

## Bartley, Jonathan

---

**From:** Bartley, Jonathan  
**Sent:** Friday, May 04, 2012 9:48 AM  
**To:** Boska, John  
**Cc:** Karwoski, Kenneth; Salgado, Nancy; Hiland, Patrick; Cheek, Michael; Evans, Michele; Howe, Allen; Croteau, Rick; Jones, William  
**Subject:** RE: JLD presentation on 5/8/12- Oconee slides (OUO)

John, 2 comments from me:

1. It appears that options 1 and 2 are directly related, i.e., we need option 1 before we can do option 2. Maybe combine them into 1 option.
2. Do we need more discussion and present options on the appropriate standards for the flood protection measures such as the flood wall?

I have forwarded to my management and will provide you any comments I receive from them.

Jonathan

---

**From:** Boska, John  
**Sent:** Friday, May 04, 2012 8:38 AM  
**To:** Karwoski, Kenneth; Salgado, Nancy; Hiland, Patrick; Cheek, Michael; Evans, Michele; Howe, Allen; Bartley, Jonathan  
**Subject:** JLD presentation on 5/8/12- Oconee slides (OUO)

Attached is the draft of the Oconee slides. Please let me know if you have any comments. The reason I used the phrase "adequate protection of public health and safety," is because it will then require the use of the backfit rule to add additional requirements on Duke, which I believe is the level of assurance they desire before proceeding with construction of flood walls.

John Boska  
Oconee Project Manager, NRR/DORL  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
301-415-2901  
email: [john.boska@nrc.gov](mailto:john.boska@nrc.gov)