

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Tai, Tom
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 11:01 AM
To: Richard Scheide
Cc: STPCOL; 'wemookhoek@stpegs.com'; Wunder, George
Subject: RE: Table 3.2-1 clarifications

Dick,

Thanks for the quick turn-around. However, I do have a question and we can discuss this afternoon:

In the January 9, 2013 discussion on your proposed Table 3.2-1 markup, I questioned if it is appropriate/unusual to list the radwaste system (K-1) components under the radwaste building (U-13). The decision was to add K-1 to Table 3.2-1 with a note to refer to U-13 such that in the future, there is proper paper-trail to determine the classification of RWS SSCs.

For the record, I still maintain that listing system components under a structure is unusual and I have never seen it done before. Certainly not in ABWR DCD Revision 4 and the current STP FSAR. But if you think I'm wrong and you can show me a example of this practice anywhere, on any application, it will be helpful.

Regards

Tom Tai
DNRL/NRO
(301) 415-8484
Tom.Tai@NRC.GOV

From: Richard Scheide [<mailto:rhscheide@ninallc.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2013 10:30 AM
To: Tai, Tom
Subject: Table 3.2-1 clarifications

Tom,

Attached is a proposed clarification to Note jj of Table 3.2-1

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 3550

Mail Envelope Properties (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED1011AE9677FF6)

Subject: RE: Table 3.2-1 clarifications
Sent Date: 1/16/2013 11:00:42 AM
Received Date: 1/16/2013 11:00:43 AM
From: Tai, Tom

Created By: Tom.Tai@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"wemookhoek@stpegs.com" <wemookhoek@stpegs.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Wunder, George" <George.Wunder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Scheide" <rhscheide@ninallc.net>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1138	1/16/2013 11:00:43 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: