

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 3:38 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 0865 palaia.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/9/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00400

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 422

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433AE3D70)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/16/2013 3:38:06 PM
Received Date: 12/16/2013 3:38:26 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	253	12/16/2013 3:38:26 PM
0865 palaia.pdf	76532	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 13, 2013
Received: December 09, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-8979-1xel
Comments Due: December 20, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0865

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Joyce Palaia

General Comment

I fail to see how the NRC can continue to license plants when there is no central place to store nuclear waste other than onsite. Many of the fuel pools are already filled to overcapacity, yet we continue to produce more fuel rods. It makes no sense. PG&E has proven that they are very good at public relations. However, accidents like San Bruno leave me to wonder how careful they are. No matter, PG&E believes that the ratepayers should pay for their mistakes rather than their CEOs or shareholders. It is pretty hard to trust them, and we are within a few miles of a radiation producing plant that could have problems at any moment. We should consider closing Diablo as well all nuclear plants.