

Westinghouse Non-Proprietary Class 3

WCAP-17636-NP
Revision 0

October 2012

**Analysis of Capsule W from
the South Texas Project
Nuclear Operating Company
South Texas Unit 2
Reactor Vessel Radiation
Surveillance Program**



WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3

WCAP-17636-NP
Revision 0

**Analysis of Capsule W from the South Texas Project Nuclear
Operating Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel
Radiation Surveillance Program**

Amy E. Freed*
Jianwei Chen*

October 2012

Reviewers: Elliot J. Long*
Materials Center of Excellence I

Arzu Alpan*
Radiation Engineering and Analysis

Approved: Frank C. Gift*, Manager
Materials Center of Excellence I

*Electronically Approved Records Are Authenticated in the Electronic Document Management System.

Westinghouse Electric Company LLC
1000 Westinghouse Drive
Cranberry Township, PA 16066

© 2012 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC
All Rights Reserved

RECORD OF REVISION

Revision 0: Original Issue

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xi
1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	1-1
2 INTRODUCTION	2-1
3 BACKGROUND	3-1
4 DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM.....	4-1
5 TESTING OF SPECIMENS FROM CAPSULE W	5-1
5.1 OVERVIEW	5-1
5.2 CHARPY V-NOTCH IMPACT TEST RESULTS.....	5-2
5.3 TENSILE TEST RESULTS.....	5-4
5.4 1/2T COMPACT TENSION SPECIMEN TESTS.....	5-4
6 RADIATION ANALYSIS AND NEUTRON DOSIMETRY	6-1
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2 DISCRETE ORDINATES ANALYSIS	6-2
6.3 NEUTRON DOSIMETRY	6-5
6.4 CALCULATIONAL UNCERTAINTIES	6-5
7 SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE REMOVAL SCHEDULE	7-1
8 REFERENCES	8-1
APPENDIX A VALIDATION OF THE RADIATION TRANSPORT MODELS BASED ON NEUTRON DOSIMETRY MEASUREMENTS.....	A-1
APPENDIX B LOAD-TIME RECORDS FOR CHARPY SPECIMEN TESTS.....	B-1
APPENDIX C CHARPY V-NOTCH PLOTS FOR EACH CAPSULE USING SYMMETRIC HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD	C-1
APPENDIX D SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 2 SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM CREDIBILITY EVALUATION	D-1
APPENDIX E SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 2 UPPER-SHELF ENERGY EVALUATION.....	E-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4-1	Chemical Composition (wt%) of South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials (Unirradiated) ^(a)	4-3
Table 4-2	Heat Treatment History of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials ^(a)	4-4
Table 5-1	Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Longitudinal Orientation)	5-5
Table 5-2	Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Transverse Orientation) ..	5-6
Table 5-3	Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-7
Table 5-4	Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-8
Table 5-5	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Longitudinal Orientation)	5-9
Table 5-6	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Transverse Orientation)	5-10
Table 5-7	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-11
Table 5-8	Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-12
Table 5-9	Effect of Irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV) on the Charpy V-Notch Toughness Properties of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Capsule W Materials	5-13
Table 5-10	Comparison of the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Material 30 ft-lb Transition Temperature Shifts and Upper-Shelf Energy Decreases with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Predictions	5-14
Table 5-11	Tensile Properties of the South Texas Unit 2 Capsule W Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials Irradiated to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm ² (E > 1.0 MeV)	5-15
Table 6-1	Calculated Neutron Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Surveillance Capsule Center ^(a)	6-7
Table 6-2	Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Maximum Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface	6-11

Table 6-3	Calculated Fast Neutron Exposure of Surveillance Capsules Withdrawn from South Texas Unit 2	6-15
Table 6-4	Calculated Surveillance Capsule Lead Factors	6-16
Table 7-1	Surveillance Capsule Withdrawal Schedule	7-1
Table A-1	Nuclear Parameters Used in the Evaluation of Neutron Sensors	A-10
Table A-2	Monthly Thermal Generation During the First Fifteen Fuel Cycles of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor (Reactor Power of 3800 MWt from Startup Through the End of Cycle 9; uprate from 3800 MWt to 3853 MWt at the beginning of Cycle 10; and, 3853 MWt for Cycles 11 through 15)	A-11
Table A-3	Calculated C_j Factors at the Surveillance Capsule Center Core Midplane Elevation ...	A-14
Table A-4a	Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates Surveillance Capsule V	A-16
Table A-4b	Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates Surveillance Capsule Y	A-17
Table A-4c	Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates Surveillance Capsule U	A-18
Table A-4d	Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates Surveillance Capsule W	A-19
Table A-5	Comparison of Measured, Calculated, and Best-Estimate Reaction Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center	A-20
Table A-6	Comparison of Calculated and Best-Estimate Exposure Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center	A-22
Table A-7	Comparison of Measured/Calculated (M/C) Sensor Reaction Rate Ratios Including all Fast Neutron Threshold Reactions	A-23
Table A-8	Comparison of Best-Estimate/Calculated (BE/C) Exposure Rate Ratios	A-23
Table C-1	Upper-Shelf Energy Values (ft-lb) Fixed in CVGRAPH	C-1
Table D-1	Calculation of Interim Chemistry Factors for the Credibility Evaluation using South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Capsule Data	D-4
Table D-2	South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Capsule Data Scatter about the Best-Fit Line	D-5
Table E-1	Predicted Positions 1.2 and 2.2 Upper-Shelf Energy Values at 34 EFPY	E-3
Table E-2	Predicted Positions 1.2 and 2.2 Upper-Shelf Energy Values at 54 EFPY	E-4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1	Arrangement of Surveillance Capsules in the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel.....	4-5
Figure 4-2	Capsule W Diagram Showing the Location of Specimens, Thermal Monitors, and Dosimeters	4-6
Figure 5-1	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-16
Figure 5-2	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-17
Figure 5-3	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-18
Figure 5-4	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation).....	5-19
Figure 5-5	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation).....	5-20
Figure 5-6	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation).....	5-21
Figure 5-7	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-22
Figure 5-8	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-23
Figure 5-9	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-24
Figure 5-10	Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material.....	5-25
Figure 5-11	Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material.....	5-26
Figure 5-12	Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material.....	5-27
Figure 5-13	Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-28
Figure 5-14	Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation).....	5-29
Figure 5-15	Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-30
Figure 5-16	Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material.....	5-31

Figure 5-17	Tensile Properties for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-32
Figure 5-18	Tensile Properties for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)	5-33
Figure 5-19	Tensile Properties for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-34
Figure 5-20	Fractured Tensile Specimens from South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)	5-35
Figure 5-21	Fractured Tensile Specimens from South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)	5-36
Figure 5-22	Fractured Tensile Specimens from the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209).....	5-37
Figure 5-23	Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Tensile Specimens HL7, HL8 and HL9 (Longitudinal Orientation).....	5-38
Figure 5-24	Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Tensile Specimen HT7, HT8, and HT9 (Transverse Orientation)	5-39
Figure 5-25	Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Tensile Specimen HW7, HW8, and HW9	5-40
Figure 6-1	South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 12.5° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane	6-17
Figure 6-2	South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 20.0° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane	6-18
Figure 6-3	South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 22.5° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane	6-19
Figure 6-4	South Texas Unit 2 r,z Plane with Neutron Pad at 35° Azimuthal Angle	6-20
Figure 6-5	South Texas Unit 2 r,z Plane with Surveillance Capsule and Neutron Pad at 29° Azimuthal Angle	6-21
Figure E-1	Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 Predicted Decrease in Upper-Shelf Energy as a Function of Copper and Fluence.....	E-2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to document the testing results of surveillance Capsule W from South Texas Unit 2. Capsule W was removed at 18.30 EFPY and post-irradiation mechanical tests of the Charpy V-notch and tensile specimens were performed. Upon opening Capsule W, all the internal ferritic steel components were corroded indicating that the capsule enclosure leaked; however, the corrosion on the specimens was not enough to cause inaccurate mechanical test results. A fluence evaluation utilizing the neutron transport and dosimetry cross-section libraries was derived from the ENDF/B-VI database. Capsule W received a fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) after irradiation to 18.30 EFPY. The peak clad/base metal interface vessel fluence after 18.30 EFPY of plant operation was 1.38×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV).

This evaluation led to the following conclusions: 1) The measured percent decreases in upper-shelf energy for all the surveillance materials contained in South Texas Unit 2 Capsule W are less than the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 [Ref. 1] predictions. 2) The South Texas Unit 2 surveillance plate and weld data are judged to be credible. This credibility evaluation can be found in Appendix D. 3) All beltline materials exhibit a more than adequate upper-shelf energy level for continued safe plant operation and are predicted to maintain an upper-shelf energy greater than 50 ft-lb through end-of-license (34 EFPY) and end-of-license renewal (54 EFPY) as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix G [Ref. 2]. The upper-shelf energy evaluation is presented in Appendix E.

Lastly, a brief summary of the Charpy V-notch testing can be found in Section 1. All Charpy V-notch data was plotted using a symmetric hyperbolic tangent curve-fitting program.

1 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The analysis of the reactor vessel materials contained in surveillance Capsule W, the fourth capsule removed and tested from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel, led to the following conclusions:

- Charpy V-notch test data were plotted using a symmetric hyperbolic tangent curve-fitting program. Appendix C presents the CVGRAPH, Version 5.3, Charpy V-notch plots for Capsule W and previous capsules, along with the program input data.
- Capsule W received an average fast neutron fluence ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) of $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ after 18.30 effective full power years (EFPY) of plant operation.
- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen parallel to the major working direction (longitudinal orientation), resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -2.6°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 34.1°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 35.3°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 41.3°F for the longitudinally oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen perpendicular to the major working direction (transverse orientation), resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 56.6°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 89.5°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 74.9°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 71.2°F for the transversely oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Charpy specimens resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 12.3°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 49.3°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 26.4°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 37.3°F .
- Irradiation of the Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material Charpy specimens resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -54.5°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of -14.5°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 55.8°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 67.2°F .
- The average upper-shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (longitudinal orientation) resulted in an average energy decrease of 4.0 ft-lb after irradiation. This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 134.0 ft-lb for the longitudinally oriented specimens.
- The average upper-shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (transverse orientation) resulted in an average energy decrease of 6.0 ft-lb after irradiation. This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 93.0 ft-lb for the transversely oriented specimens.

- The average upper-shelf energy of the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Charpy specimens resulted in an average energy decrease of 3.0 ft-lb after irradiation. This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 94.0 ft-lb for the weld metal specimens.
- The average upper-shelf energy of the HAZ Material Charpy specimens resulted in an average energy decrease of 16.0 ft-lb after irradiation. This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 130.0 ft-lb for the HAZ Material.
- Comparisons of the measured 30 ft-lb shift in transition temperature values and upper-shelf energy decreases to those predicted by Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 [Ref. 1] for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel surveillance materials are presented in Table 5-10.
- Based on the credibility evaluation presented in Appendix D, the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance plate and weld data are credible.
- Based on the upper-shelf energy evaluation in Appendix E, all beltline materials contained in the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel exhibit a more than adequate upper-shelf energy level for continued safe plant operation and are predicted to maintain an upper-shelf energy greater than 50 ft-lb through end-of-license (34 EFPY) and end-of-license renewal (54 EFPY) as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix G [Ref. 2].
- The calculated 34 EFPY (end-of-license) and 54 EFPY (end-of-license renewal) neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) at the core mid-plane for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel using the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 attenuation formula (i.e., Equation #3 in the Guide) are as follows:

Calculated (34 EFPY): Vessel inner radius* = 2.50×10^{19} n/cm² (Taken from Table 6-2)
 Vessel 1/4 thickness = 1.49×10^{19} n/cm²

Calculated (54 EFPY): Vessel inner radius* = 3.91×10^{19} n/cm² (Taken from Table 6-2)
 Vessel 1/4 thickness = 2.33×10^{19} n/cm²

* Clad/base metal interface.

2 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the examination of Capsule W, the fourth capsule removed and tested in the continuing surveillance program, which monitors the effects of neutron irradiation on the South Texas Project South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel materials under actual operating conditions.

The surveillance program for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel materials was designed and recommended by the Westinghouse Electric Corporation. A description of the surveillance program and the pre-irradiation mechanical properties of the reactor vessel materials are presented in WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3], "Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Unit No. 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program." The surveillance program was planned to cover the 40-year design life of the reactor pressure vessel and was based on ASTM E185-79 [Ref. 4], "Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels." Capsule W was removed from the reactor after 18.30 EFPY of exposure and shipped to the Westinghouse Materials Center of Excellence Hot Cell Facility, where the post-irradiation mechanical testing of the Charpy V-notch impact and tensile surveillance specimens was performed.

This report summarizes the testing of the post-irradiation data obtained from surveillance Capsule W removed from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel and discusses the analysis of the data.

