

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:42 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1131 maurer.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/19/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00635

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 660

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C49FA3)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/24/2013 8:42:29 AM
Received Date: 12/24/2013 8:42:29 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/24/2013 8:42:29 AM
1131 maurer.pdf	78504	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013 Received: December 19, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-89dv-6p8z Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1131

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: William Maurer

Address:

140 Gifford St
 FaLmouth, MA, 02540

Email: wmmaurer@comcast.net

General Comment

It is just plain foolish to generically say there will be minimal environmental impact:

1. regardless of the site. Site specific EA and EIS need to be undertaken.
2. regardless of the time frame. Whether it be 60 years, 160 years or (arrogantly) indefinitely.

The reality of being close to safe SNF storage plan has been a con job from day one. The latest Waste Confidence document is simply more fraudulent language making promises and predictions that can not and will not be accomplished or attained.

You are obviously kicking the can down the road, again.

Face it, the current nuclear reactor sites are and will continue to be de facto nuclear waste dump sites for an indeterminable period of time into the future. The NRC, DOE and nuclear energy industry need to man up and tell the truth....you're no closer to having a realistically safe storage plan for the long term (100 + years) or the indefinite term, than you were 50 years ago.

This document is "generically" smoke and mirrors.....labeled as "confidence" in title only. Shame on you for passing this document off as science when it's little more than wishful and magical thinking designed to create the perception of confidence.