

From: Hardles, Robert
To: Fairbanks, Carolyn; Rosenberg, Stacey; Kirk, Mark; Stevens, Gary; Noye, Carol; Csontos, Aladar; Poehler, Jeffrey; Davis, Robert
Subject: Doel 3 plans and proposed actions
Date: Thursday, December 20, 2012 8:48:15 AM
Attachments: french letter to fanc end of october voiceing concern.docx.docx
CODEP-DEP-2012-058585 - courrier AFCN Doel 3 - Consolidé.pdf

Just to bring everyone up to date:

Recent events:

Electrobel published their safety case and associated documents; we have reviewed it.

Mark Kirk participated in expert review panel

ASN visited NRC to discuss materials issues

Gary and Jeff provided feedback to FANC for their prospective working groups. The feedback was positive.

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

Future actions that are planned

NRO may intervene in receipt inspection of new vessels to see if they are affected.

Carolyn will update the Comm plan to reflect the possible or probable permanent shut down of Doel and Tihange (be prepared for questions from stakeholders)

Carolyn will finish the draft information notice

Mark and I will travel to FANC for the Jan 7-8 closeout/summary meeting

Stacey and I will attempt to get on the LT schedule before Jan 15 to indicate that FANC is likely not to approve restart and to propose a contingency for generic communications to require plants to review records (one complication is that it will not be possible to get on the calendar since there are no LT meetings between the FANC review

E/56

meeting and the 15th).

FANC will announce decision (expected January 15)

Industry will discuss the activity to perform a PFM analysis on the

January 15 materials industry exec/NRC management phone call

FANC will publically release the Electrobelt analyses, reports and

findings, and the recommendations of the internal, external,

international and expert review groups in mid-late January, we expect.

We have scheduled a public meeting with industry (to permit all of our

stakeholders the opportunity to learn) to discuss industry and NRC

proposed actions.

Farther out, we will receive the industry analysis for review

We will review the industry analysis

Other things we need to do:

We need to get together and strategize what our short, intermediate

and long term activities should be. With holidays and travel, the only

dates that seem to be available where most of us are here are Jan 11

and Jan 14. I will send out a scheduler.

Our current primary approach is to rely on the excellent technical

evaluation of Doel and Tihange, and our prior engineering

understanding of laminar flaws and our acceptance of the ASME Code

to provide us reasonable assurance that U.S. plants do not have a

safety issue. As an added tangible activity, industry is performing an

analysis, we are holding a public meeting, and we are issuing an

information notice. We may also want to have plants review their

original records and/or perform a zero degree exam on their forgings.

Robert Hardies

Senior Level Advisor for Materials Engineering

Division of Engineering

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Office Phone 301 415-5802

Cell

(b)(6)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4).(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)

(b)(4),(b)(7)(D)