

**From:** Muller, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 27, 2012 10:43 AM  
**To:** Jackson, Donald  
**Subject:** RE: Appeal Review

I am in the office on Friday. I can add justification for the scores of 2 when 2 errors occurred just by citing all the scenario events that were clean and where they apply to each RF.

**From:** Jackson, Donald  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Steely, Chris; Muller, David  
**Cc:** McHale, John  
**Subject:** Re: Appeal Review

Dave,  
 I will try to call with my comments Friday if you are in...only major items are that sentence fragments need to become full sentences, and I think we need to expand the Score of 1 becoming a 2 section to include specific examples from the exam where an error could exist but does not, so a justification exists for a 2 score. This will help with clarifying our stance to RII and NRR management when it is reviewed. VR,  
 Don Jackson Sent Via Blackberry

**From:** Steely, Chris  
**To:** Muller, David  
**Cc:** Jackson, Donald  
**Sent:** Wed Sep 26 15:35:48 2012  
**Subject:** Appeal Review

Dave,

I have attached my review notes. I think you did an excellent job on this report. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Chris