:20:40 PM
Received Date: 1/8/2014 12:20:41 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/8/2014 12:20:41 PM
Comment of Deb Arnason.pdf	115764	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: November 4, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Charlotte, NC

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. Perhaps	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed. felt we were sold a bill of goods, heard only from industry employees about "safe"	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient. For me - live 50 miles away	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. I don't know - we will see.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced. Based on wishful thinking by those whose jobs depend on denial!	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting. They did what they are paid to do	1	2	3	4	5

Go Renewables by 2020! Become the RRC! Keep your jobs and nuclear waste piling up!

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

I think the NRC did a good, non-combative job of conducting the hearing. (But do they listen really?) However, from past experience and the FACT that the only participants who were FOR the GOTS and more nuclear were folks whose JOBS depended on "Liking nuclear" and vouching for its safety.
 Something wrong with this picture! End nuclear energy and find better ways to handle existing wastes in place - Do Not Create more wastes we don't know what to do with!

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: (12/31/2013)

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Thank you. Disavow "Waste Confidence" when it is impossible to guarantee the safe future of nuclear waste.
 Go Renewables by 2020! We can do it.

Please provide any additional comments:

Stop digging! End making more nuclear radioactive waste + store in hardened storage existing waste where it is!

Renewables by 2020 and thus relicensing will be unnecessary - follow Germany (not Areva - France does not know what to do with wastes themselves!) Stop taking money from nuclear industry - prevent Fukushima America esp here in the S. State "Sacrifice Zone" where we live! Thanks

OPTIONAL

Become RRC: (Renewables Regulatory Commission)

Name Deb Aronson

Organization

many groups advocating renewables now!

Telephone No. 704-851-3925 E-Mail

☒ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: TR Rowe
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555-0001

