

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8107130085 DOC. DATE: 81/07/08 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-361 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Southern California 05000361
 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Station, Unit 3, Southern California 05000362

AUTH. NAME: AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 ROLFE, D. Affiliation Unknown
 VAUGHAN, R. Affiliation Unknown
 RECIP. NAME: RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 Commissioners

SUBJECT: Package of two ltrs opposing licensing of facilities.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: DS03S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 5
 TITLE: Filings (Not Orig by NRC)

NOTES: Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000361
 1 cy: J. Hanchett (Region V), D. Scaletti, 1 cy of all envir info
 Send all FSAR & ER amends to L Chandler. 05000362
 1 cy: J. Hanchett (Region V), D. Scaletti, 1 cy of all envir info

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
ACTION:	LIC BR #3 BC ROOD, H.	1 1	LIC BR #3 LA	1
INTERNAL:	ASLAP I&E OELD, BLANTON PUBLIC AFFAIRS	5 2 1 1	ASLB NRC PDR OGC REG FILE	1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR NTIS	1 1	NSIC	1

JUL 15 1981

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTR

ENCL

20
19



0
19

1

503
S1/0

U.S. NUCLEAR Regulatory Comm. — 50-361-36

I am totally against the licensing of the Saw Owoofre NUCLEAR REACTOR because of past mishaps earthquake fault & energy surplus.



Reactor #1 has already leaked radioactive water into our ocean with the recent discovery of 360 cubic yards of Radioactive sand on the beach It makes you wonder how much damage has already taken place. Even if the smallest amount it is a crime. I ~~have~~ have lived in the area for twenty years & and have used the Saw Owoofre churches Trestle area for water recreation through surfing & fishing and wonder how have I been poisoned? Now I am quite skeptical about going in the ocean now & totally refuse to eat anything from it for fear of being further poisoned. What is

even worse is that storage ponds for Radioactive waste are not built to earthquake standards furthering chances of an accident.

B107130085 B10708
PDR ADDCK 05000361
PDR

It seems to be that building a nuclear power plant directly on a earthquake seems quite senseless. The chances of a ~~misadventure~~ ^{accident} should be avoided & plants should not be built on ~~the~~ ^a fault. Now the expansion of SAN ONOFE makes it even more ridiculous especially since the ~~fact~~ U.S. geological survey says could produce a quake ten times larger than it is built to with stand. How can this be tolerated? ~~a misadventure could kill 400,000 people~~ It seem like almost asking for an accident. ~~via~~ ^{how} can it be not built to earthquake standards & be licensed? — Just so rich heads of Bechtel and others can make bucks? Jeopardizing the lives of 400,000 people is a sin. ~~especially~~ ^{especially} so a few can make some big bucks.

What is also ridiculous is even having a evacuation plan - yet saying it would work. Ha - ~~the~~ ~~can~~ when an evacuation from Sandiego to Los Angeles is to take place a lot of people will be on the roads in their cars where will they all go? ^{seriously} In a panic situation with all those ~~with~~ people how ~~would~~ escape many could.

1971.2.27! - what a joke!

- when the California energy commission projects a 19-22% surplus electricity this year without San Onofre or Diablo Canyon - what is the reason to licence San Onofre or Diablo Canyon. ~~Please check out everything~~ why take the chance of a terrible accident when it is not necessary? It is not worth it if we even need the power - but to ~~let~~ let it

be licensed and operated is a
big mistake. The decision will be
made by you so please make
one to ~~for~~ benefit the people
& insure safety please.

~~Be licensed and operated~~
ITS NOT WORTH IT

STOP SAW OWNER!

Dave Rolfe

34446 CALLE CARMELITA
CAPISTRANO BEACH CA
92624

Presidio Pacific Marketing


BOOKET NUMBER
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-361-362

BOOKET NUMBER

P. O. Box 3430 San Clemente, CA 92672

7-4

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission



I do not support additional
licensing of nuclear generating
power plants until 99 1/2 %
of risk has been eliminated.

The risk of a location, I believe,
at or near a proven earthquake

fault is too risky.

There are too many alternatives
to additional power
sources, that

can be developed
with no threat
to man or the
environment.

Regards,

Ron Vaughan

DS03
5/10