3 BACKGROUND

The ability of the large steel pressure vessel containing the reactor core and its primary coolant to resist fracture constitutes an important factor in ensuring safety in the nuclear industry. The beltline region of the reactor pressure vessel is the most critical region of the vessel because it is subjected to significant fast neutron bombardment. The overall effects of fast neutron irradiation on the mechanical properties of low-alloy, ferritic pressure vessel steels such as SA533 Grade B Class 1 (base material of the South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel beltline) are well documented in the literature. Generally, low-alloy ferritic materials show an increase in hardness and tensile properties and a decrease in ductility and toughness during high-energy irradiation.

A method for ensuring the integrity of reactor pressure vessels has been presented in "Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Failure," Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code [Ref. 5]. The method uses fracture mechanics concepts and is based on the reference nil-ductility transition temperature (RT_{NDT}).

RT_{NDT} is defined as the greater of either the drop-weight nil-ductility transition temperature (NDTT per ASTM E208 [Ref. 6]) or the temperature 60°F less than the 50 ft-lb (and 35-mil lateral expansion) temperature as determined from Charpy specimens oriented perpendicular (transverse) to the major working direction of the plate. The RT_{NDT} of a given material is used to index that material to a reference stress intensity factor curve (K_{Ic} curve) which appears in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code [Ref. 5]. The K_{Ic} curve is a lower bound of static fracture toughness results obtained from several heats of pressure vessel steel. When a given material is indexed to the K_{Ic} curve, allowable stress intensity factors can be obtained for this material as a function of temperature. Allowable operating limits can then be determined using these allowable stress intensity factors.

RT_{NDT} and, in turn, the operating limits of nuclear power plants can be adjusted to account for the effects of radiation on the reactor vessel material properties. The changes in mechanical properties of a given reactor pressure vessel steel, due to irradiation, can be monitored by a reactor vessel surveillance program, such as the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel radiation surveillance program, in which a surveillance capsule is periodically removed from the operating nuclear reactor and the encapsulated specimens are tested. The increase in the average Charpy V-notch 30 ft-lb temperature (ΔRT_{NDT}) due to irradiation is added to the initial RT_{NDT} , along with a margin (M) to cover uncertainties, to adjust the RT_{NDT} (ART) for radiation embrittlement. This ART (initial $RT_{NDT} + M + \Delta RT_{NDT}$) is used to index the material to the K_{Ic} curve and, in turn, to set operating limits for the nuclear power plant that take into account the effects of irradiation on the reactor vessel materials.

4 DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM

Six surveillance capsules for monitoring the effects of neutron exposure on the South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel core region (beltline) materials were inserted in the reactor vessel prior to initial plant startup. The six capsules were positioned in the reactor vessel between the neutron pads and the vessel wall as shown in Figure 4-1. The vertical center of the capsules is opposite the vertical center of the core. The capsules contain specimens made from the following:

- Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (longitudinal orientation)
- Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (transverse orientation)
- Weld metal fabricated with Type B-4 weld wire, Heat Number 90209, Linde Type 124 flux, Lot Number 1061, which is identical to that used in the actual fabrication of the intermediate to lower shell circumferential weld seam and the lower shell longitudinal weld seams
- Weld heat-affected zone (HAZ) material of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1

Test material obtained from the intermediate shell plate (after thermal heat treatment and forming of the plate) was taken at least one plate thickness from the quenched edges of the plate. All test specimens were machined from the $\frac{1}{4}$ thickness location of the plate after performing a simulated post-weld stress-relieving treatment on the test material. Test specimens were also removed from weld and heat-affected zone metal of a stress-relieved weldment joining Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 and adjacent Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-2. All heat-affected zone specimens were obtained from the weld heat-affected zone of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1.

Charpy V-notch impact specimens from Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 were machined in the longitudinal orientation (longitudinal axis of the specimen parallel to the major rolling direction) and also in the transverse orientation (longitudinal axis of the specimen perpendicular to the major rolling direction). The core-region weld Charpy impact specimens were machined from the weldment such that the long dimension of each Charpy specimen was perpendicular (normal) to the weld direction. The notch of the weld metal Charpy specimens was machined such that the direction of crack propagation in the specimen was in the welding direction.

Tensile specimens from Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 were machined in both the longitudinal and transverse orientations. Tensile specimens from the weld metal were oriented perpendicular to the welding direction.

Compact Tension (CT) specimens from Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 were machined in the longitudinal and transverse orientations. CT specimens from the weld metal were machined with the notch oriented in the direction of welding. All specimens were fatigue pre-cracked according to ASTM E399 [Ref. 7].

All six capsules were to contain dosimeter wires of pure iron, copper, nickel, and aluminum-0.15 weight percent cobalt (cadmium-shielded and unshielded). In addition, cadmium-shielded dosimeters of Neptunium (^{237}Np) and Uranium (^{238}U) were placed in the capsules to measure the integrated flux at specific neutron energy levels.

The capsules were to contain thermal monitors made from two low-melting-point eutectic alloys, which were sealed in Pyrex tubes. These thermal monitors were used to define the maximum temperature attained by the test specimens during irradiation. The composition of the two eutectic alloys and their melting points are as follows:

2.5% Ag, 97.5% Pb	Melting Point: 579°F (304°C)
1.5% Ag, 1.0% Sn, 97.5% Pb	Melting Point: 590°F (310°C)

The chemical composition and heat treatment of the unirradiated surveillance materials is presented in Tables 4-1 and 4-2, respectively. The data in Tables 4-1 and 4-2 was obtained from the original surveillance program report, WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3], Appendix A.

Capsule W was removed after 18.30 effective full power years (EFPY) of plant operation. This capsule contained Charpy V-notch, tensile, 1/2T-CT fracture mechanics specimens, dosimeters, and a thermal monitor.

The arrangement of the various mechanical specimens, dosimeters and thermal monitors contained in Capsule W is shown in Figure 4-2.

Table 4-1 Chemical Composition (wt%) of South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials (Unirradiated)^(a)

Element	Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	Weld Metal ^(b)
C	0.22	0.12
Mn	1.55	1.45
P	0.006	0.010
S	0.012	0.011
Si	0.21	0.38
Ni	0.65	0.15
Mo	0.56	0.53
Cr	0.05	0.12
Cu	0.04	0.01
Al	0.021	0.012
Co	0.011	0.013
Pb	< 0.001	0.001
W	< 0.01	0.005
Ti	< 0.01	< 0.01
Zr	0.001	< 0.001
V	0.002	< 0.002
Sn	< 0.001	< 0.001
As	0.014	0.003
Cb	< 0.01	< 0.001
N ₂	0.009	0.004
B	< 0.001	< 0.001
Notes: (a) Data obtained from WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3]. (b) Weld Wire Type B4, Heat Number 90209, Flux Type Linde 124, and Flux Lot Number 1061. Surveillance Weldment is from the weld between the Intermediate Shell Plates R2507-1 and R2507-2.		

Table 4-2 Heat Treatment History of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials^(a)

Material	Temperature (°F)	Time	Cooling
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	Austenitized @ 1600 ± 25 (871°C)	4 hrs.	Water-Quenched
	Tempered @ 1225 ± 25 (663°C)	4 hrs.	Air-Cooled
	Stress Relieved @ 1150 ± 50 (621°C)	10.5 hrs.	Furnace-Cooled
Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)	Stress Relieved @ 1150 ± 50 (621°C)	8 hrs.	Furnace-Cooled
Note: (a) Data obtained from WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3].			




Figure 4-1 Arrangement of Surveillance Capsules in the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel

LEGEND: HL – INTERMEDIATE SHELL PLATE R2507-1 (LONGITUDINAL)
 HT – INTERMEDIATE SHELL PLATE R2507-1 (TRANSVERSE)
 HW – WELD METAL (HEAT # 90209)
 HH – HEAT-AFFECTED ZONE MATERIAL




Figure 4-2 Capsule W Diagram Showing the Location of Specimens, Thermal Monitors, and Dosimeters

5 TESTING OF SPECIMENS FROM CAPSULE W

5.1 OVERVIEW

The post-irradiation mechanical testing of the Charpy V-notch impact specimens and tensile specimens was performed at the Hot Cell Facility at the Westinghouse Materials Center of Excellence. Testing was performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendices G and H [Ref. 2] and ASTM Specification E185-82 [Ref. 8].

The capsule was opened upon receipt at the hot cell laboratory. Upon opening Capsule W, all the internal ferritic steel components were corroded indicating that the capsule enclosure leaked; however, the corrosion on the specimens was not enough to cause inaccurate mechanical test results. The specimens and spacer blocks were carefully removed, inspected for identification number, and checked against the master list in WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3]. All of the mechanical test specimens were in their proper locations.

The thermal monitors were supposed to be located in three (3) different positions in the capsule; however, neither of the 579°F temperature monitors were found. Examination of the 590°F thermal monitor indicated that the temperature monitor did not melt. Based on this examination, the maximum temperature to which the specimens were exposed was less than 590°F.

The Charpy impact tests were performed per ASTM Specification E23-07a [Ref. 9] on a Tinius-Olsen Model 74, 358J machine. The Charpy machine striker was instrumented with an Instron Impulse system. Note that the instrumented Charpy data is for information only. The Instron Impulse system has not been calibrated to ASTM Standard E2298-09 [Ref. 10], so the instrumented energy, load, time, and stress data are considered for information only.

The instrumented striker load signal data acquisition rate was 819 kHz with data acquired for 10 ms. From the load-time curve, the load of general yielding (F_{gy}), the time to general yielding, the maximum load (F_m) and the time to maximum load were determined. Under some test conditions, a sharp drop in load indicative of fast fracture was observed. The load at which fast fracture was initiated is identified as the brittle fracture load (F_{bf}). The termination load after the fast load drop is identified as the arrest load (F_a). F_{gy} , F_m , F_{bf} , and F_a were determined per the guidance in ASTM Standard E2298-09 [Ref. 10].

The energy at maximum load (W_m) was determined by integrating the load-time record to the maximum load point. The energy at maximum load is approximately equivalent to the energy required to initiate a crack in the specimen. Therefore, the propagation energy for the crack (W_p) is the difference between the total energy (W_t) and the energy at maximum load (W_m). W_t is compared to the dial energy (KV). W_t derived from the instrumented striker were all within 15% of the calibrated dial energy values (except one value at very low energy where the 15% limit does not apply) as required in ASTM E2298-09 [Ref. 10], which indicates that the instrumented data is trustworthy.

Percent shear was determined from post-fracture photographs using the ratio-of-areas methods in compliance with ASTM E23-07a [Ref. 9] and A370-09 [Ref. 11]. The lateral expansion was measured using a dial gage rig similar to that shown in the same specifications.

Tensile tests were performed on a 250 KN Instron screw driven tensile machine (Model 5985). Testing met ASTM Specifications E8-09 [Ref. 12] and E21-09 [Ref. 13].

Elevated test temperatures were obtained with a three-zone electric resistance split-tube furnace with a 10-inch hot zone. Specimens were soaked at temperature ($\pm 5^{\circ}\text{F}$) for a minimum of 20 minutes before testing. All tests were conducted in air. The specimens were round 0.25-inch diameter with a 1.25-inch reduced section. Load was applied through a clevis and pin connection.

The yield load, ultimate load, fracture load, uniform elongation and elongation at fracture were determined directly from the load-extension curve. The yield strength (0.2% offset method), ultimate tensile strength and fracture strength were calculated using the original cross-sectional area. The final diameter and final gage length was determined from post-fracture photographs.

This final diameter measurement was used to calculate the fracture stress (true stress at fracture) and the percent reduction in area. The final gage length was used to calculate total elongation after fracture.

5.2 CHARPY V-NOTCH IMPACT TEST RESULTS

The results of the Charpy V-notch impact tests performed on the various materials contained in Capsule W, which received a fluence of $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) in 18.30 EFPY of operation, are presented in Tables 5-1 through 5-8 and are compared with the unirradiated and previously withdrawn capsule results as shown in Figures 5-1 through 5-12. The unirradiated and previously withdrawn capsule results were taken from WCAP-9967 [Ref. 3], WCAP-13182 [Ref. 14], WCAP-14978 [Ref. 15], and WCAP-16093-NP [Ref. 16].

The transition temperature increases and changes in upper-shelf energies for the Capsule W materials are summarized in Table 5-9 and led to the following results:

- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen parallel to the major working direction (longitudinal orientation), resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -2.6°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 34.1°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 35.3°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 41.3°F for the longitudinally oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the reactor vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Charpy specimens, oriented with the longitudinal axis of the specimen perpendicular to the major working direction (transverse orientation), resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 56.6°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 89.5°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 74.9°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 71.2°F for the transversely oriented specimens.
- Irradiation of the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Charpy specimens resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of 12.3°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of 49.3°F . This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 26.4°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 37.3°F .

