

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 4:35 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1189 dew.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00787

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 813

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A1A6)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/26/2013 4:35:18 PM
Received Date: 12/26/2013 4:35:19 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/26/2013 4:35:19 PM
1189 dew.pdf	78268	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013 Received: December 20, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-89ef-knz7 Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1189

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Jane Dew

Address:

2909 Eagle Point Road
Middleburg, FL, 32068

Email: lunarenchantments@gmail.com

General Comment

There needs to be a long term storage solution or nuclear power is just an accident waiting to happen. It isn't acceptable to continue to store the spent fuel on site in either wet or dry storage. Not only is it a environmental concern, it is also a national security nightmare waiting to happen. And though these plants need a coolant to keep them from overheating, another way should be found as we risk our water supply and the associated health problems of introducing additional radioactive materials into our environment.

The process of moving this fuel to a final storage solution should be treated with the same care as moving the rockets that launch items into space. This includes a military escort to secure these materials.

As to where to put them, I believe scientists would be the best people to answer this question. But I think any American community would fight tooth and nail against it. Therein lies the problem. Where to put the radioactive spent fuel. Wherever is chosen, it should be guarded forever. Placing it in another country is totally unacceptable from a moral and national security perspective.