

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 24, 2013 9:46 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** 1125 headrick.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51  
**FRN#:** 78FR56775  
**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246  
**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/19/13  
**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel  
**COMMENT#:** 00640

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 668

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C49FE3)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 12/24/2013 9:46:08 AM  
**Received Date:** 12/24/2013 9:46:09 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/24/2013 9:46:09 AM
1125 headrick.pdf	78151	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Received:</b> December 19, 2013 <b>Status:</b> Pending_Post <b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-89dv-jlc5 <b>Comments Due:</b> December 20, 2013 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
--

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1125

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Gary Headrick

## General Comment

The analysis makes no distinctions among all of the nuclear power plants covered by the GEIS with regard to levels of seismic risk (earthquakes and tsunamis), regional population levels, proximity to transportation corridors, etc.

In the GEIS Executive Summary, the stated purpose of this ruling is for the efficiencies that would be gained, minimizing expenditures and avoiding delays in licensing reviews. This apparent bias towards the industry seems to contradict the sole purpose of the NRC in protecting the public and the environment.

This report only reinforces the growing mistrust of nuclear regulators who would play down the risk of storing nuclear waste wherever it may be presently, apparently bending to the will of the industry they are supposed to regulate. Suggesting that we will be able to rely on unproven or non-existent technology for safe storage of nuclear waste for thousands of years puts the future of our entire nation at risk.

If there was just one lesson to be learned from the ongoing nuclear disaster in Japan it is that our best science and engineering is no match for the unpredictable forces of nature. It is time to stop the nuclear waste con and make it a national priority to find real solutions to stop this ticking time bomb before it is too late.

Here is what needs to be done:

- Make it a national priority to come up with real solutions to long term nuclear waste storage;
- Abandon the generic "one size fits all" approach and instead, make site-specific environmental reports and recommendations;
- Immediately reduce spent fuel pool density to original design standards, without exemptions;
- Accelerate ongoing hardened, on-site storage of spent fuel at all reactor sites.
- Cease production of all nuclear waste.