

~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~


# Oconee Flood Issue Jocassee Dam Failure Frequency

Division of Risk Assessment  
10-1-2008



~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~  
**Jocassee Pumped Storage Project**



~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

1

~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

## Derivation of the Random Jocassee Frequency

- Two Catastrophic Failures Derived from NPDP – National Performance of Dams Program
  - Frenchman (Montana)
    - Failure in 1952
    - 63-ft height (some literature shows it as 44-ft)
    - Low hazard class
  - Skagway (Colorado)
    - Failure in 1965
    - 79-ft height
    - Significant hazard class



~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

2

~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

## Parsing The Frequency Of Random (“Sunny Day”) Failure Modes

- Pros
  - Get higher resolution of failure modes contributing to the catastrophic failure frequency.
  - Concentrate on specific engineering/operational improvements to reduce overall frequency.
- Cons
  - Cannot statistically justify if the overall catastrophic failure data is sparse.
  - Method is not well developed relying on the assumption that each failure mode is statistically independent without consideration of common cause.
  - Uncertainty needs to be addressed for each failure mode which might yield distributions that are too wide to be confidently used.
- Conclusion
  - This could be a viable approach to evaluating dam failure providing there is sufficient data. However, for this case, the scarcity of data makes this method unacceptable.



~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

3

Official Use Only - Security Related Information

## Reliability vs. Statistical Treatment of Jocassee Dam Failure

- Reliability Approach
  - Pros
    - Detailed event and fault trees are developed for individual contributors to dam failure.
    - Evaluate which failure modes and scenarios are most likely.
  - Cons
    - Difficult to properly categorize historical data in fault tree structure.
    - Potential overlap and double-counting of data.
    - Requires large populations of detailed data.
- Statistical Approach
  - Pros
    - Develop an simple single frequency of dam failure.
    - Incorporation of historical details of dam failure by use of Bayesian update tools.
  - Cons
    - Does not lend itself to evaluate details of the initiating event.




Official Use Only - Security Related Information

4


Official Use Only - Security Related Information

## Comparison of Random Dam Failures by Type



Official Use Only - Security Related Information

5



~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

## Evaluation

- Uncertainty bands are narrow for rockfill (similar to Jocassee) and earthen dam types.
- Frequencies are in the  $10^{-4}$  per year range.
- Discussion

~~Official Use Only - Security Related Information~~

7