- Irradiation of the Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material Charpy specimens resulted in an irradiated 30 ft-lb transition temperature of -54.5°F and an irradiated 50 ft-lb transition temperature of -14.5°F. This results in a 30 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 55.8°F and a 50 ft-lb transition temperature increase of 67.2°F.
- The average upper-shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (longitudinal orientation) resulted in an average energy decrease of 4.0 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 134.0 ft-lb for the longitudinally oriented specimens.
- The average upper-shelf energy of Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (transverse orientation) resulted in an average energy decrease of 6.0 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 93.0 ft-lb for the transversely oriented specimens.
- The average upper-shelf energy of the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Charpy specimens resulted in an average energy decrease of 3.0 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 94.0 ft-lb for the weld metal specimens.
- The average upper-shelf energy of the HAZ Material Charpy specimens resulted in an average energy decrease of 16.0 ft-lb after irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV). This results in an irradiated average upper-shelf energy of 130.0 ft-lb for the HAZ Material.
- Comparisons of the measured 30 ft-lb shift in transition temperature values and upper-shelf energy decreases to those predicted by Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 [Ref. 1] for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel surveillance materials are presented in Table 5-10.

The fracture appearance of each irradiated Charpy specimen from the various materials is shown in Figures 5-13 through 5-16. The fractures show an increasingly ductile or tougher appearance with increasing test temperature. Load-time records for the individual instrumented Charpy specimens are contained in Appendix B.

All beltline materials exhibit a more than adequate upper-shelf energy level for continued safe plant operation and are predicted to maintain an upper-shelf energy greater than 50 ft-lb through end-of-license (34 EFPY) and end-of-license renewal (54 EFPY) as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix G [Ref. 2]. This evaluation can be found in Appendix E.

5.3 TENSILE TEST RESULTS

The results of the tensile tests performed on the various materials contained in Capsule W irradiated to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV) are presented in Table 5-11 and are compared with unirradiated results as shown in Figures 5-17 through 5-19.

The results of the tensile tests performed on the Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (longitudinal orientation) indicated that irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV) caused increases in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data [Ref. 3]. See Figure 5-17 and Table 5-11.

The results of the tensile tests performed on the Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (transverse orientation) indicated that irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV) caused increases in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data [Ref. 3]. See Figure 5-18 and Table 5-11.

The results of the tensile tests performed on the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) indicated that irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² ($E > 1.0$ MeV) caused increases in the 0.2 percent offset yield strength and the ultimate tensile strength when compared to unirradiated data [Ref. 3]. See Figure 5-19 and Table 5-11.

The fractured tensile specimens for the Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 material are shown in Figures 5-20 and 5-21, while the fractured tensile specimens for the Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) are shown in Figure 5-22. The engineering stress-strain curves for the tensile tests are shown in Figures 5-23 through 5-25.

5.4 1/2T COMPACT TENSION SPECIMEN TESTS

Per the surveillance capsule testing contract, the 1/2T Compact Tension Specimens were not tested and are being stored at the Westinghouse Hot Cell Facility.

Table 5-1 Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Longitudinal Orientation)

Sample Number	Temperature		Impact Energy		Lateral Expansion		Shear
	°F	°C	ft-lbs	Joules	mils	mm	%
HL44	-70	-57	7	9	4	0.10	0
HL39	-20	-29	14	19	9	0.23	5
HL40	-10	-23	31	42	19	0.48	10
HL34	0	-18	32	43	21	0.53	15
HL41	10	-12	46	62	31	0.79	20
HL36	20	-7	42	57	29	0.74	20
HL38	30	-1	33	45	20	0.51	20
HL33	40	4	53	72	37	0.94	35
HL37	50	10	67	91	42	1.07	40
HL32	72	22	83	113	50	1.27	45
HL35	130	54	101	137	62	1.57	70
HL43	160	71	114	155	68	1.73	80
HL31	200	93	122	165	82	2.08	95
HL45	220	104	136	184	80	2.03	100
HL42	250	121	143	194	79	2.01	100

**Table 5-2 Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1
Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) (Transverse Orientation)**

Sample Number	Temperature		Impact Energy		Lateral Expansion		Shear
	°F	°C	ft-lbs	Joules	mils	mm	%
HT40	-70	-57	4	5	0	0	0
HT45	0	-18	17	23	12	0.30	5
HT41	30	-1	21	28	10	0.25	10
HT34	40	4	15	20	11	0.28	15
HT33	50	10	27	37	18	0.46	15
HT37	60	16	29	39	20	0.51	15
HT42	60	16	25	34	13	0.33	20
HT32	72	22	44	60	28	0.71	25
HT35	72	22	45	61	26	0.66	25
HT44	80	27	46	62	30	0.76	30
HT43	100	38	51	69	33	0.84	40
HT31	130	54	75	102	50	1.27	45
HT36	200	93	86	117	59	1.50	95
HT38	220	104	96	130	68	1.73	100
HT39	250	121	97	132	66	1.68	100

Table 5-3 Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

Sample Number	Temperature		Impact Energy		Lateral Expansion		Shear
	°F	°C	ft-lbs	Joules	mils	mm	%
HW40	-70	-57	4	5	0	0	10
HW37	-20	-29	15	20	14	0.36	20
HW38	0	-18	27	37	23	0.58	30
HW31	20	-7	57	77	44	1.12	45
HW33	30	-1	32	43	28	0.71	40
HW32	40	4	34	46	29	0.74	45
HW35	40	4	38	52	31	0.79	45
HW39	50	10	56	76	45	1.14	65
HW45	60	16	54	73	42	1.07	60
HW44	72	22	56	76	46	1.17	65
HW34	100	38	78	106	58	1.47	75
HW43	130	54	93	126	54	1.37	90
HW42	200	93	92	125	65	1.65	95
HW36	220	104	91	123	62	1.57	100
HW41	250	121	98	133	69	1.75	100

Table 5-4 Charpy V-notch Data for the South Texas Unit 2 Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ)
Material Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

Sample Number	Temperature		Impact Energy		Lateral Expansion		Shear
	°F	°C	ft-lbs	Joules	mils	mm	%
HH42	-150	-101	5	7	2	0.05	0
HH37	-90	-68	23	31	11	0.28	10
HH36	-70	-57	32	43	19	0.48	15
HH33	-60	-51	7	9	3	0.08	15
HH31	-50	-46	39	53	19	0.48	20
HH44	-20	-29	51	69	25	0.64	25
HH34	0	-18	42	57	22	0.56	35
HH40	0	-18	72	98	42	1.07	50
HH39	30	-1	113	153	73	1.85	80
HH45	50	10	37	50	24	0.61	45
HH41	70	21	99	134	52	1.32	95
HH38	72	22	114	155	77	1.96	90
HH35	130	54	106	144	66	1.68	100
HH43	200	93	154	209	79	2.01	100
HH32	250	121	159	216	69	1.75	100

Table 5-5 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) (Longitudinal Orientation)

Sample Number	Test Temp (°F)	Total Dial Energy, KV (ft-lb)	Total Instrumented Energy, W_t (ft-lb)	Difference, (KV- W_t)/KV (%)	Energy to Max Load, W_m (ft-lb)	Maximum Load, F_m (lb)	Time to F_m (msec)	General Yield Load, F_{gy} (lb)	Time to F_{gy} (msec)	Fracture Load, F_{bf} (lb)	Arrest Load, F_a (lb)
HL44	-70	7	6.3	10	4	4290	0.12	3300	0.09	3670	N/A
HL39	-20	14	12	14	9	3740	0.18	3300	0.05	3580	N/A
HL40	-10	31	28	10	27	4170	0.48	3300	0.06	4160	N/A
HL34	0	32	28	13	27	4100	0.48	3300	0.06	4100	N/A
HL41	10	46	41	11	36	4300	0.62	3300	0.06	4180	N/A
HL36	20	42	37	12	36	4240	0.62	3300	0.06	4230	100
HL38	30	33	29	12	26	4030	0.48	3200	0.07	4000	300
HL33	40	53	46	13	35	4200	0.60	3200	0.06	4110	700
HL37	50	67	58	13	35	4180	0.61	3200	0.06	3950	900
HL32	72	83	74	11	35	4250	0.61	3100	0.05	3680	1400
HL35	130	101	93	8	33	4040	0.60	2900	0.05	3250	2210
HL43	160	114	103	10	33	3950	0.62	2800	0.07	2780	2050
HL31	200	122	111	9	31	3840	0.60	2700	0.06	1480	1100
HL45	220	136	124	9	31	3860	0.60	2700	0.05	N/A	N/A
HL42	250	143	131	8	31	3800	0.61	2500	0.05	N/A	N/A

Enclosure
NOC-AE-13002957

Table 5-6 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) (Transverse Orientation)

Sample Number	Test Temp (°F)	Total Dial Energy, KV (ft-lb)	Total Instrumented Energy, W_t (ft-lb)	Difference, $(KV-W_t)/KV$ (%)	Energy to Max Load, W_m (ft-lb)	Maximum Load, F_m (lb)	Time to F_m (msec)	General Yield Load, F_{gy} (lb)	Time to F_{gy} (msec)	Fracture Load, F_{bf} (lb)	Arrest Load, F_a (lb)
HT40	-70	4	3.8	5	3.1	3990	0.09	2200	0.05	3900	N/A
HT45	0	17	15	12	13	3770	0.26	3100	0.07	3650	N/A
HT41	30	21	19	10	14	3630	0.29	3100	0.08	3500	N/A
HT34	40	15	13	13	9	3450	0.19	3200	0.08	3400	400
HT33	50	27	23	15	21	3780	0.40	3100	0.06	3760	220
HT37	60	29	25	14	21	3810	0.40	3200	0.06	3750	200
HT42	60	25	22	12	18	3930	0.36	3100	0.07	3880	500
HT32	72	44	38	14	34	4100	0.62	3100	0.06	4080	1060
HT35	72	45	39	13	34	3940	0.62	3100	0.06	3850	800
HT44	80	46	40	13	35	4070	0.62	3100	0.06	3940	1100
HT43	100	51	44	14	34	4010	0.60	3100	0.06	3900	1800
HT31	130	75	66	12	34	3980	0.61	2800	0.06	2440	1690
HT36	200	86	78	9	31	3770	0.60	2600	0.06	3020	2600
HT38	220	96	87	9	32	3780	0.62	2600	0.06	N/A	N/A
HT39	250	97	89	8	32	3760	0.62	2700	0.06	N/A	N/A

Table 5-7 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)
Irradiated to a Fluence of $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$)

Sample Number	Test Temp (°F)	Total Dial Energy, KV (ft-lb)	Total Instrumented Energy, W _i (ft-lb)	Difference, (KV-W _i)/KV (%)	Energy to Max Load, W _m (ft-lb)	Maximum Load, F _m (lb)	Time to F _m (msec)	General Yield Load, F _{gy} (lb)	Time to F _{gy} (msec)	Fracture Load, F _{bf} (lb)	Arrest Load, F _a (lb)
HW40	-70	4	3.1	23 ^(a)	1.7	3580	0.06	2600	0.05	3520	N/A
HW37	-20	15	14	7	4	4150	0.11	3500	0.08	3570	900
HW38	0	27	25	7	3	3790	0.09	3100	0.06	3690	600
HW31	20	57	52	9	34	4000	0.64	3000	0.08	3730	1100
HW33	30	32	28	13	18	3720	0.36	3100	0.08	3370	1200
HW32	40	34	31	9	21	3750	0.40	3200	0.06	3550	1300
HW35	40	38	35	8	27	3830	0.51	3100	0.06	3810	1400
HW39	50	56	50	11	33	3730	0.62	3100	0.07	3330	1830
HW45	60	54	47	13	33	3760	0.62	3000	0.06	3520	1590
HW44	72	56	51	9	33	3840	0.61	3000	0.06	3530	1720
HW34	100	78	72	8	34	4020	0.61	3000	0.05	3360	2230
HW43	130	93	87	6	34	4000	0.62	3000	0.05	3280	2750
HW42	200	92	85	8	32	3760	0.63	2900	0.07	2900	2520
HW36	220	91	83	9	30	3650	0.60	2800	0.05	N/A	N/A
HW41	250	98	89	9	31	3730	0.61	2700	0.06	N/A	N/A
Note: (a) Values are within approximately 1 Joule, as acceptable per ASTM E2298-09.											

