

Cheruvengi, Ganesh

17

From: Kim, James
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2012 11:21 AM
To: Kim, James; Cheruvengi, Ganesh
Cc: Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Jessup, William; Scarbrough, Thomas; Sheng, Simon
Subject: RE: VY Steam Dryer Inspection Reports

Gentlemen,

GE is planning to bring down the reports to a local office in Rockville for you to review the reports. Please provide me with a couple of dates for next week that are good for you to review the reports.

Thanks
Jim Kim

From: Kim, James
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:05 AM
To: Cheruvengi, Ganesh
Cc: Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Jessup, William; Scarbrough, Thomas; Sheng, Simon
Subject: VY Steam Dryer Inspection Reports

Gentlemen,

VY is working with GE representative, Patricia Campbell, concerning the logistics of gathering and bring down the inspection reports and INRs. Please let me know what dates are good for you to review the reports. The earliest date they can bring the reports is the week of March 26th.

Thanks
Jim Kim

From: Kim, James
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2012 8:45 AM
To: Cheruvengi, Ganesh
Cc: Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Jessup, William; Scarbrough, Thomas; Sheng, Simon
Subject: RE: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.

Gentlemen,

VY has provided the final counts for GE reports and INRs regarding the steam dryer inspections.

There are 3 RFO24 evaluations, 1 RFO25 evaluation, 2 RFO26 evaluations, 1 RFO27 evaluation and 1 RFO28 evaluation to make 8 in all.

There are 4 INR's from GE for RFO24, 7 INF's from AREVA for RFO25, 17 INR's from GE for RFO26, 24 INR's from AREVA for RFO27, 23 INR's from AREVA for RFO28 and 1 INR from GE for RFO29. These INR's and INF's from GE and AREVA are just for the steam dryer and note that there are sometimes more than one indication per INR or INF.

Thanks
Jim Kim

From: Cheruvengi, Ganesh
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 2:23 PM

To: Kim, James
Cc: Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Jessup, William; Scarbrough, Thomas; Sheng, Simon
Subject: RE: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.

Jim,

Please inform the licensee that disposition of the detected flaws is critical for our review. If Simon is not satisfied, we may have to reject the request.

From: Sheng, Simon *MSK*
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 2:09 PM
To: Cheruvenki, Ganesh
Cc: Kim, James; Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Jessup, William; Scarbrough, Thomas
Subject: RE: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.

Ganesh,

I am more interested in reports documenting disposition of the detected flaws. Do these 20 or so inspection reports also contain information regarding disposition of the detected flaws? Or GE has separate reports to document the disposition?

Simon

From: Cheruvenki, Ganesh *MSK*
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 1:48 PM
To: Kim, James; Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Scarbrough, Thomas; Jessup, William; Sheng, Simon
Subject: RE: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.

It is OK with me. At least Pani/Tom and Simon should be present.

From: Kim, James
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2012 10:32 AM
To: Cheruvenki, Ganesh; Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Scarbrough, Thomas; Jessup, William; Sheng, Simon
Subject: RE: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.

Gentlemen,

VY has proposed that both GE and VY representatives are willing to bring the inspections reports (approx. 20 GE proprietary inspection reports) to NRC for tech staff to review the steam dryer inspection reports and answer any questions NRC tech staff may have. Please let me know if this approach is acceptable.

Thanks
Jim Kim

From: Cheruvenki, Ganesh
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 8:59 AM
To: Basavaraju, Chakrapani; Scarbrough, Thomas; Jessup, William; Sheng, Simon
Cc: Kim, James
Subject: Inspections--Steam dryer--Today's meeting at 1.00 pm at O9B6.
Importance: High

Pani, Tom, Simon and Billy

Here is my draft report on the inspection results (RF-26, 27 and 28) of the steam dryer and it contains status of new indications. I still think we need to see the GE evaluation report which can provide information on the licensee's justification for using less frequent inspections.