

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 12:20 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of A David Rossin.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00883

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 928

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435D7371F)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/8/2014 12:19:41 PM
Received Date: 1/8/2014 12:19:43 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 254 | 1/8/2014 12:19:43 PM |
| Comment of A David Rossin.pdf | | 97952 |

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: November 6, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Orlando, FL

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

| | "STRONGLY DISAGREE" | "DISAGREE" | "NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE" | "AGREE" | "STRONGLY AGREE" |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|
| 1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

Introduction to Comment period was repetitive and a total time-waster. Each NRC speaker said the same thing the previous speaker had said. We all know that this show is only a show. NRC has all the info it needs. The Chair belongs in the show biz of public comment. If anything new actually came out of this meeting or any other, it would be a huge surprise! We were told however comments would be important. But our time is apparently not.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Please provide any additional comments:

We all understand that the "public meeting" can be felt to be necessary when active critics can challenge NRC actions. But when funds are tight, they should have low priority. I wanted to ask if any of the costs of this set of public meetings were covered by any of the Waste Fund. You can reply by email. Actually, it is the Commissioners who must compile evidence and make decisions. Then tell the public what the decisions are and why they were made. It is much more important that we hear from you. (We pay for you to do this, you do not pay us.)

OPTIONAL

Name A. David Rossin Organization Retired
Telephone No. 941-358-6902 E-Mail ADRossin@msn.com ☒ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PERMIT NO. 12904

WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: TR Rowe
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555