 Enclosure
 NOC-AE-13002957

Table 5-8 Instrumented Charpy Impact Test Results for the South Texas Unit 2 Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material Irradiated to a Fluence of 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

Sample Number	Test Temp (°F)	Total Dial Energy, KV (ft-lb)	Total Instrumented Energy, W _t (ft-lb)	Difference, (KV-W _t)/KV (%)	Energy to Max Load, W _m (ft-lb)	Maximum Load, F _m (lb)	Time to F _m (msec)	General Yield Load, F _{gy} (lb)	Time to F _{gy} (msec)	Fracture Load, F _{bf} (lb)	Arrest Load, F _a (lb)
HH42	-150	5	5.3	-6	4.7	4560	0.11	3400	0.06	4480	N/A
HH37	-90	23	22	4	21	4410	0.36	3300	0.06	4280	N/A
HH36	-70	32	29	9	28	4340	0.46	3400	0.07	4220	N/A
HH33	-60	7	6	10	3.5	4420	0.09	3200	0.05	3630	400
HH31	-50	39	36	8	32	4560	0.50	3300	0.05	4420	510
HH44	-20	51	44	14	39	4570	0.62	3500	0.06	4300	560
HH34	0	42	37	12	30	4320	0.50	3400	0.06	4250	1600
HH40	0	72	63	13	37	4450	0.62	3200	0.06	4070	1670
HH39	30	113	102	10	36	4270	0.62	3200	0.07	1680	1000
HH45	50	37	33	11	16	3890	0.26	3300	0.06	3500	2100
HH41	70	99	90	9	35	4180	0.60	3200	0.06	N/A	N/A
HH38	72	114	107	6	36	4310	0.61	3100	0.06	1020	460
HH35	130	106	96	9	35	4110	0.61	3100	0.06	N/A	N/A
HH43	200	154	141	8	43	4040	0.77	2900	0.06	N/A	N/A
HH32	250	159	147	8	34	4040	0.62	2800	0.05	N/A	N/A

Enclosure
NOC-AE-13002957

Table 5-9 Effect of Irradiation to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) on the Charpy V-Notch Toughness Properties of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Capsule W Materials

Material	Average 30 ft-lb Transition Temperature ^(a) (°F)			Average 35 mil Lateral Expansion Temperature ^(a) (°F)			Average 50 ft-lb Transition Temperature ^(a) (°F)			Average Energy Absorption at Full Shear ^(a) (ft-lb)		
	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔT	Unirradiated	Irradiated	ΔE
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)	-37.9	-2.6	35.3	-1.0	41.4	42.4	-7.2	34.1	41.3	138	134	-4
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)	-18.3	56.6	74.9	25.4	97.7	72.3	18.3	89.5	71.2	99	93	-6
Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)	-14.1	12.3	26.4	9.8	37.2	27.4	12.0	49.3	37.3	97	94	-3
Heat-Affected Zone Material	-110.3	-54.5	55.8	-60.9	1.5	62.4	-81.7	-14.5	67.2	146	130	-16
Note: (a) Average value is determined by CVGraph (see Appendix C).												

Table 5-10 Comparison of the South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Material 30 ft-lb Transition Temperature Shifts and Upper-Shelf Energy Decreases with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Predictions

Material	Capsule	Capsule Fluence ($\times 10^{19}$ n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV)	30 ft-lb Transition Temperature Shift		Upper-Shelf Energy Decrease	
			Predicted ^(a) (°F)	Measured ^(b) (°F)	Predicted ^(a) (%)	Measured ^(b) (%)
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)	V	0.2448	16.1	16.5	14	---
	Y	1.248	27.6	34.3	20	4
	U	2.493	32.4	28.9	24	1
	W	4.201	35.5	35.3	27	3
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)	V	0.2448	16.1	12.2	14	---
	Y	1.248	27.6	36.0	20	---
	U	2.493	32.4	40.5	24	---
	W	4.201	35.5	74.9	27	6
Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)	V	0.2448	15.2	0.0 ^(c)	14	4
	Y	1.248	26.0	3.6	20	2
	U	2.493	30.5	20.4	24	2
	W	4.201	33.5	26.4	27	3
Heat-Affected Zone Material	V	0.2448	---	0.0 ^(c)	---	6
	Y	1.248	---	47.6	---	6
	U	2.493	---	14.9	---	12
	W	4.201	---	55.8	---	11

Notes:

(a) Based on Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, methodology using the mean weight percent values of copper and nickel of the surveillance material.

(b) Calculated by CVGraph Version 5.3 using measured Charpy data (See Appendix C).

(c) Measured ΔT_{NDT} value was determined to be negative, but physically a reduction should not occur; therefore, a conservative value of zero is used.

Table 5-11 Tensile Properties of the South Texas Unit 2 Capsule W Reactor Vessel Surveillance Materials Irradiated to 4.201×10^{19} n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV)

Material	Sample Number	Test Temp. (°F)	0.2% Yield Strength (ksi)	Ultimate Strength (ksi)	Fracture Load (kip)	Fracture Stress (ksi)	Fracture Strength (ksi)	Uniform Elongation (%)	Total Elongation (%)	Reduction in Area (%)
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)	HL7	78	76.2	97.6	3.06	200	62.4	9.9	24.9	69
	HL8	300	71.4	89.8	2.95	158	60.2	9.5	21.1	62
	HL9	550	70.3	91.5	3.22	149	65.5	10.2	20.9	56
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)	HT7	75	76.9	97.5	3.33	182	67.9	10.0	22.6	63
	HT8	300	70.7	89.2	3.01	142	61.3	8.8	19.2	57
	HT9	550	69.4	93.2	2.81	91	57.3	9.2	15.8	37
Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)	HW7	75	80.6	96.5	3.07	197	62.6	10.6	27.1	68
	HW8	300	75.8	90.6	2.97	167	60.6	10.1	22.9	64
	HW9	550	75.0	94.2	3.19	170	64.9	9.5	21.4	62

Enclosure
NOC-AE-13002957




Figure 5-1 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)




Figure 5-2 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)




Figure 5-3 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)




Figure 5-4 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)




Figure 5-5 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)




Figure 5-6 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)





Figure 5-7 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)



**Figure 5-8 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2
Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)**




Figure 5-9 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)




Figure 5-10 Charpy V-Notch Impact Energy vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material




Figure 5-11 Charpy V-Notch Lateral Expansion vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material




Figure 5-12 Charpy V-Notch Percent Shear vs. Temperature for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material




Figure 5-13 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)



Figure 5-14 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)




Figure 5-15 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)





Figure 5-16 Charpy Impact Specimen Fracture Surfaces for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Heat-Affected Zone Material



Legend: ▲ and ● and ■ are unirradiated
△ and ○ and □ are irradiated to $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$)





Figure 5-17 Tensile Properties for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)



Legend: ▲ and ● and ■ are unirradiated
△ and ○ and □ are irradiated to $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$)





Figure 5-18 Tensile Properties for South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)



Legend: ▲ and ● and ■ are unirradiated
△ and ○ and □ are irradiated to $4.201 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$)







Figure 5-19 Tensile Properties for the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)



Specimen HL7- Tested at 78°F




Specimen HL8 - Tested at 300°F




Specimen HL9 - Tested at 550°F


Figure 5-20 Fractured Tensile Specimens from South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal Orientation)



Specimen HT7 - Tested at 75°F




Specimen HT8 - Tested at 300°F




Specimen HT9 - Tested at 550°F


Figure 5-21 Fractured Tensile Specimens from South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse Orientation)



Specimen HW7 - Tested at 75°F



Specimen HW8 - Tested at 300°F



Specimen HW9 - Tested at 550°F

Figure 5-22 Fractured Tensile Specimens from the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)




Figure 5-23 Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Tensile Specimens HL7, HL8 and HL9 (Longitudinal Orientation)




Figure 5-24 Engineering Stress-Strain Curves for South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Tensile Specimen HT7, HT8, and HT9 (Transverse Orientation)




Figure 5-25 Engineering Stress-Strain Curve for South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209) Tensile Specimen HW7, HW8, and HW9

6 RADIATION ANALYSIS AND NEUTRON DOSIMETRY

6.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes a discrete ordinates S_n transport analysis performed for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor to determine the neutron radiation environment within the reactor pressure vessel and surveillance capsules. In this analysis, fast neutron exposure parameters in terms of fast neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and iron atom displacements (dpa) were established on a plant- and fuel-cycle-specific basis. An evaluation of the most recent dosimetry sensor set from Capsule W, withdrawn at the end of the fifteenth plant operating cycle, is provided. In addition, to provide an up-to-date data base applicable to the South Texas Unit 2 reactor, the sensor sets from the previously withdrawn capsules (V, Y, and U) are presented in Appendix A of this report. Comparisons of the results from these dosimetry evaluations with the analytical predictions served to validate the plant-specific neutron transport calculations. These validated calculations subsequently formed the basis for providing projections of the neutron exposure of the reactor pressure vessel for operating periods extending to 60 EFY.

The use of fast neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) to correlate measured material property changes to the neutron exposure of the material has traditionally been accepted for the development of damage trend curves as well as for the implementation of trend curve data to assess the condition of the vessel. In recent years, however, it has been suggested that an exposure model that accounts for differences in neutron energy spectra between surveillance capsule locations and positions within the vessel wall could lead to an improvement in the uncertainties associated with damage trend curves and improved accuracy in the evaluation of damage gradients through the reactor vessel wall.

Because of this potential shift away from a threshold fluence toward an energy-dependent damage function for data correlation, ASTM Standard Practice E853-01, "Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results," [Ref. 17] recommends reporting displacements per iron atom (dpa) along with fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) to provide a database for future reference. The energy-dependent dpa function to be used for this evaluation is specified in ASTM Standard Practice E693-01, "Standard Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements per Atom" [Ref. 18]. The application of the dpa parameter to the assessment of embrittlement gradients through the thickness of the reactor vessel wall has already been promulgated in Revision 2 to Regulatory Guide 1.99, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials" [Ref. 1].

All of the calculations and dosimetry evaluations described in this section and in Appendix A were based on the available nuclear cross-section data derived from ENDF/B-VI and made use of the latest available calculational tools. Furthermore, the neutron transport and dosimetry evaluation methodologies follow the guidance of Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence" [Ref. 19]. Additionally, the methods used to develop the calculated pressure vessel fluence are consistent with the NRC-approved methodology described in WCAP-14040-A, Revision 4, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves," [Ref. 20].

6.2 DISCRETE ORDINATES ANALYSIS

The arrangement of the surveillance capsules in the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel is shown in Figure 4-1. Six irradiation capsules attached to the neutron pad are included in the reactor design that constitutes the reactor vessel surveillance program. The capsules are located at azimuthal angles of 58.5°, 61.0°, 121.5°, 238.5°, 241.0°, and 301.5° as shown in Figure 4-1. These full-core positions correspond to the following octant symmetric locations: Figure 6-1 shows the octants with no surveillance capsules with a 12.5° neutron pad, and a surveillance capsule located at 31.5° from the core cardinal axes is shown in Figure 6-2 for the 121.5° and 301.5° single surveillance capsule holder locations found in octants with a 20.0° neutron pad segment, and the surveillance capsules located at 29° from the core cardinal axes is shown in Figure 6-3 for the 61.0° and 241.0° dual surveillance capsule holder locations found in octants with a 22.5° neutron pad segment. In Figure 6-3, the 58.5° and the 238.5° dual surveillance capsule holder locations found in octants with a 22.5° neutron pad segment are also shown. The stainless steel specimen containers are 1.655-inch by 1.25-inch and are approximately 60.68 inches in height [Ref. 21]. The containers are positioned axially such that the test specimens are centered on the core midplane, thus spanning the central 5 feet of the 14-foot-high reactor core.

From a neutronic standpoint, the surveillance capsules and associated support structures are significant. The presence of these materials has a marked effect on both the spatial distribution of neutron flux and the neutron energy spectrum in the water annulus between the neutron pads and the reactor vessel. In order to determine the neutron environment at the test specimen location, the capsules themselves must be included in the analytical model.

For the South Texas Unit 2 analysis, all of the transport calculations were carried out using the RAPTOR-M3G three-dimensional parallel discrete ordinates code Version 2.0 [Ref. 22] and the BUGLE-96 cross section library [Ref. 23]. The BUGLE-96 library provides a 67-group coupled neutron-gamma ray cross-section data set produced specifically for light water reactor applications. In these analyses, anisotropic scattering was treated with a P_5 legendre expansion and the angular discretization was modeled with an S_{16} order of angular quadrature.

Three 3-dimensional r - θ - z geometry models were built for South Texas Unit 2. Plan views in the r , θ plane of the r - θ - z geometry of the South Texas Unit 2 reactor at the core midplane are shown in Figures 6-1, 6-2, and 6-3. In each of these figures, a single octant is depicted showing the arrangement of neutron pads and surveillance capsules as applicable. In regard to these three geometries, it should be noted that the maximum exposure of the pressure vessel occurs in octants with the 12.5° neutron pad span where no surveillance capsules are present. Further, the surveillance capsules with dual holders and ex-vessel neutron dosimetry [Ref. 24] are located in octants with the 22.5° neutron pad span. The surveillance capsules with single holders are located in octants with the 20° neutron pad span.

Section views in the r , z plane of the r - θ - z model with 22.5° neutron pad span of the South Texas Unit 2 reactor is shown in Figure 6-4 and Figure 6-5 for azimuthal angles at 35° and 29°, respectively. The surveillance capsule can be seen in Figure 6-5 for the azimuthal angle at 29°. In the r , z plane, the model extended radially from the centerline of the reactor core out to a location interior to the primary biological shield and over an axial span from an elevation about three feet below the active fuel to about five-and-a-half feet above the active fuel. The important features in the r , z plane, such as the stainless steel former plates located between the core baffle and core barrel regions were explicitly included in the r - θ - z models.

Other features important to ex-vessel neutron dosimetry such as reactor pressure vessel insulation region and ex-core detector wells were also included in the models.

