

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 24, 2013 9:46 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** 1128 bojelebs.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51  
**FRN#:** 78FR56775  
**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246  
**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/19/13  
**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel  
**COMMENT#:** 00644

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 672

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C49FE7)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 12/24/2013 9:46:09 AM  
**Received Date:** 12/24/2013 9:46:10 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/24/2013 9:46:10 AM
1128 bojelebs.pdf	77480	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Received:</b> December 19, 2013 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-89dv-2ygi <b>Comments Due:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1128

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Nannette BojeLebs

## General Comment

Why can't it be more than adequately wrapped and packaged to insure safe shipping, protecting it from accidents of any kind. kind, shipped. Then to be stored underground in New Mexico with the rest of the Nuclear Waste.

California is not safe ground, we have not had a earth quake of powerful magnitude in the San Clemente area.

Even worse if there is an earthquake in this area, we could have another Japan incident which is radiating the ocean and our fish and moving to all coasts i that meet the pacific ocean

Unfortunately, there are not many options and no idea is really safe everlasting forever.

I think the tax payers would pay for this if fairly charged.

Respectfully,  
Nannette Boje0Lebs

PS I respect your position, My Husbands family all worked on and in the San Clemente Plant, my father worked for the Atomic Energy Commission. I do not submit this commit lightly.