

Review Team Questions for February 20, 2013 Public Phone Call

Non-Proprietary Discussion

RAI responses:

3.9-271

GEH is requested to identify in the DCD or technical report which version of PBLE Method 1 is being used for the ESBWR design certification such that the NRC staff can reference this specific version in the SER.

3.9-273

We have noted that it is likely that the FE model and test frequency column headings in Tables 8-10 are flipped. GEH should confirm and correct this error.

3.9-278

This question is related to 3.9-277. The staff is asking for further clarification on the extent of partial penetration welds in the ESBWR steam dryer. Specifically:

- Why did the response to RAI 3.9-278 state that GEH “intended” to eliminate partial penetration welds rather than stating that partial penetration welds will not be used in the ESBWR steam dryer?

Response discussions to be deferred to February 27 public phone call:

3.9-272, 3.9-274, 3.9-277

Need to see response to RAI 3.9-292.

3.9-277, 3.9-283, 3.9-284, 3.9-287

Staff is reviewing the response.

3.9-289, 3.9-290, 3.9-291, 3.9-293

Need to have more internal discussion before we determine what additional information needs to be clarified.

Responses where staff does not need additional discussion:

3.9-270, 3.9-275, 3.9-276, 3.9-279

Proprietary Discussion

RAI responses:

3.9-269

[[

]]

3.9-281

[[

]]

3.9-288

[[

]]