In developing the r - θ - z analytical models of the reactor geometry shown in Figures 6-1 through 6-5, nominal design dimensions were employed for the various structural components. Water temperatures and, hence, coolant densities in the reactor core, bypass, and downcomer regions were taken to be representative of full power operating conditions. These coolant temperatures were varied on a cycle-specific basis and are described in more detail later in this section. The reactor core itself was treated as a homogeneous mixture of fuel, cladding, water; and miscellaneous core structures such as fuel assembly grids, guide tubes, et cetera. These three 3-dimensional models have the same number of 208 radial by 197 azimuthal by 190 axial intervals with the same fine mesh boundaries. The differences between these three models are implemented by filling cells with different zone materials. Mesh sizes were chosen to assure that proper convergence of the inner iterations was achieved on a pointwise basis. The pointwise inner iteration flux convergence criterion utilized in the r - θ - z calculations was set at a value of 0.001.

The core power distributions used in the plant-specific transport analysis for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor were taken from appropriate design documentation [Ref. 16, 25 - 31]. The data extracted included fuel assembly-specific initial enrichments, beginning-of-cycle burnups and end-of-cycle burnups. Appropriate axial power distributions were also obtained.

For each fuel cycle of operation, the fuel assembly-specific enrichment and burnup data were used to generate the spatially dependent neutron source throughout the reactor core. This source description included the spatial variation of isotope-dependent (U-235, U-238, Pu-239, Pu-240, Pu-241, and Pu-242) fission spectra, neutron emission rate per fission, and energy release per fission based on the burnup history of individual fuel assemblies. These fuel assembly-specific neutron source strengths derived from the detailed isotopics were then converted from fuel pin cartesian coordinates to the $[r, \theta, z]$ spatial mesh arrays used in the RAPTOR-M3G discrete ordinates calculations.

Selected results from the neutron transport analyses are provided in Tables 6-1 through 6-4. In Table 6-1, the calculated exposure rates and integrated exposures, expressed in terms of both neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and dpa, are given at the radial and azimuthal center of the octant symmetric surveillance capsule positions, i.e., for the 29.0° dual capsule, 31.5° dual capsule, and 31.5° single capsule. These results, representative of the axial midplane of the active core, establish the calculated exposure of the surveillance capsules withdrawn to date as well as projected into the future. Similar information is provided in Table 6-2 for the reactor vessel inner radius at five azimuthal locations. The vessel data given in Table 6-2 were taken at the clad/base metal interface, and thus, represent maximum calculated exposure levels on the vessel.

From the data provided in Table 6-2 it is noted that the peak clad/base metal interface vessel fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) at the end of the sixteenth fuel cycle (i.e., after 19.63 EFPY of plant operation) was 1.48×10^{19} n/cm².

Both calculated fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and dpa data are provided in Tables 6-1 and 6-2. These data tabulations include both plant- and fuel-cycle-specific calculated neutron exposures at the end of the sixteenth fuel cycle as well as future projections to 20, 26, 30, 34, 40, 44, 48, 54, and 60 EFPY. The calculations account for an uprate from 3800 MWt to 3853 MWt that occurred at the beginning of Cycle

10. The projections were based on the assumption that the core power distributions and associated plant operating characteristics from Cycle 15 [Ref. 30] were representative of future plant operation. The future projections are also based on the current reactor power level of 3853 MWt.

The calculated fast neutron exposures for the four surveillance capsules withdrawn from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor are provided in Table 6-3. These assigned neutron exposure levels are based on the plant- and fuel-cycle-specific neutron transport calculations performed for the South Texas Unit 2 reactor.

From the data provided in Table 6-3, Capsule W received a fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) of 4.20×10^{19} n/cm² after exposure through the end of the fifteenth fuel cycle (i.e., after 18.30 EFPY of plant operation).

Updated lead factors for the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsules are provided in Table 6-4. The capsule lead factor is defined as the ratio of the calculated fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) at the geometric center of the surveillance capsule to the maximum calculated fluence at the pressure vessel clad/base metal interface at the time the capsule is removed from the reactor. In Table 6-4, the lead factors for capsules that have been withdrawn from the reactor (V, Y, U, and W) were based on the calculated fluence values for the irradiation period corresponding to the time of withdrawal for the individual capsules. Capsules X and Z remain in the reactor and their lead factors have also been reported in Table 6-4, based on End-of-Cycle (EOC) 15, which is the last completed cycle.

6.3 NEUTRON DOSIMETRY

The validity of the calculated neutron exposures reported in Section 6.2 is demonstrated by a direct comparison against the measured sensor reaction rates and via a least-squares evaluation performed for each of the capsule dosimetry sets. However, since the neutron dosimetry measurement data merely serve to validate the calculated results, only the direct comparison of measured-to-calculated results for the most recent surveillance capsule removed from service is provided in this section of the report. For completeness, the assessment of all measured dosimetry removed to date, based on both direct and least-squares evaluation comparisons, is documented in Appendix A.

The direct comparison of measured versus calculated fast neutron threshold reaction rates for the sensors from Capsule W, that was withdrawn from South Texas Unit 2 at the end of the fifteenth fuel cycle, is summarized below.

Reaction	Reaction Rates (rps/atom)		M/C Ratio
	Measured (M)	Calculated (C)	
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.05E-17	4.14E-17	0.98
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	4.26E-15	4.49E-15	0.95
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	6.39E-15	6.26E-15	1.02
$^{238}\text{U}(\text{Cd})(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	2.21E-14	2.35E-14	0.94
$^{237}\text{Np}(\text{Cd})(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	2.10E-13	2.21E-13	0.95
Average:			0.97
% Standard Deviation:			3.4

The measured-to-calculated (M/C) reaction rate ratios for the Capsule W threshold reactions range from 0.94 to 1.02, and the average M/C ratio is $0.97 \pm 3.4\%$ (1σ). This direct comparison falls well within the $\pm 20\%$ criterion specified in Regulatory Guide 1.190; furthermore, it is consistent with the full set of comparisons given in Appendix A for all measured dosimetry removed to date from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor. These comparisons validate the current analytical results described in Section 6.2; therefore, the calculations are deemed applicable for South Texas Unit 2.

6.4 CALCULATIONAL UNCERTAINTIES

The uncertainty associated with the calculated neutron exposure of the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsule and reactor pressure vessel is based on the recommended approach provided in Regulatory Guide 1.190. In particular, the qualification of the methodology was carried out in the following four stages:

1. Comparison of calculations with benchmark measurements from the Pool Critical Assembly (PCA) simulator at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL).
2. Comparisons of calculations with surveillance capsule and reactor cavity measurements from the H. B. Robinson power reactor benchmark experiment.

3. An analytical sensitivity study addressing the uncertainty components resulting from important input parameters applicable to the plant-specific transport calculations used in the neutron exposure assessments.
4. Comparisons of the plant-specific calculations with all available dosimetry results from the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program.

The first phase of the methods qualification (PCA comparisons) addressed the adequacy of basic transport calculation and dosimetry evaluation techniques and associated cross-sections. This phase, however, did not test the accuracy of commercial core neutron source calculations nor did it address uncertainties in operational or geometric variables that impact power reactor calculations. The second phase of the qualification (H. B. Robinson comparisons) addressed uncertainties in these additional areas that are primarily methods related and would tend to apply generically to all fast neutron exposure evaluations. The third phase of the qualification (analytical sensitivity study) identified the potential uncertainties introduced into the overall evaluation due to calculational methods approximations as well as to a lack of knowledge relative to various plant-specific input parameters. The overall calculational uncertainty applicable to the South Texas Unit 2 analysis was established from results of these three phases of the methods qualification.

The fourth phase of the uncertainty assessment (comparisons with South Texas Unit 2 measurements) was used solely to demonstrate the validity of the transport calculations and to confirm the uncertainty estimates associated with the analytical results. The comparison was used only as a check and was not used in any way to modify the calculated surveillance capsule and pressure vessel neutron exposures previously described in Section 6.2. As such, the validation of the South Texas Unit 2 analytical model based on the measured plant dosimetry is completely described in Appendix A.

The following summarizes the uncertainties developed from the first three phases of the methodology qualification. Additional information pertinent to these evaluations is provided in Reference 20. Please note the uncertainties assigned in the table below for capsule and vessel inner radius are taken from References 32 and 33, respectively.

	Capsule	Vessel IR
PCA Comparisons	3%	3%
H. B. Robinson Comparisons	3%	3%
Analytical Sensitivity Studies	10%	11%
Additional Uncertainty for Factors not Explicitly Evaluated	5%	5%
Net Calculational Uncertainty	12%	13%

The net calculational uncertainty was determined by combining the individual components in quadrature. Therefore, the resultant uncertainty was treated as random and no systematic bias was applied to the analytical results.

The plant-specific measurement comparisons described in Appendix A support these uncertainty assessments for South Texas Unit 2.

Table 6-1 Calculated Neutron Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Surveillance Capsule Center^(a)

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS ^(b)]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Neutron Flux (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm ² -s]		
				Dual 29.0°	Dual 31.5°	Single 31.5°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	8.97E+10	9.74E+10	9.61E+10
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	6.75E+10	7.13E+10	6.90E+10
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	7.67E+10	8.15E+10	7.90E+10
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	8.08E+10	8.69E+10	8.57E+10
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	7.00E+10	7.55E+10	7.44E+10
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	5.65E+10	5.95E+10	5.86E+10
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	7.23E+10	8.49E+10	8.40E+10
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	6.97E+10	7.66E+10	7.56E+10
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	6.14E+10	6.78E+10	6.69E+10
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	6.82E+10	7.37E+10	7.26E+10
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	6.55E+10	7.21E+10	7.12E+10
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	6.21E+10	6.77E+10	6.68E+10
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	6.30E+10	6.90E+10	6.81E+10
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	6.47E+10	7.13E+10	7.04E+10
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	6.53E+10	7.23E+10	7.13E+10
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	6.33E+10	6.89E+10	6.79E+10
Note: (a) Neutron exposure values reported for the surveillance capsules are centered at the core midplane. (b) Effective-Full-Power-Seconds						

Table 6-1 (Continued) Calculated Neutron Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Surveillance Capsule Center^(a)

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS ^(b)]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EPY]	Neutron Fluence (E > 1.0 MeV) [n/cm ²]		
				Dual 29.0°	Dual 31.5°	Single 31.5°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	2.45E+18	2.66E+18	2.62E+18
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	3.97E+18	4.26E+18	4.18E+18
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	6.48E+18	6.94E+18	6.77E+18
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	9.82E+18	1.05E+19	1.03E+19
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	1.25E+19	1.34E+19	1.31E+19
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	1.52E+19	1.63E+19	1.60E+19
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	1.74E+19	1.88E+19	1.85E+19
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	2.01E+19	2.18E+19	2.15E+19
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	2.29E+19	2.49E+19	2.45E+19
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	2.52E+19	2.74E+19	2.70E+19
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	2.81E+19	3.06E+19	3.01E+19
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	3.08E+19	3.35E+19	3.30E+19
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	3.36E+19	3.66E+19	3.60E+19
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	3.63E+19	3.96E+19	3.90E+19
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	3.91E+19	4.27E+19	4.20E+19
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	4.19E+19	4.57E+19	4.50E+19
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	4.26E+19	4.65E+19	4.58E+19
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	5.46E+19	5.95E+19	5.86E+19
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	6.26E+19	6.82E+19	6.72E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	7.06E+19	7.69E+19	7.58E+19
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	8.25E+19	9.00E+19	8.87E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	9.05E+19	9.86E+19	9.72E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	9.85E+19	1.07E+20	1.06E+20
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	1.10E+20	1.20E+20	1.19E+20
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	1.22E+20	1.33E+20	1.32E+20

Note:

- (a) Neutron exposure values reported for the surveillance capsules are centered at the core midplane.
(b) Effective-Full-Power-Seconds

Table 6-1 (Continued) Calculated Neutron Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Surveillance Capsule Center^(a)

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS ^(b)]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EPY]	Iron Atom Displacement Rate [dpa/s]		
				Dual 29.0°	Dual 31.5°	Single 31.5°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	1.75E-10	1.90E-10	1.87E-10
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	1.31E-10	1.38E-10	1.33E-10
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	1.49E-10	1.58E-10	1.52E-10
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	1.57E-10	1.69E-10	1.66E-10
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	1.36E-10	1.46E-10	1.44E-10
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	1.09E-10	1.15E-10	1.13E-10
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	1.40E-10	1.65E-10	1.62E-10
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	1.35E-10	1.48E-10	1.45E-10
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	1.19E-10	1.31E-10	1.29E-10
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	1.32E-10	1.42E-10	1.40E-10
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	1.27E-10	1.39E-10	1.37E-10
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	1.20E-10	1.31E-10	1.29E-10
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	1.25E-10	1.38E-10	1.35E-10
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	1.26E-10	1.40E-10	1.37E-10
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	1.22E-10	1.33E-10	1.31E-10
Note: (a) Neutron exposure values reported for the surveillance capsules are centered at the core midplane. (b) Effective-Full-Power-Seconds						

Table 6-1 (Continued) Calculated Neutron Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Surveillance Capsule Center^(a)

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS ^(b)]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Iron Atom Displacement [dpa]		
				Dual 29.0°	Dual 31.5°	Single 31.5°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	4.77E-03	5.19E-03	5.09E-03
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	7.72E-03	8.30E-03	8.09E-03
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	1.26E-02	1.35E-02	1.31E-02
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	1.91E-02	2.05E-02	1.99E-02
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	2.42E-02	2.60E-02	2.54E-02
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	2.96E-02	3.16E-02	3.09E-02
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	3.37E-02	3.65E-02	3.57E-02
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	3.90E-02	4.24E-02	4.14E-02
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	4.44E-02	4.83E-02	4.73E-02
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	4.89E-02	5.31E-02	5.20E-02
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	5.44E-02	5.92E-02	5.80E-02
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	5.96E-02	6.49E-02	6.35E-02
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	6.50E-02	7.08E-02	6.94E-02
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	7.03E-02	7.66E-02	7.51E-02
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	7.58E-02	8.26E-02	8.10E-02
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	8.10E-02	8.84E-02	8.67E-02
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	8.25E-02	9.00E-02	8.82E-02
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	1.06E-01	1.15E-01	1.13E-01
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	1.21E-01	1.32E-01	1.29E-01
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	1.36E-01	1.49E-01	1.46E-01
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	1.60E-01	1.74E-01	1.71E-01
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	1.75E-01	1.91E-01	1.87E-01
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	1.90E-01	2.08E-01	2.04E-01
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	2.14E-01	2.33E-01	2.28E-01
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	2.37E-01	2.58E-01	2.53E-01
Note: (a) Neutron exposure values reported for the surveillance capsules are centered at the core midplane. (b) Effective-Full-Power-Seconds						

Table 6-2 Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Maximum Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Neutron Flux ($E > 1.0$ MeV) [n/cm ² -s]				
				0°	15°	30°	44°	45°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	1.22E+10	1.89E+10	2.20E+10	2.93E+10	2.93E+10
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	1.21E+10	1.75E+10	1.75E+10	2.28E+10	2.28E+10
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	1.18E+10	1.81E+10	1.86E+10	2.33E+10	2.31E+10
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	1.19E+10	1.80E+10	1.97E+10	2.78E+10	2.76E+10
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	9.84E+09	1.49E+10	1.68E+10	2.36E+10	2.33E+10
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	8.81E+09	1.30E+10	1.40E+10	1.96E+10	1.96E+10
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	7.53E+09	1.19E+10	1.83E+10	3.25E+10	3.22E+10
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	8.20E+09	1.29E+10	1.73E+10	2.52E+10	2.52E+10
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	7.71E+09	1.14E+10	1.54E+10	2.14E+10	2.14E+10
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	9.05E+09	1.42E+10	1.70E+10	2.21E+10	2.21E+10
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	8.17E+09	1.27E+10	1.68E+10	2.46E+10	2.46E+10
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	8.15E+09	1.25E+10	1.56E+10	2.29E+10	2.29E+10
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	7.44E+09	1.18E+10	1.59E+10	2.27E+10	2.27E+10
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	8.15E+09	1.21E+10	1.64E+10	2.34E+10	2.34E+10
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	8.31E+09	1.24E+10	1.65E+10	2.38E+10	2.38E+10
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	8.65E+09	1.26E+10	1.59E+10	2.24E+10	2.24E+10

Table 6-2 (Continued) Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Maximum Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Neutron Fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) [n/cm ²]				
				0°	15°	30°	44°	45°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	3.32E+17	5.15E+17	6.00E+17	8.00E+17	8.00E+17
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	5.83E+17	8.76E+17	9.62E+17	1.31E+18	1.31E+18
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	9.70E+17	1.47E+18	1.57E+18	2.07E+18	2.07E+18
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	1.46E+18	2.21E+18	2.37E+18	3.22E+18	3.21E+18
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	1.83E+18	2.77E+18	3.01E+18	4.12E+18	4.09E+18
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	2.26E+18	3.40E+18	3.69E+18	5.08E+18	5.05E+18
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	2.48E+18	3.75E+18	4.22E+18	6.03E+18	6.00E+18
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	2.80E+18	4.27E+18	4.90E+18	7.03E+18	7.00E+18
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	3.15E+18	4.78E+18	5.59E+18	8.01E+18	7.97E+18
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	3.46E+18	5.26E+18	6.16E+18	8.74E+18	8.71E+18
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	3.81E+18	5.81E+18	6.88E+18	9.82E+18	9.79E+18
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	4.16E+18	6.34E+18	7.54E+18	1.08E+19	1.08E+19
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	4.49E+18	6.87E+18	8.24E+18	1.18E+19	1.18E+19
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	4.82E+18	7.37E+18	8.91E+18	1.28E+19	1.28E+19
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	5.20E+18	7.92E+18	9.61E+18	1.38E+19	1.38E+19
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	5.54E+18	8.42E+18	1.03E+19	1.48E+19	1.48E+19
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	5.64E+18	8.57E+18	1.05E+19	1.51E+19	1.50E+19
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	7.25E+18	1.09E+19	1.35E+19	1.93E+19	1.93E+19
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	8.32E+18	1.25E+19	1.55E+19	2.22E+19	2.21E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	9.40E+18	1.40E+19	1.75E+19	2.50E+19	2.49E+19
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	1.10E+19	1.64E+19	2.05E+19	2.92E+19	2.92E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	1.21E+19	1.80E+19	2.25E+19	3.21E+19	3.20E+19
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	1.32E+19	1.96E+19	2.45E+19	3.49E+19	3.49E+19
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	1.48E+19	2.19E+19	2.76E+19	3.91E+19	3.91E+19
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	1.64E+19	2.43E+19	3.06E+19	4.34E+19	4.33E+19

Table 6-2 (Continued) Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Maximum Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Iron Atom Displacement Rate [dpa/s]				
				0°	15°	30°	44°	45°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	1.88E-11	2.89E-11	3.39E-11	4.45E-11	4.45E-11
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	1.87E-11	2.68E-11	2.70E-11	3.46E-11	3.46E-11
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	1.83E-11	2.78E-11	2.87E-11	3.53E-11	3.50E-11
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	1.84E-11	2.77E-11	3.03E-11	4.22E-11	4.19E-11
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	1.52E-11	2.29E-11	2.59E-11	3.58E-11	3.55E-11
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	1.36E-11	2.00E-11	2.16E-11	2.98E-11	2.98E-11
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	1.17E-11	1.83E-11	2.82E-11	4.93E-11	4.88E-11
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	1.27E-11	1.99E-11	2.67E-11	3.83E-11	3.83E-11
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	1.19E-11	1.76E-11	2.37E-11	3.26E-11	3.26E-11
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	1.40E-11	2.18E-11	2.62E-11	3.36E-11	3.36E-11
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	1.27E-11	1.96E-11	2.59E-11	3.73E-11	3.73E-11
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	1.26E-11	1.93E-11	2.40E-11	3.48E-11	3.48E-11
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	1.15E-11	1.82E-11	2.45E-11	3.44E-11	3.44E-11
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	1.26E-11	1.87E-11	2.53E-11	3.56E-11	3.56E-11
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	1.29E-11	1.91E-11	2.54E-11	3.62E-11	3.62E-11
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	1.34E-11	1.93E-11	2.46E-11	3.40E-11	3.40E-11

Table 6-2 (Continued) Calculated Azimuthal Variation of Maximum Exposure Rates and Integrated Exposures at the Reactor Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface

Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPS]	Cumulative Irradiation Time [EFPY]	Iron Atom Displacements [dpa]				
				0°	15°	30°	44°	45°
1	2.75E+07	2.75E+07	0.87	5.14E-04	7.90E-04	9.26E-04	1.21E-03	1.22E-03
2	2.24E+07	4.99E+07	1.58	9.01E-04	1.34E-03	1.48E-03	1.99E-03	1.99E-03
3	3.28E+07	8.27E+07	2.62	1.50E-03	2.26E-03	2.42E-03	3.15E-03	3.14E-03
4	4.13E+07	1.24E+08	3.93	2.25E-03	3.39E-03	3.66E-03	4.89E-03	4.87E-03
5	3.79E+07	1.62E+08	5.13	2.83E-03	4.25E-03	4.65E-03	6.25E-03	6.22E-03
6	4.89E+07	2.11E+08	6.68	3.49E-03	5.22E-03	5.69E-03	7.71E-03	7.67E-03
7	2.93E+07	2.40E+08	7.61	3.84E-03	5.76E-03	6.51E-03	9.16E-03	9.11E-03
8	3.98E+07	2.80E+08	8.87	4.34E-03	6.55E-03	7.56E-03	1.07E-02	1.06E-02
9	4.54E+07	3.25E+08	10.31	4.88E-03	7.35E-03	8.63E-03	1.22E-02	1.21E-02
10	3.38E+07	3.59E+08	11.38	5.35E-03	8.08E-03	9.51E-03	1.33E-02	1.32E-02
11	4.35E+07	4.03E+08	12.76	5.90E-03	8.92E-03	1.06E-02	1.49E-02	1.49E-02
12	4.32E+07	4.46E+08	14.13	6.44E-03	9.75E-03	1.16E-02	1.64E-02	1.64E-02
13	4.45E+07	4.90E+08	15.54	6.95E-03	1.06E-02	1.27E-02	1.79E-02	1.79E-02
14	4.26E+07	5.33E+08	16.89	7.47E-03	1.13E-02	1.38E-02	1.94E-02	1.94E-02
15	4.45E+07	5.78E+08	18.30	8.06E-03	1.22E-02	1.48E-02	2.10E-02	2.09E-02
16	4.20E+07	6.19E+08	19.63	8.58E-03	1.30E-02	1.59E-02	2.25E-02	2.24E-02
Future	1.17E+07	6.31E+08	20.00	8.74E-03	1.32E-02	1.62E-02	2.29E-02	2.28E-02
Future	1.89E+08	8.20E+08	26.00	1.12E-02	1.68E-02	2.08E-02	2.93E-02	2.93E-02
Future	1.26E+08	9.47E+08	30.00	1.29E-02	1.92E-02	2.39E-02	3.36E-02	3.36E-02
Future	1.26E+08	1.07E+09	34.00	1.45E-02	2.16E-02	2.70E-02	3.79E-02	3.79E-02
Future	1.89E+08	1.26E+09	40.00	1.71E-02	2.52E-02	3.16E-02	4.44E-02	4.43E-02
Future	1.26E+08	1.39E+09	44.00	1.87E-02	2.76E-02	3.47E-02	4.87E-02	4.86E-02
Future	1.26E+08	1.51E+09	48.00	2.04E-02	3.01E-02	3.78E-02	5.30E-02	5.29E-02
Future	1.89E+08	1.70E+09	54.00	2.29E-02	3.37E-02	4.25E-02	5.94E-02	5.94E-02
Future	1.89E+08	1.89E+09	60.00	2.54E-02	3.73E-02	4.71E-02	6.58E-02	6.58E-02

Table 6-3 Calculated Fast Neutron Exposure of Surveillance Capsules Withdrawn from South Texas Unit 2

Capsule	Irradiation Time [EFY]	Fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) [n/cm ²]	Iron Displacements [dpa]
V	0.87	2.45E+18	4.77E-03
Y	5.13	1.25E+19	2.42E-02
U	10.31	2.49E+19	4.83E-02
W	18.30	4.20E+19	8.10E-02

Table 6-4 Calculated Surveillance Capsule Lead Factors

Capsule ID And Location	Status	Lead Factor
V (61°)	Withdrawn EOC 1	3.06
Y (241.0°)	Withdrawn EOC 5	3.03
U (58.5°)	Withdrawn EOC 9	3.11
W (121.5°)	Withdrawn EOC 15	3.04
X (238.5°)	In Reactor	3.09*
Z (301.5°)	In Reactor	3.04*

* The lead factors for the capsules remaining in the reactor are calculated based on EOC 15, the last completed operating cycle.




Figure 6-1 South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 12.5° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane




Figure 6-2 South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 20.0° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane




Figure 6-3 South Texas Unit 2 r,θ Reactor Geometry with a 22.5° Neutron Pad Span at the Core Midplane




Figure 6-4 South Texas Unit 2 r,z Plane with Neutron Pad at 35° Azimuthal Angle




Figure 6-5 South Texas Unit 2 r,z Plane with Surveillance Capsule and Neutron Pad at 29° Azimuthal Angle

7 SURVEILLANCE CAPSULE REMOVAL SCHEDULE

The following surveillance capsule removal schedule (Table 7-1) meets the requirements of ASTM E185-82 [Ref. 8] and is recommended for future capsules to be removed from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel.

