

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 31, 2013 9:05 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** Comment from Mark Stansbery received via fax.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51  
**FRN#:** 78FR56775  
**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246  
**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/20/13  
**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel  
**COMMENT#:** 00818

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 845

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A529)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 12/31/2013 9:05:23 AM  
**Received Date:** 12/31/2013 9:05:24 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	254	12/31/2013 9:05:24 AM
Comment from Mark Stansbery received via fax.pdf		36703

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

December 20, 2013


RE: Docket ID No. NRC-2012-0246

Hello Nuclear Regulatory Commission Secretary:

As in the NRC Perrysburg Waste Confidence hearing, where I offered oral testimony, I encourage **"NO ACTION"** on this propose rule. The rule change would make the relicensing of future operations of existing nuclear power plants and the storage of spent fuel rods and radioactive waste contingent on a Generic Environmental Impact Statement. The GEIS would not take into account any site-specific concerns that have arisen such as 1) the lack of confidence in the NRC to develop a long term waste repository; 2) the lack of confidence in the NRC to correct structural conditions of existing a nuclear power plant operator's ability to store safely waste material and spent fuel rods, especially in the case of operational malfunction, natural disaster, or deliberate malfeasance; and 3) the lack of confidence in the NRC to fully disposition future license applications without a site-specific Environmental Impact Study incorporated into the process.

In short, I recommend the NRC to take **"NO ACTION"** on the NRC waste confidence rule change proposed in Docket ID No. NRC-2012-0246.

Sincerely Submitted by,



Mark D. Stansbery  
Secretary  
Community Organizing Center  
1101 Bryden Road  
Columbus, Ohio 43205 USA  
614-252-9255  
walk@igc.org