

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2013 3:19 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 0925 magda.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/16/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00464

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 488

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433AE476D)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/18/2013 3:18:34 PM
Received Date: 12/18/2013 3:19:26 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	244	12/18/2013 3:19:26 PM
0925 magda.pdf	79164	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 17, 2013 Received: December 16, 2013 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1jx-89bu-y6zd Comments Due: December 20, 2013 Submission Type: Web
--

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0925

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Marni Magda

Address:

460 Oak Stree

Laguna Beach, CA, 92651

Email: marnimagda@gmail.com

General Comment

Spent fuel at San Onofre must be removed to MRS immediately. A waste policy for all nuclear plants must include looking at each individually. Nuclear waste is not like other dangers. A probability curve is crazy. Japan knew they should be safe until the not probable happened. San Onofre is in danger everyday due to firestorm from regular seasonal high winds, due to earthquake, tsunami, rising ocean levels, and terrorist attack. We are not a remote nuclear location. 8.4 million people will have to be relocated. A for profit energy company with its profit/risk tables cannot make the decommission plans. Unit 1 at SONGS is proof, 20 years buried still in progress for decommissioning. The world has changed in its dangers for nuclear spent fuel. We must act now. Final deposit geological disposal dry storage casks no matter what the cost must be used for San Onofre spent fuel. We must get it out of here NOW! Not in 2 years, 5 years, 30 years, 60 years. Everyday we are playing Russian Roulette with Southern California's future.