Table 7-1 Surveillance Capsule Withdrawal Schedule

Capsule	Capsule Location	Status ^(a)	Capsule Lead Factor ^(a)	Withdrawal EFPY ^(b,c)	Capsule Fluence (n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV) ^(c)
V	61.0° (29.0°, dual)	Withdrawn (EOC 1)	3.06	0.87	2.448 x 10 ¹⁸
Y	241.0° (29.0°, dual)	Withdrawn (EOC 5)	3.03	5.13	1.248 x 10 ¹⁹
U	58.5° (31.5°, dual)	Withdrawn (EOC 9)	3.11	10.31	2.493 x 10 ¹⁹
W	121.5° (31.5°, single)	Withdrawn (EOC 15)	3.04	18.30	4.201 x 10 ¹⁹
X ^(d)	238.5° (31.5°, dual)	In Reactor	3.09	(e)	---
Z ^(d)	301.5° (31.5°, single)	In Reactor	3.04	(e)	---
Notes: (a) Updated in Capsule W dosimetry analysis; see Table 6-4. (b) EFPY from plant startup. (c) Updated in Capsule W dosimetry analysis; see Table 6-3. (d) If South Texas Unit 2 plans to pursue additional license renewals, then the two standby capsules located in the reactor vessel can serve as the 80-year and 100-year operating license capsules. Capsules X and Z have approximately equivalent lead factors and per Table 6-1 have accumulated approximately equivalent fluence. Therefore, at this time, there is no advantage to pull one capsule instead of the other. Whichever capsule is pulled as the 80-year capsule, the other will serve as the 100-year capsule. (e) Capsule X or Z should be withdrawn at the refueling outage after 26 EFPY of plant operation, which is when the fluence on the capsule will be greater than once but less than twice the peak 80-year vessel fluence. The withdrawal schedule for the remaining capsule, which could be considered a 100-year capsule, should be determined when the 80-year capsule is tested because predicted core operation and current regulations may change.					

8 REFERENCES

1. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, *Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials*, May 1988.
2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix G, *Fracture Toughness Requirements*, and Appendix H, *Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements*, Federal Register, Volume 60, No. 243, December 19, 1995.
3. WCAP-9967, Revision 0, *Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Unit No. 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, January 1982.
4. ASTM E185-79, *Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1979.
5. Appendix G to the 1998 through the 2000 Addenda Edition of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section XI, Division 1, *Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Failure*.
6. ASTM E208, *Standard Test Method for Conducting Drop-Weight Test to Determine Nil-Ductility Transition Temperature of Ferritic Steels*, American Society for Testing and Materials.
7. ASTM E399, *Test Method for Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials*, American Society for Testing and Materials.
8. ASTM E185-82, *Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E706 (IF)*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1982.
9. ASTM E23-07a, *Standard Test Method for Notched Bar Impact Testing of Metallic Materials*, ASTM, 2007.
10. ASTM E2298-09, *Standard Test Method for Instrumented Impact Testing of Metallic Materials*, ASTM, 2009.
11. ASTM A370-09, *Standard Test Methods and Definitions for Mechanical Testing of Steel Products*, ASTM, 2009.
12. ASTM E8-09, *Standard Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials*, ASTM, 2009.
13. ASTM E21-09, *Standard Test Methods for Elevated Temperature Tension Tests of Metallic Materials*, ASTM, 2009.
14. WCAP-13182, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule V from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, February 1992.
15. WCAP-14978, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule Y from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, December 1997.

16. WCAP-16093-NP, Revision 2, *Analysis of Capsule U from the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, July 2007.
17. ASTM E853-01, *Standard Practice for Analysis and Interpretation of Light-Water Reactor Surveillance Results, E706 (IA)*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 2001.
18. ASTM E693-01, *Standard Practice for Characterizing Neutron Exposures in Iron and Low Alloy Steels in Terms of Displacements Per Atom (DPA), E706 (ID)*, American Society for Testing and Materials, 2001.
19. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.190, *Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence*, March 2001.
20. WCAP-14040-A, Revision 4, *Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves*, May 2004.
21. Drawing 6117E78, Revision 1, *4 Loop XL Irradiation Specimen Guide*, December 1975.
22. LTR-REA-11-149, Revision 0, *Software Release Letter for RAPTOR-M3G Version 2.0 on GNU/Linux 2.6*, November 7, 2011.
23. RSICC Data Library Collection DLC-185, *BUGLE-96, Coupled 47 Neutron, 20 Gamma-Ray Group Cross Section Library Derived from ENDF/B-VI for LWR Shielding and Pressure Vessel Dosimetry Applications*, July 1999.
24. Drawing 10025E52, Revision 0, *South Texas Unit 2 Ex-Vessel Neutron Dosimetry Installation*, September 2006.
25. WCAP-15937, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 10*, October 2002.
26. WCAP-16218-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 11*, March 2004.
27. WCAP-16462-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 12*, November 2005.
28. WCAP-16725-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 13*, April 2007.
29. WCAP-16991-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 14*, October 2008.
30. WCAP-17222-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 15*, April 2010.

31. WCAP-17509-P, Revision 0, *The Nuclear Design and Core Management of the South Texas Unit 2 Nuclear Power Plant Cycle 16*, November 2011.
32. WCAP-15557, Revision 0, *Qualification of the Westinghouse Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence Evaluation Methodology*, July 1998.
33. WCAP-16083-NP-A, *Benchmark Testing of the FERRET Code for Least Squares Evaluation for Light Water Reactor Dosimetry*, May 2006.

APPENDIX A

VALIDATION OF THE RADIATION TRANSPORT MODELS BASED ON NEUTRON DOSIMETRY MEASUREMENTS

A.1 NEUTRON DOSIMETRY

Comparisons of measured dosimetry results to both the calculated and least-squares adjusted values for all surveillance capsules withdrawn from service to date at South Texas Unit 2 are described herein. The sensor sets from these capsules have been analyzed in accordance with the current dosimetry evaluation methodology described in Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence" [Ref. A-1]. One of the main purposes for presenting this material is to demonstrate that the overall measurements agree with the calculated and least-squares adjusted values to within $\pm 20\%$ as specified by Regulatory Guide 1.190, thus serving to validate the calculated neutron exposures previously reported in Section 6.2 of this report. Please note only three of the four bare wires, along with the cadmium-shielded dosimeter, were found in each of the three dosimeter blocks. After comparing to the gamma-ray spectrometry counting results, it is concluded that the bare aluminum-0.15 weight percent cobalt wires are missing. Since the bare cobalt dosimeter wires are responding mostly to thermal neutrons, missing these dosimeter wires has no impact on the validation of the radiation transport models.

A.1.1 Sensor Reaction Rate Determinations

In this section, the results of the evaluations of the four neutron sensor sets analyzed to date as part of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Materials Surveillance Program are presented. The capsule designation, location within the reactor, and time of withdrawal of each of these dosimetry sets were as follows:

Capsule ID	Azimuthal Location	Withdrawal Time	Irradiation Time [EFPY]
V	29.0° Dual	End of Cycle 1	0.87
Y	29.0° Dual	End of Cycle 5	5.13
U	31.5° Dual	End of Cycle 9	10.31
W	31.5° Single	End of Cycle 15	18.30

The azimuthal locations included in the above tabulation represent the first octant equivalent azimuthal angle of the geometric center of the respective surveillance capsules.

The passive neutron sensors included in the evaluations of Surveillance Capsules V, Y, U, and W are summarized as follows:

Sensor Material	Reaction of Interest	Capsule V	Capsule Y	Capsule Y	Capsule W
Copper-63	$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	X	X	X	X
Iron-54	$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	X	X	X	X
Nickel-58	$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	X	X	X	X
Uranium-238	$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	X	X	X	X
Neptunium-237	$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	X	X	X	X
Cobalt-Aluminum*	$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	X	X	X	X
*The cobalt-aluminum measurements for this plant include both bare and cadmium-covered wire sensors.					

Since all of the dosimetry monitors were located at the radial center of the material test specimen array, radial gradient corrections were not required for these reaction rates. Pertinent physical and nuclear characteristics of the passive neutron sensors are listed in Table A-1.

The use of passive monitors such as those listed above does not yield a direct measure of the energy-dependent neutron flux at the point of interest. Rather, the activation or fission process is a measure of the integrated effect that the time- and energy-dependent neutron flux has on the target material over the course of the irradiation period. An accurate assessment of the average neutron flux level incident on the various monitors may be derived from the activation measurements only if the irradiation parameters are well known. In particular, the following variables are of interest:

- the measured specific activity of each monitor,
- the physical characteristics of each monitor,
- the operating history of the reactor,
- the energy response of each monitor, and
- the neutron energy spectrum at the monitor location.

Results from the radiometric counting of the neutron sensors from Capsules V, Y, and U are documented in References A-2, A-3, and A-4, respectively, and re-evaluated in Reference A-5. The radiometric counting of the sensors from Capsule W was carried out by Pace Analytical Services, Inc. In all cases, the radiometric counting followed established ASTM procedures. Following sample preparation and weighing, the specific activity of each sensor was determined by means of a high-resolution gamma spectrometer. For the copper, iron, nickel, and cobalt-aluminum sensors, these analyses were performed by direct counting of each of the individual samples. In the case of the uranium and neptunium fission sensors, the analyses were carried out by direct counting preceded by dissolution and chemical separation of cesium from the sensor material.

The irradiation history of the reactor over the irradiation periods experienced by Capsules V, Y, U, and W was based on the monthly power generation of South Texas Unit 2 from initial reactor criticality through the end of the dosimetry evaluation period. For the sensor sets utilized in the surveillance capsules, the half-lives of the product isotopes are long enough that a monthly histogram describing reactor operation has proven to be an adequate representation for use in radioactive decay corrections for the reactions of interest in the exposure evaluations. The irradiation history applicable to Capsules V, Y, U, and W is given in Table A-2.

Having the measured specific activities, the physical characteristics of the sensors, and the operating history of the reactor, reaction rates referenced to full-power operation were determined from the following equation:

$$R = \frac{A}{N_0 F Y \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{P_j}{P_{ref}} C_j [1 - e^{-\lambda t_j}] [e^{-\lambda t_{d,j}}]}$$

where:

- R = Reaction rate averaged over the irradiation period and referenced to operation at a core power level of P_{ref} (rps/nucleus).
- A = Measured specific activity (dps/g).
- N_0 = Number of target element atoms per gram of sensor material.
- F = Atom fraction of the target isotope in the target element.
- Y = Number of product atoms produced per reaction.
- P_j = Average core power level during irradiation period j (MW).
- P_{ref} = Maximum or reference power level of the reactor (MW).
- C_j = Calculated ratio of $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ during irradiation period j to the time weighted average $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ over the entire irradiation period.
- λ = Decay constant of the product isotope (1/sec).
- t_j = Length of irradiation period j (sec).
- $t_{d,j}$ = Decay time following irradiation period j (sec).
- n = Total number of irradiation periods.

and the summation is carried out over the total number of monthly intervals comprising the irradiation period.

In the equation describing the reaction rate calculation, the ratio $[P_j]/[P_{ref}]$ accounts for month-by-month variation of reactor core power level within any given fuel cycle as well as over multiple fuel cycles. The ratio C_j , which was calculated for each fuel cycle using the transport methodology discussed in Section 6.2, accounts for the change in sensor reaction rates caused by variations in flux level induced by changes in core spatial power distributions from fuel cycle to fuel cycle. For a single-cycle irradiation, C_j is normally taken to be 1.0. However, for multiple-cycle irradiations, particularly those employing low-

leakage fuel management, the additional C_j term should be employed. The impact of changing flux levels for constant power operation can be quite significant for sensor sets that have been irradiated for many cycles in a reactor that has transitioned from non-low-leakage to low-leakage fuel management or for sensor sets contained in surveillance capsules that have been moved from one capsule location to another. The fuel-cycle-specific neutron flux values along with the computed values for C_j are listed in Table A-3. These flux values represent the cycle-dependent results at the radial and azimuthal center of the respective capsules at the axial elevation of the active fuel midplane.

Prior to using the measured reaction rates in the least-squares evaluations of the dosimetry sensor sets, additional corrections were made to the ^{238}U measurements to account for the presence of ^{235}U impurities in the sensors as well as to adjust for the build-in of plutonium isotopes over the course of the irradiation. Corrections were also made to the ^{238}U and ^{237}Np sensor reaction rates to account for gamma-ray-induced fission reactions that occurred over the course of the capsule irradiations. The correction factors applied to the South Texas Unit 2 fission sensor reaction rates are summarized as follows:

Correction Factor	Capsule V	Capsule Y	Capsule U	Capsule W
^{235}U Impurity/Pu Build-in	0.875	0.836	0.793	0.736
$^{238}\text{U}(\gamma, f)$	0.967	0.968	0.967	0.969
Net ^{238}U Correction Factor	0.846	0.809	0.767	0.713
$^{237}\text{Np}(\gamma, f)$	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990

These factors were applied in a multiplicative fashion to the decay corrected uranium and neptunium fission sensor reaction rates.

Results of the sensor reaction rate determinations for Capsules V, Y, U, and W are given in Table A-4a through Table A-4d. In Table A-4a through Table A-4d, the measured specific activities, decay corrected saturated specific activities, and computed reaction rates for each sensor indexed to the radial center of the capsule are listed. The fission sensor reaction rates are listed both with and without the applied corrections for ^{238}U impurities, plutonium build-in, and gamma-ray-induced fission effects.

