

ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

From: WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA) [Dennis.Williford@areva.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2013 10:23 AM
To: Snyder, Amy; Gleaves, Bill
Cc: LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA); SHARPE Robert (AREVA)
Subject: Request for further Discussion via Telecon on RAI 511, Question 06.04-10(b)(II)

Amy and Billy,

We would like to discuss the comment provided below on RAI 511, Question 06.04-10 during next Monday afternoon's telecon [April 22nd] (starting at 3 pm – possibly followed by the RAI 525 discussion proposed yesterday with several potential date/time options). We request that the tech spec reviewer (unsure whether Hein Le or Jim O'Driscoll made this comment) be present for this call. We will have our tech spec folks present to discuss.

NRC Question 06.04-10:

(b) II. The staff noted that Tier 2 chapter 16 section B 3.7.10, describe actions to be taken for toxic gas isolation: “[The actions taken in the toxic gas isolation state are the same, except that the control room operator switches the CREF to a filtration alignment to minimize any outside air from entering the CRE though the CRE boundary.]” This description details the response of the CREF to a toxic gas event in the standard design description that appears to be inconsistent with the RG 1.78 guidance quoted in RAI 462, Question 06.04-7. The staff believes it should be revised to be consistent with the reviewer's note that was added to the same paragraph: “The need for toxic gas isolation state will be determined by the COL applicant”.

Advanced Response:

b.II. In the generic Technical Specifications, text in brackets represents content that individual applicants will use as is, modify or delete. These references to toxic gas should remain in the U.S. EPR FSAR.

Follow-up comment:

The staff has reviewed your advanced response to Question 06.04-10 item b II. The staff believes that the revised bases associated with the CRACS Technical Specification discussed in your Advanced Response to RAI 511 Question 06.04.10, on Page B 3.7.12-3 [typo in comment – should be B 3.7.10-3], of FSAR Revision 4, Chapter 16 TS bases still needs to be revised. Although bracketed information represents content that individual applicants may use as-is or modify, The staff would not approve, without further information, the bracketed text if an applicant chose to use it as-is. Since this bracketed text is proposed by the DC applicant, and would be included in the DC FSAR, The staff requests that you address the staffs question.

Thanks,
Dennis

Dennis Williford, P.E.
U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager
AREVA NP Inc.

7207 IBM Drive, Mail Code CLT 2B
Charlotte, NC 28262
Phone: 704-805-2223
Email: Dennis.Williford@areva.com

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs
Email Number: 4389

Mail Envelope Properties (554210743EFE354B8D5741BEB695E65611773F)

Subject: Request for further Discussion via Telecon on RAI 511, Question 06.04-10(b)(II)
Sent Date: 4/18/2013 10:23:21 AM
Received Date: 4/18/2013 10:23:24 AM
From: WILLIFORD Dennis (AREVA)

Created By: Dennis.Williford@areva.com

Recipients:

"LENTZ Tony (EXTERNAL AREVA)" <Tony.Lentz.ext@areva.com>
Tracking Status: None
"SHARPE Robert (AREVA)" <Robert.Sharpe@areva.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Snyder, Amy" <Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Gleaves, Bill" <Bill.Gleaves@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: FUSLYNCMX03.fdom.ad.corp

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2934	4/18/2013 10:23:24 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: