

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 4:25 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1179 kuttler.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00774

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 800

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A198)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/26/2013 4:25:05 PM
Received Date: 12/26/2013 4:25:06 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/26/2013 4:25:06 PM
1179 kuttler.pdf	78019	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013 Received: December 20, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-89e9-iidg Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1179

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Eugeni KUTTLER

General Comment

Dear NRC: your proposed decision with regard to storage of nuclear waste at San Onofre shows that you are not being professional or competent in your duties! Your sworn duty is to protect the public, not to rubber-stamp the industry agenda. If you're only going to rubber-stamp, then why are you in this job? --- Protecting the public means conducting a professional analysis of the individual site conditions and determining safety in light of hazards such as the possibility of earthquakes, potential dangers to populations in the area, to public transportation close to the facility, and other factors. You are supposed to do your due diligence in this regard, and I am appalled that you have not done so! Be prepared for lots of legal actions against you! We do not want you to jeopardize our children's future! DO YOUR JOB!

Very Sincerely,
Christina Imhoof

I totally agree
PEOPLE, NOT PROFITS