

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 7901260144 DOC.DATE: 79/01/09 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACILITY: 50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station-2, Southern Cal 05000361
 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station-3, Southern Cal 05000362
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 LUM,F.C. Agriculture, Dept. of
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 REGAN,W.H. Environmental Project Branch 2

SUBJECT: Comments on draft environ statements. Suggests erosion control plan be developed & further discussion in statement on use of 19 acres of prime land.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: C002B COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 1
 TITLE: ENVIRON. COMMENTS.

NOTES: Send all FSAR + ER amendments to L. Chandler

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	05 PM O. Lynch	1	17 BC EPB#2	1
	18 LA EPB#2	1	AD Moore	1
INTERNAL:	01 REG FILE	1	02 NRC PDR	1
	01 [unclear]	2	09 ENVN SPEC BR	1
	10 CST BNFT ANL	1	11 TA/EDO	1
	12 AD SITE TECH	2	14 ACIDENT ANALY	1
	15 EFLT TRT SYS	1	16 RAD ASMT BR	1
	19 DIR DSE	1	AD ENVIRON TECH	1
	AD SITE ANALY	1	OELD	1
EXTERNAL:	03 LPDR	1	04 NSIC	1
	20 NATL LAB ORNL	5	ACRS	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR 28 ENCL

env 4 6D

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

2828 Chiles Road, Davis, CA 95616

January 9, 1979

William H. Regan, Jr., Chief
Environmental Projects Branch 2
Division of Site Safety and
Environmental Analysis
United States Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Docket No.: 50-361
and 50-362

Dear Mr. Regan:

We acknowledge receipt of the draft environmental statement for San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company in San Diego County, California, that was addressed to USDA Soil Conservation Service on November 30, 1978, for review and comment.


We have reviewed the above draft and have the following comments.

1) Provisions for erosion control and water management during construction as well as conservation treatment of disturbed areas following construction were inadequately addressed. We suggest that an erosion control plan be developed to adequately address the erosion hazard both during and following construction.

2) Approximately 10 acres of prime land will be lost to access roads and transmission towers. Mitigation or projected impacts from this loss were not adequately discussed. We suggest further discussion in the statement to address the prime land issue.

We appreciate the opportunity to review and comment on this proposed project.

Sincerely,


FRANCIS C. H. LUM
State Conservationist

REGULATORY DOCKET FILE COPY

7901260144

002
ES
110


2