

DeweyBurdPubEm Resource

From: Olson, Paige [Paige.Olson@state.sd.us]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 9:59 AM
To: Yilma, Haimanot
Cc: rwithrow@louisberger.com; Jamerson, Kellee
Subject: RE: Requirements of a specific methodology for a TCP survey

Haimanot,

I am not aware of any specific guidelines, requirements, or established methodology for conducting a TCP survey. However, as I'm sure you're already aware the National Park Service provides some guidance in the form of Bulletin 38.

Sorry I can't be more helpful.

Paige

From: Yilma, Haimanot [<mailto:Haimanot.Yilma@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Friday, March 15, 2013 4:29 PM
To: Olson, Paige
Cc: 'Withrow, Randy' (rwithrow@louisberger.com); Jamerson, Kellee
Subject: Requirements of a specific methodology for a TCP survey

Paige,

After multiple failed attempts to reach an agreement on a Statement of Work for a field survey, on Feb 8, 2013, the NRC staff sent out an invitation to all consulting tribes announcing the open field survey approach for the Dewey Burdock project. This open field survey approach is intended to provide consulting tribes with a first-hand opportunity to identify properties of religious and cultural significance to them since the Staff have heard tribes say archeologists are not qualified to perform this task. In response to objections raised by tribes regarding previous proposals recommending partial survey of the license boundary and differences of opinion regarding survey methods (e.g., intervals for pedestrian survey), the survey invitation specifically offered tribes access to the entire license area and purposefully avoided imposing any other methodological requirements on tribal participants. In responses to this letter, the Staff received a comment that the open field survey approach to identify sites of religious and cultural significance (TCP) to the tribes does not include specific methodology and thus is not appropriate and that the SHPO will not accept it. The Staff is aware of specific NPS's guidelines as well as South Dakota guidelines set forth for performing archeological surveys and associated reporting but we are not aware of any methodological guidelines for conducting tribal surveys. Since we have heard from the tribes that TCP surveys are not comparable to archeological surveys, are you aware of any methodological guidelines or requirements for a TCP survey and associated reporting criteria that we need to consider for this upcoming field survey?

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Haimanot Yilma
Project Manager
FSME/DWMEP/EPPAD/ERB
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Phone: 301-415-8029
email: haimanot.yilma@nrc.gov
Mail Stop : T8F05

Hearing Identifier: Powertech_Uranium_Dewey_Burdock_LA_Public
Email Number: 266

Mail Envelope Properties (F872D19E991BF3408DAD5E13690DF6881CEC9F42)

Subject: RE: Requirements of a specific methodology for a TCP survey
Sent Date: 3/20/2013 9:59:21 AM
Received Date: 3/20/2013 9:59:29 AM
From: Olson, Paige

Created By: Paige.Olson@state.sd.us

Recipients:
"rwithrow@louisberger.com" <rwithrow@louisberger.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Jamerson, Kellee" <Kellee.Jamerson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Yilma, Haimanot" <Haimanot.Yilma@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: SD3EXC03.k12.sd.local

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2559	3/20/2013 9:59:29 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: