

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 11:10 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Subject: FW: Docket ID No. NRC-2012-0246

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/18/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00528

-----Original Message-----

From: Sheryl Senkiw [<mailto:ssenkiw@comcast.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 7:53 PM
To: RulemakingComments Resource
Cc: Sheryl Senkiw
Subject: re: Docket ID No. NRC–2012–0246

Thank you for giving me, as a citizen of the United States of America, the opportunity to comment on this important issue. I would have gone to the meeting in Minnesota to make my comments in person, but the road conditions that evening were bad.

First, I reject the NRC's waste confidence draft Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS), and I ask the NRC to withdraw it for thorough revision.

Second, no industry should be allowed to continue creating waste that it has no ability to dispose of. The waste generated by nuclear power are the most toxic known to humankind, and remain that way forever. The NRC therefore must insist that all nuclear reactors stop operations, and deal with the waste that has already been created.

Third, the NRC should not issue new reactor licenses, nor grant license extensions to old operating reactors.

Fourth, nowhere in my state of Minnesota is a good place for a radioactive waste dump. No place in the USA is a good place for a radioactive waste dump. No place on earth is a good place for a radioactive waste dump. We do not want any more high-level radioactive wastes coming "to or through" our state. We do not want to see more high-level, long-lived radioactive waste coming to proposed "centralized interim storage" waste facilities here or anywhere else.

Furthermore, the NRC should be empowered and expanded to protect the citizens of the USA from the radioactive nuclear waste that already exists, and to do everything possible to keep more from being created. The NRC should also be focusing its attention on the ongoing emergency of radioactive contamination coming from Fukushima Japan, and protecting US citizens from that disaster. Beyond that, the NRC should focus on finding ways for the US to be powered by solar energy, perhaps changing its name to the Nuclear Regulatory and Solar Energy Expansion Agency.

Respectfully submitted,

Sheryl Senkiw
Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 553

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C49765)

Subject: FW: Docket ID No. NRC-2012-0246
Sent Date: 12/19/2013 11:09:35 AM
Received Date: 12/19/2013 11:09:38 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" <Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2483	12/19/2013 11:09:38 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: