

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	K. Clayton	Facility:	CP	Date of Written Exam:	4/15/2013
Start of Op Test:			4/1/2013	End of Op Test:	4/12/2013
Written Exam Developed By: Facility			Operating Test Developed By: Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
10/1/2012	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	10/18/12	KDC		
11/26/2012	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	10/18/12	KDC		
11/26/2012	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	10/18/12	KDC		
11/26/2012	Corporate Notification Letter sent	11/19/12	KDC	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
12/31/2012	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	~	ES-201 Att-3	
1/14/2013	Integrated exam outlines due	1/18/13	KDC	we wrote written outlines	
1/21/2013	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	1/28/13	KDC	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC	
1/21/2013	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	1/28/13	KDC		
2/11/2013	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	2/12/13	KDC	Exam UNSAT- Resubmit points	
2/25/2013	Peer review of written exam complete	2/28/13	KDC	Document review on ES-401-9	
2/25/2013	Preliminary license applications due	3/4/13	KDC	NRC Forms 398/396	
3/4/2013	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	3/4/13	KDC		
3/4/2013	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	3/11/13	KDC		
3/4/2013	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	3/11/13	KDC		
3/4/2013	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	3/14/13	KDC		
3/18/2013	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	3/18/13	KDC	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
3/25/2013	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	3/21/13	KDC		
3/25/2013	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	3/26/13	KDC	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
3/25/2013	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	3/26/13	KDC		
3/25/2013	Exam material to exam team	3/26/13	KDC		
4/1/2013	Administer Operating Test	4/1/13	KDC		
4/22/2013	Facility post-exam documentation due	4/12/13	KDC		
4/22/2013	NRC written exam grading completed	4/17/13	KDC	ES-403-1 to BC	
4/19/2013	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	4/17/13	KDC		
4/29/2013	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	4/17/13	KDC	Signed ES 303's to BC	
5/6/2013	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	4/19/13	KDC		
5/13/2013	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	4/18/13	KDC		
5/13/2013	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	4/22/13	KDC		
5/13/2013	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	3/27/13	KDC	print Report-21	
5/27/2013	Examination Report Issued	4/25/13	KDC	produced by CE	
6/3/2013	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	4/22/13	KDC	SUNSI checklist to LA	
6/10/2013	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	4/22/13	KDC		

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1

Facility: CPNPP 1 and 2		Date of Examination: 04/01/13		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	3	Q	KDC
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	3	Q	KDC
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	3	Q	KDC
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	3	Q	KDC
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	3	Q	KDC
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	3	Q	KDC
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	3	Q	KDC
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form	3	Q	KDC
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	3	Q	KDC
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	3	Q	KDC
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	3	Q	KDC
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	3	Q	KDC
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	3	Q	KDC
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	3	Q	KDC
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	3	Q	KDC
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	3	Q	KDC
Printed Name/Signature a. Author <u>Larry R. Zilli</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Garry W. Struble</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Kelly Clayton</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Bradley</u>		Date 01/07/13 1/8/13 1/28/13 3/26/13		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Note: NRC prepared the outlines for the written exam.

Facility: CPNPP 1 and 2		Date of Examination: 04/01/13		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA			Initials		
	a	b*	c#		
a. The operating test conforms to the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g. 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	7	Q	Koe		
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	7	Q	Koe		
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	7	Q	Koe		
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	7	Q	Koe		
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	7	Q	Koe		
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA					
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * initial conditions * initiating cues * references and tools, including associated procedures * reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee * operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	7	Q	Koe		
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	7	Q	Koe		
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA					
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	7	Q	Koe		
Printed Name / Signature			Date		
a. Author	Larry R. Zilli		6/21/13		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Garry W. Struble		3/18/13		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kelly Clayton		3/21/13		
d. NRC Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy		3/26/13		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.					
# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c", chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: CPNPP

Date of Exam: 04/01/13

Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4

Operating Test No.: NRC

QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES					Initials		
					a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.				7	Q	KDC
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.				7	Q	KDC
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 				7	Q	KDC
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.				7	Q	KDC
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.				7	Q	KDC
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.				7	Q	KDC
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.				7	Q	KDC
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.				7	Q	KDC
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				7	Q	KDC
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.				7	Q	KDC
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).				7	Q	KDC
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).				7	Q	KDC
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.				7	Q	KDC
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)					Actual Attributes (N/A)		
					(Spare)	1	2
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)				8	6	8
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)				3	3	4
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)				4	3	3
4.	Major transients (1-2)				1	1	2
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)				2	2	1
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)				0	1	1
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)				2	3	3

Facility: CPNPP 1 & 2		Date of Exam: 04/01/13		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility.			KDE			
2. a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions.			KDE			
b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.			KDE			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			KDE			
4. The facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			KDE			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or (e-mail) <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			KDE			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank 28 / 14	Modified 85 / 0 KDE	New 42 / 11 KDE			KDE
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory 34 / 10 45% / 40%	C/A 41 / 15 55% / 60%				KDE
8. References / handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.						KDE
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.						KDE
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.						KDE
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.						KDE
Printed Name / Signature		Date				
a. Author	Larry R Zilli			3/14/13		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Garry W Struble			3/18/13		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Kelly Clayton			3/22/13		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Vincent Gaddy			3/26/13		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Clayton, Kelly

From: Struble, Garry <Garry.Struble@luminant.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 8:27 AM
To: Clayton, Kelly
Subject: Questions
Attachments: CPNPP AUDIT 2013 SRO Written Exam.doc

Kelly,

Based on our review no questions on the AUDIT are on the NRC written exam. The following questions from the last two NRC exams are on the 2013 exam;

Q38 was on the 2011 NRC exam

Q97 was on the 2012 NRC exam

I have attached the AUDIT SRO written exam as requested.

Garry Struble
Simulator and License Examination Manager
Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 1002
Glen Rose, TX 76043
Office: [REDACTED]
FAX: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Confidentiality Notice: This email message, including any attachments, contains or may contain confidential information intended only for the addressee. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, be advised that any reading, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply message and delete this email message and any attachments from your system.

Facility: Commanche Peak NPP		Date of Exam: 04/08/13		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 \pm 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, \pm 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<i>KR</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>Koe</i>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	Kelly Robertson / <i>KR</i>	04/11/13			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Garry Struble / <i>GS</i>	04/11/13			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	Kelly Clayton / <i>KC</i>	4/18/13			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Vincent Gaddy / <i>VG</i>	4/22/13			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

03/27/2013 08:52:39

Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/30/2013 To 04/30/2013

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/04/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / TAC #: X02502		Prep	FFF	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
03/04/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / TAC #: X02501		Prep	FFF	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
04/01/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / TAC #: X02501	RO - 7 SROU - 4	Admin	FFF	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
04/01/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000446 / TAC #: X02502		Admin		CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D.
04/15/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / TAC #: X02501		Doc	FFF	CLAYTON, KELLY D.	STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D.
04/15/2013	Comanche Peak / 05000446 / TAC #: X02502		Doc		CLAYTON, KELLY D.	STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of April 1, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by NRC. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or NRC any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of April 1, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
LARRY R ZILLI	EXAM AUTHOR	Larry R Zilli	8/10/12	Larry Zilli	4/10/13 PER TELCON KR
Roger R. Munst	Exam Development	Roger R. Munst	8/10/12	Roger Munst	4/10/13 PER TELCON KR
Garry Struble	Facility Contact	Garry Struble	8/10/12	Garry Struble	4/9/13
Randall Blankenship	Exam Development	Randall Blankenship	8/20/12	Randall Blankenship	4/9/13
Kelly Robertson	EXAM DEVELOPMENT	Kelly Robertson	8/20/12	Kelly Robertson	4/9/13
Jack Wise	EXAM DEVELOPMENT	Jack Wise	10/11/12	Jack Wise	4/9/13
Doug Sasinger	Exam Development	Doug Sasinger	10/11/12	Doug Sasinger	4/9/13
Jamye Porter	adm	Jamye Porter	10/22/12	Jamye Porter	4-9-2013
Karen Uehlein	adm	Karen Uehlein	10/22/12	Karen Uehlein	4/9/13
DAVID GOODMAN	SIMULATOR	David Goodman	10/22/12	David Goodman	4/10/13
Carlos Nolas	SIMULATOR	Carlos Nolas	10/27/12	Carlos Nolas	4/9/13
Catherine Shepard	ADM	Catherine Shepard	12/17/12	Catherine Shepard	4/10/13 PER TELCON KR
TIMOTHY WYANT	GRO VALIDATOR	Timothy Wyant	12/17/12	Timothy Wyant	4/10/13 PER TELCON KR
RONALD BEST	RO VALIDATOR	Ronald Best	12/17/12	Ronald Best	4/9/13
Christopher G. Metz	RO Validator	Christopher G. Metz	12/17/12	Christopher G. Metz	4/9/13

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of April 1, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by NRC. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or NRC any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of April 1, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>Dillon Richey</u>	<u>RO VALIDATION</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-17-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-10-13</u>
2. <u>Gregory J. MacHow</u>	<u>Unit Sup / Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-29-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
3. <u>John Rasmussen</u>	<u>Unit Sup (SEO) Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-29-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-10-13</u> PER TELCON KR
4. <u>Sam G. Perez</u>	<u>Unit Sup SRD Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-29-13</u>	<u>SAM G. PEREZ</u>	<u>4-10-13</u> PER TELCON KR
5. <u>Tommy Thompson</u>	<u>RO/Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-4-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
6. <u>Brian Edwards</u>	<u>RO Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-4-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-10-13</u>
7. <u>Anthony Pate</u>	<u>USJOR Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-4-13</u>	<u>ANTHONY PATE</u>	<u>4-10-13</u> PER TELCON KR
8. <u>Mark Mesnabaz</u>	<u>Sim EGR/SIM Support</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-4-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
9. <u>Bill Gross</u>	<u>Validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>3-19-13</u>	<u>BILL GROSS</u>	<u>4-10-13</u> PER TELCON KR
10. <u>Robert Nichols</u>	<u>Exam Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
11. <u>Joe Cunningham</u>	<u>Exam Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
12. <u>David M. Thompson</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>DAVID M. THOMPSON</u>	<u>4-10-13</u> PER TELCON KR
13. <u>Scott Helm</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
14. <u>Ludon Thomas</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>
15. <u>Dave Ross</u>	<u>Proctor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-1-13</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4-9-13</u>

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of April 1, 2013 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by NRC. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or NRC any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of April 1, 2013. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. COY RICE	PROCTOR	<i>Coy Rice</i>	4/1/2013	<i>Coy Rice</i>	4-9-2013
2. David Whitsitt	Proctor	<i>David Whitsitt</i>	4/1/13	<i>David Whitsitt</i>	4/10/13
3. Bart Smith	Proctor	<i>Bart Smith</i>	4/2/13	<i>Bart Smith</i>	4-9-2013
4. Bill Moore	SLT Observer	<i>Bill Moore</i>	4/2/13	<i>Bill Moore</i>	4/10/13 Per Telcom R2
5. Dave Suttler	OPS observer	<i>Dave Suttler</i>	4/2/13	<i>Dave Suttler</i>	4/9/2013
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

NOTES: