

From: Jackson, Donald
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2012 8:58 AM
To: Muller, David
Cc: McHale, John; Steely, Chris
Subject: Appeal Comments

Importance: High

Just a few comments of mine, please incorporate these and Steely's, and then let's get this to Jack...ASAP:

My title is: Chief- Operations Branch, Region I...please reflect correctly in the document

Check procedure step format throughout document....C8b, C.8b, C.8.b, etc

Scenario 3, Event 4 "Results of Review"- Panel disagreed with grading and assigned errors to two different "areas".....change "areas" to rating factors

Scenario 6, Event 4 Step D10 vice D1O....Looks like capital I instead of a number 1.

Under each "The review determined the following" section.....each numbered item needs more formality and full sentences.

The section where you explain how the rating factor grades go from 1 to 2 based on a justification of another correct action...as discussed, this needs to be expanded and more specificity added, to ensure all who read the document understand the Exam Standard and the specific interpretation on how we are grading each rating factor.

Again, this is a fantastic effort !!! Thanks for the support!!

Very Respectfully,

Don Jackson

Chief- Region I, Operations Branch
 (610) 337-5306