

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8707160855 DOC. DATE: 87/06/15 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-206 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Southern California 05000206
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 SIMPSON, F. B. EG&G Idaho, Inc. (subs. of EG&G, Inc.)
 SIMPSON, F. B. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 MEINKE, W. NRC - No Detailed Affiliation Given

SUBJECT: Forwards EGG-PHY-7602, "Technical Evaluation Rept for
 Radiological Effluent Release & Environmental Operating
 Repts for 1985 - San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
 Unit 1, " *see repts*"

DISTRIBUTION CODE: *HO01D* COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2+120
 TITLE: File Location Distribution: NRR Docket 50

NOTES: License Exp date in accordance with 10CFR2.2.109. 05000206

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
INTERNAL:	<u>REG FILE</u> 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1
			LTR	ENCL
			1	1

ADD: R. DUDLEY

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 4 ENCL 4



Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

50-206/

June 15, 1987

Mr. Wayne Meinke
EWW 360
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

TRANSMITTAL OF TER DOCUMENTING EG&G IDAHO'S REVIEW OF RETS-RELATED REPORTS
FOR THE SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION UNIT 1- SIM-60-87.

Dear Mr. Meinke:

Seven copies of the subject Technical Evaluation Report (TER) are transmitted herewith under the provisions of Task 3 of FIN A6808, "Selected Operating Reactors Issues Program III." Also enclosed is a set of photo prints (PMT's) of all the figures.

The enclosed TER documents EG&G Idaho's review of radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (RETS)-related reports for the San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 1. A draft TER was provided earlier for your review and comment and was found to be acceptable. In preparing this TER we evaluated the 1985 reports against the requirements of the San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 1 RETS as amended through Amendment No. 90 dated August 5, 1985.

The following items discuss some observations made concerning the Licensee's reports during the review:

1. The terms "onsite", and "exclusion area" are used to describe geographical locations. The term "onsite" apparently refers to the area within the Licensee's property lines, whereas "exclusion area" refers to about four times the size of the property.
2. The Licensee reported more dissolved and entrained gases in liquid effluents than are reported from many other reactors.
3. An apparent discrepancy was noted when comparing the activity of particulates in gaseous effluents obtained by summing the nuclides reported with the activity reported in the "Licensee's Summation of

8707160855 870615
PDR ADOCK 05000206
P PDR



Idaho, Inc.

P.O. Box 1625

Idaho Falls, ID 83415

Handwritten: Hool Add: R. Dudley 11
Rto Ench

Mr. Wayne Meinke
June 15, 1987
SIM-60-87
Page 2

All Releases" table. The difference in activities is explained by the inclusion of radionuclides with half-lives of less than eight days in the tabulation of nuclides, but not in the "Summation of All Releases."

Sincerely,

F.B. Simpson by E. Huggins
F. B. Simpson
Project Manager

EHM:ssc

Enclosed:
As stated

cc: M. Carrington, NRC
G. L. Jones, DOE-ID
J. O. Zane, EG&G Idaho, Inc. (w/o Enclosure)