

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 26, 2013 3:58 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** 1134 maher.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51  
**FRN#:** 78FR56775  
**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246  
**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/20/13  
**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel  
**COMMENT#:** 00766

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 792

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A188)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 12/26/2013 3:57:48 PM  
**Received Date:** 12/26/2013 3:57:49 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/26/2013 3:57:49 PM
1134 maher.pdf	77009	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Received:</b> December 19, 2013 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-89dw-qkbo <b>Comments Due:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1134

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Ed Maher

**Address:**

3650 Via Alicia

Oceanside, CA, 92056

**Email:** ed\_maher@sbcglobal.net

---

## General Comment

I am very concerned that the waste confidence plan does not adequately address the specific risks at the San Onofre site, especially considering the proximity to major population, the large amount of waste material, and the extended period for containing special highly radioactive fuel.

Coastal Southern California is one of the worst places to store nuclear waste. There seems to be no real plan for permanently storing waste either. I would like to see the waste moved to a permanent storage facility. If there is no such facility existing, the waste should never have been created in the first place. The benefit of electricity generation was small, considering that there is no shortage now without the plant running. The risk should something go wrong is devastating.