

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: February 22, 2013  
 Received: November 08, 2012  
 Status: Pending\_Post  
 Tracking No. 1jw-81vf-fsvl  
 Comments Due: January 02, 2013  
 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration on Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0001

Consideration of Environmental Impacts of Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0033

Comment on FR Doc # 2012-26295

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Alice Bartholomew

**Address:**

415 Wall Street  
 Elmira, NY, 14905

10/25/2012  
 77FR 65137

107

## General Comment

I understand that on June 8, 2012, Riverkeeper and their allies won a landmark U.S. Court of Appeals decision nullifying the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's "Waste Confidence Rule," which has allowed each U.S. nuclear reactor to store highly radioactive nuclear waste on site. The now-invalidated rule presumed that waste stored at reactors would go to a national nuclear waste dump someday and that the storage of spent fuel at individual reactors is temporary and causes "no significant environmental impact."

Because of this landmark court victory, there is no longer any legal basis for plants like Indian Point to avoid studying the environmental impacts of long-term nuclear waste storage in fuel pools or dry storage. At Indian Point, spent fuel has leaked carcinogenic nuclear waste into groundwater and the Hudson River, and its unfortified storage structure has been considered as a target of terrorists. There is a paramount overdue need to address the risks of nuclear waste disposal before any U.S. nuclear plant, including Indian Point, may be licensed or re-licensed.

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
 BRANCH  
 USNRC

2013 FEB 25 PM 3:55

RECEIVED

SUNSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM - 013  
 E-RIDS= ADM -03

Add= S. Lopez (SMA)