

McHale, John

From: McHale, John
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 1:20 PM
To: 'Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla)'
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Ms. Smith,

I am sorry that the review of your operating test is not yet complete, however as I mentioned, this case is more complex than the typical appeals that we see and is consuming more than the usual review time. Rest assured, we are giving your case every consideration and are completing a very detailed review of the operating test you were administered to ensure that all of the issues you raised will be addressed. At this point, there is no additional information that we need from you but we will not hesitate to contact you should that become necessary. I know how important this matter is to you and thank you for your continued patience.

Jack McHale, Chief
 Operator Licensing & Training Branch
 Division of Inspection & Regional Support
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
 (301) 415-3254
John.McHale@nrc.gov

From: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla) [<mailto:CCSmith@southernco.com>]
Sent: Friday, September 14, 2012 10:48 AM
To: McHale, John
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Mr. Hale,

I am sending this email to request another status update on the review of my license denial. Obviously there is not an estimated time identified for the completion of this review. Because it is impossible to determine how long it will take to complete the request, I wanted to make sure I periodically check on the status. If you are aware of any circumstances that will significantly cause delay or cause a problem in determining a decision please let me know. If there is any assistance that I can provide or any questions, my number is listed below. I hope that you can be understanding of my position and efforts to stay as informed as possible on the progress of this process.

Thanks
 Charlissa Smith
 706 - 339 - 1285
 Docket number : 55-23694

From: McHale, John [<mailto:John.McHale@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2012 10:47 AM
To: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla)
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Ms. Smith:

We are still reviewing your package. Since it contains a larger amount of information than is typically associated with reviews of written test items, and you raised issues over the handling of a potential waiver of the operating test, we have decided to use a panel to complete the review. Besides reviewing the record of grading, the panel is also interviewing the examiners and other regional staff. This approach results in the need for additional time. Although 75 days is typical for completing most administrative reviews, it is only a guideline, and the complexity of this case will require more time. I thank you for your patience as we continue our work on this matter. We will endeavor to complete our review as soon as we can based on all of the schedule priorities of the panel members. I can't give you a firm date for completion at this point, but it will be at least another several weeks.

Jack McHale, Chief
Operator Licensing & Training Branch
Division of Inspection & Regional Support
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
(301) 415-3254
John.McHale@nrc.gov

From: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla) [<mailto:CCSmith@southernco.com>]
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2012 7:52 AM
To: McHale, John
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Good Morning Mr. McHale,

I recently submitted a request for an administrative review of my license denial per ES 502 C.1.b. I received a certified letter dated June 19th stating that your office received it on June 5, 2012. Per ES 502 D, the review generally takes within 75 days to complete. According to my calculations, 75 days was this past weekend and I have not received any notification.

The purpose of this email is to request a status update on my package. Are there any issues with my package?; Is the board still reviewing the package?; Has a decision been made?; Has a response already been sent? Is there a circumstance that will delay the process? Any information that can be provided would be greatly appreciated. Anything that I can do to expedite the process please let me know.

Thanks
Charlissa Smith
706 - 339 - 1285

Docket number : 55-23694

From: McHale, John [<mailto:John.McHale@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 20, 2012 12:25 PM
To: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla)
Cc: Snyder, Amy
Subject: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Dear Ms. Smith:

I understand you made an inquiry regarding receipt of your request for a review of your operating test that resulted in a license denial. Your package was received, and we are proceeding with the evaluation. You will be receiving a letter by registered mail, typically within the next week, acknowledging receipt.

Jack McHale, Chief
Operator Licensing & Training Branch
Division of Inspection & Regional Support
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
(301) 415-3254
John.McHale@nrc.gov

2

McHale, John

From: McHale, John *NRK*
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 12:57 PM
To: 'Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla)'
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Dear Ms. Smith,

We have completed the technical review and our response to you is under management review. We intend to have our response letter to you soon.

Jack McHale
Chief, Operator Licensing & Training Branch
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
USNRC
301-415-3254

From: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla) [<mailto:CCSmith@southernco.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 8:18 AM
To: McHale, John
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Mr. Hale,

The purpose of this email is to request a status update on the review of my license denial. I am hopeful that the panel has made some progress in the review of my package. I realize that this is a complex review but I do believe that it is important that I check to ensure the process is still ongoing and making progress. I am aware that this review is very abnormal in the amount of time that is being utilized in comparison to a typical review. This is why I am making every effort to stay as informed as possible on its progress. Any updates that you can provide would be appreciated. Again if there is any confusion or any questions that I can clarify, my number is listed below. Thanks

Charlissa Smith
706 - 339 - 1285
Docket number : 55-23694

3

McHale, John

From: McHale, John *nm*
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 12:00 PM
To: 'Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla)'
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Ms. Smith,

A revised Form ES-303-1 was not prepared as part of this administrative review. Each of your contentions was reviewed and the effects, if any, on grading were considered to determine if a change in outcome was warranted. As an administrative review, it was not necessary to prepare a new grading sheet, but rather to focus on how reviewed areas could potentially result in a different outcome from the original grading. Where the review agreed with the original grading for assignment of errors to rating factors, there would be no change to the original grading. For rating factors where there were differences, the review evaluated the results of those outcomes on the pass/fail decision. As detailed in the enclosure to our response, our review of your performance in Competency Area 3, "Control Board Operations," resulted in sustaining failure of the operating test.

Jack McHale, Chief
Operator Licensing & Training Branch
Division of Inspection & Regional Support
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
(301) 415-3254
John.McHale@nrc.gov

From: Smith, Charlissa C. (Carla) [<mailto:CCSmith@southernco.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2012 7:30 AM
To: McHale, John
Subject: RE: Receipt of Appeal of Examination Results

Mr. McHale,

I have received your response to my appeal this past Friday. Unfortunately it did not include a final grade sheet with all the final comments (individual Exam Report, Form ES-303-1). At your earlier convenience could please send a copy of the update form to me. If you are able to address this request then please provide a contact person that can assist.

Thank You
Charlissa Smith

1

5