

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 4:50 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1172 hanna.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246

SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00798

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 824

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A1B3)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/26/2013 4:49:59 PM
Received Date: 12/26/2013 4:49:59 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	256	12/26/2013 4:49:59 PM
1172 hanna.pdf	76318	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013
Received: December 20, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-89e5-2u8x
Comments Due: December 20, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1172

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Helen Hanna

Address:

183 Gifford Way

Sacramento, CA, 95864-6907

Email: helenhanna@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

I am writing in strong support of the San Clemente City Council Resolution 13-53, and I strongly urge the NRC to make public safety its very highest priority, true to the mission with which it has been entrusted. I am especially concerned about the proposed plan for storing nuclear waste. The high burnup fuel used at San Onofre is twice as radioactive as lower burnup fuel, and there is no approved method for safely storing it in dry casks for more than 20 years. Nor is there any approved method for transporting it. Our state government documents even indicate that California has plenty of power without nuclear. These facts must be taken into consideration.