A.1.2 Least-Squares Evaluation of Sensor Sets

Least-squares adjustment methods provide the capability of combining the measurement data with the corresponding neutron transport calculations resulting in a best-estimate neutron energy spectrum with associated uncertainties. Best estimates for key exposure rate parameters such as $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ or dpa/s along with their uncertainties are then easily obtained from the adjusted spectrum. In general, the least-squares methods, as applied to surveillance capsule dosimetry evaluations, act to reconcile the measured sensor reaction rate data, dosimetry reaction cross sections, and the calculated neutron energy spectrum within their respective uncertainties. For example,

$$R_i \pm \delta_{R_i} = \sum_g (\sigma_{ig} \pm \delta_{\sigma_{ig}})(\phi_g \pm \delta_{\phi_g})$$

relates a set of measured reaction rates, R_i , to a single neutron spectrum, ϕ_g , through the multigroup dosimeter reaction cross section, σ_{ig} , each with an uncertainty δ . The primary objective of the least-

squares evaluation is to produce unbiased estimates of the neutron exposure parameters at the location of the measurement.

For the least-squares evaluation of the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsule dosimetry, the FERRET code [Ref. A-6] was employed to combine the results of the plant-specific neutron transport calculations and sensor set reaction rate measurements to determine best-estimate values of exposure parameters ($\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ and dpa) along with associated uncertainties for the four in-vessel capsules analyzed to date.

The application of the least-squares methodology requires the following input:

1. The calculated neutron energy spectrum and associated uncertainties at the measurement location.
2. The measured reaction rates and associated uncertainty for each sensor contained in the multiple foil set.
3. The energy-dependent dosimetry reaction cross sections and associated uncertainties for each sensor contained in the multiple foil sensor set.

For the South Texas Unit 2 application, the calculated neutron spectrum was obtained from the results of plant-specific neutron transport calculations described in Section 6.2 of this report. The sensor reaction rates were derived from the measured specific activities using the procedures described in Section A.1.1. The dosimetry reaction cross sections and uncertainties were obtained from the SNLRML dosimetry cross-section library [Ref. A-7]. The SNLRML library is an evaluated dosimetry reaction cross-section compilation recommended for use in LWR evaluations by ASTM Standard E1018, "Standard Guide for Application of ASTM Evaluated Cross-Section Data File, Matrix E706 (IIB)" [Ref. A-8].

The uncertainties associated with the measured reaction rates, dosimetry cross sections, and calculated neutron spectrum were input to the least-squares procedure in the form of variances and covariances. The assignment of the input uncertainties followed the guidance provided in ASTM Standard E944, "Standard Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance" [Ref. A-9].

The following provides a summary of the uncertainties associated with the least-squares evaluation of the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsule sensor sets.

Reaction Rate Uncertainties

The overall uncertainty associated with the measured reaction rates includes components due to the basic measurement process, irradiation history corrections, and corrections for competing reactions. A high level of accuracy in the reaction rate determinations is assured by utilizing laboratory procedures that conform to the ASTM National Consensus Standards for reaction rate determinations for each sensor type.

After combining all of these uncertainty components, the sensor reaction rates derived from the counting and data evaluation procedures were assigned the following net uncertainties for input to the least-squares evaluation:

Reaction	Uncertainty
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	5%
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	5%
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	5%
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	10%
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	10%
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	5%

These uncertainties are given at the 1σ level.

Dosimetry Cross-Section Uncertainties

The reaction rate cross sections used in the least-squares evaluations were taken from the SNLRML library. This data library provides reaction cross sections and associated uncertainties, including covariances, for 66 dosimetry sensors in common use. Both cross-sections and uncertainties are provided in a fine multigroup structure for use in least-squares adjustment applications. These cross sections were compiled from the most recent cross-section evaluations, and they have been tested with respect to their accuracy and consistency for least-squares evaluations. Further, the library has been empirically tested for use in fission spectra determination as well as in the fluence and energy characterization of 14 MeV neutron sources.

For sensors included in the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program, the following uncertainties in the fission spectrum averaged cross sections are provided in the SNLRML documentation package.

Reaction	Uncertainty
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.08-4.16%
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	3.05-3.11%
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	4.49-4.56%
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	0.54-0.64%
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs}$	10.32-10.97%
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	0.79-3.59%

These tabulated ranges provide an indication of the dosimetry cross-section uncertainties associated with the sensor sets used in LWR irradiations.

Calculated Neutron Spectrum

The neutron spectra input to the least-squares adjustment procedure were obtained directly from the results of plant-specific transport calculations for each surveillance capsule irradiation period and location. The spectrum for each capsule was input in an absolute sense (rather than as simply a relative spectral shape). Therefore, within the constraints of the assigned uncertainties, the calculated data were treated equally with the measurements.

While the uncertainties associated with the reaction rates were obtained from the measurement procedures and counting benchmarks and the dosimetry cross-section uncertainties were supplied directly with the SNLRML library, the uncertainty matrix for the calculated spectrum was constructed from the following relationship:

$$M_{gg'} = R_n^2 + R_g * R_{g'} * P_{gg'}$$

where R_n specifies an overall fractional normalization uncertainty and the fractional uncertainties R_g and $R_{g'}$ specify additional random groupwise uncertainties that are correlated with a correlation matrix given by:

$$P_{gg'} = [1 - \theta] \delta_{gg'} + \theta e^{-H}$$

where

$$H = \frac{(g - g')^2}{2\gamma^2}$$

The first term in the correlation matrix equation specifies purely random uncertainties, while the second term describes the short-range correlations over a group range γ (θ specifies the strength of the latter term). The value of δ is 1.0 when $g = g'$, and is 0.0 otherwise.

The set of parameters defining the input covariance matrix for the South Texas Unit 2 calculated spectra was as follows:

Flux Normalization Uncertainty (R_n)	15%
Flux Group Uncertainties ($R_g, R_{g'}$)	
($E > 0.0055$ MeV)	15%
(0.68 eV $< E < 0.0055$ MeV)	25%
($E < 0.68$ eV)	50%
Short Range Correlation (θ)	
($E > 0.0055$ MeV)	0.9
(0.68 eV $< E < 0.0055$ MeV)	0.5
($E < 0.68$ eV)	0.5
Flux Group Correlation Range (γ)	
($E > 0.0055$ MeV)	6
(0.68 eV $< E < 0.0055$ MeV)	3
($E < 0.68$ eV)	2

A.1.3 Comparisons of Measurements and Calculations

Results of the least-squares evaluations of the dosimetry from the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsules withdrawn to date are provided in Tables A-5 and A-6. In Table A-5, measured, calculated, and best-estimate values for sensor reaction rates are given for each capsule. Also provided in this tabulation are ratios of the measured reaction rates to both the calculated and least-squares adjusted reaction rates. These ratios of M/C and measured-to-best-estimate (M/BE) illustrate the consistency of the fit of the calculated neutron energy spectra to the measured reaction rates both before and after adjustment. In Table A-6, comparison of the calculated and best-estimate values of neutron flux ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and iron atom displacement rate are tabulated along with the best-estimate-to-calculated (BE/C) ratios observed for each of the capsules.

The data comparisons provided in Tables A-5 and A-6 show that the adjustments to the calculated spectra are relatively small and well within the assigned uncertainties for the calculated spectra, measured sensor reaction rates, and dosimetry reaction cross sections. Further, these results indicate that the use of the least-squares evaluation results in a reduction in the uncertainties associated with the exposure of the surveillance capsules. From Section 6.4 of this report, it may be noted that the uncertainty associated with the unadjusted calculation of neutron fluence ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and iron atom displacements at the surveillance capsule locations is specified as 12% at the 1σ level. From Table A-6, it is noted that the corresponding uncertainties associated with the least-squares adjusted exposure parameters have been reduced to 6% for neutron flux ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and 7-8% for iron atom displacement rate. Again, the uncertainties from the least-squares evaluation are at the 1σ level.

Further comparisons of the measurement results (from Tables A-5 and A-6) with calculations are given in Tables A-7 and A-8. These comparisons are given on two levels. In Table A-7, calculations of individual threshold sensor reaction rates are compared directly with the corresponding measurements. These threshold reaction rate comparisons provide a good evaluation of the accuracy of the fast neutron portion of the calculated energy spectra. In Table A-8, calculations of fast neutron exposure rates in terms of $\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$ and dpa/s are compared with the best-estimate results obtained from the least-squares evaluation of the capsule dosimetry results. These two levels of comparison yield consistent and similar results with all measurement-to-calculation comparisons falling well within the $\pm 20\%$ limits specified as the acceptance criteria in Regulatory Guide 1.190.

In the case of the direct comparison of measured and calculated sensor reaction rates, the M/C comparisons for fast neutron threshold reactions range from 0.85 to 1.19 for the 20 samples included in the data set. The overall average M/C ratio for the entire set of South Texas Unit 2 data is 1.00 with an associated standard deviation of 9.5%.

In the comparisons of best-estimate and calculated fast neutron exposure parameters, the corresponding BE/C comparisons for the capsule data sets range from 0.91 to 1.02 for neutron flux ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) and from 0.92 to 1.04 for iron atom displacement rate. The overall average BE/C ratios for neutron flux ($E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}$) and iron atom displacement rate are 0.97 with a standard deviation of 4.9% and 0.98 with a standard deviation of 6.5%, respectively.

Based on these comparisons, it is concluded that the calculated fast neutron exposures provided in Section 6.2 of this report are validated for use in the assessment of the condition of the materials comprising the beltline region of the South Texas Unit 2 reactor pressure vessel.

Table A-1 Nuclear Parameters Used in the Evaluation of Neutron Sensors

Monitor Material	Reaction of Interest	Target Atom Fraction	90% Response Range (MeV) ^(a)	Product Half-life	Fission Yield (%)
Copper-63	$^{63}\text{Cu} (n,\alpha)$	0.6917	4.9 – 11.9	5.272 y	n/a
Iron-54	$^{54}\text{Fe} (n,p)$	0.0585	2.1 – 8.5	312.1 d	n/a
Nickel-58	$^{58}\text{Ni} (n,p)$	0.6808	1.5 – 8.3	70.82 d	n/a
Uranium-238	$^{238}\text{U} (n,f)$	1.0000	1.3 – 6.9	30.07 y	6.02
Neptunium-237	$^{237}\text{Np} (n,f)$	1.0000	0.3 – 3.8	30.07 y	6.17
Cobalt-Aluminum	$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma)$	0.0015	non-threshold	5.272 y	n/a
(a) The 90% response range is defined such that, in the neutron spectrum characteristic of the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance capsules, approximately 90% of the sensor response is due to neutrons in the energy range specified with approximately 5% of the total response due to neutrons with energies below the lower limit and 5% of the total response due to neutrons with energies above the upper limit.					

Table A-2 Monthly Thermal Generation During the First Fifteen Fuel Cycles of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor (Reactor Power of 3800 MWt from Startup Through the End of Cycle 9; uprate from 3800 MWt to 3853 MWt at the beginning of Cycle 10; and, 3853 MWt for Cycles 11 through 15)

Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)
Mar-89	0	May-91	2550275	Jul-93	0	Sep-95	2748240
Apr-89	76126	Jun-91	2746866	Aug-93	0	Oct-95	538289
May-89	656280	Jul-91	2837924	Sep-93	0	Nov-95	2174143
Jun-89	1094991	Aug-91	2837741	Oct-93	0	Dec-95	2792787
Jul-89	1902515	Sep-91	1177346	Nov-93	0	Jan-96	1428146
Aug-89	1794876	Oct-91	0	Dec-93	0	Feb-96	2391887
Sep-89	1886300	Nov-91	0	Jan-94	0	Mar-96	2804951
Oct-89	2579773	Dec-91	553038	Feb-94	0	Apr-96	2735628
Nov-89	263923	Jan-92	2191813	Mar-94	0	May-96	2826664
Dec-89	0	Feb-92	2511067	Apr-94	0	Jun-96	2735536
Jan-90	1191912	Mar-92	2663411	May-94	89867	Jul-96	2826866
Feb-90	2252641	Apr-92	2374113	Jun-94	1616148	Aug-96	2826725
Mar-90	2297162	May-92	2826840	Jul-94	2744301	Sep-96	2735681
Apr-90	1397572	Jun-92	2746316	Aug-94	2838840	Oct-96	2826463
May-90	1523075	Jul-92	2830229	Sep-94	2746957	Nov-96	2735320
Jun-90	2644265	Aug-92	2828031	Oct-94	2843329	Dec-96	2823541
Jul-90	2077578	Sep-92	2744301	Nov-94	2748148	Jan-97	2816826
Aug-90	2828214	Oct-92	2842963	Dec-94	2719383	Feb-97	586009
Sep-90	2361563	Nov-92	2648845	Jan-95	2833527	Mar-97	2589484
Oct-90	0	Dec-92	2601026	Feb-95	2565024	Apr-97	2722685
Nov-90	0	Jan-93	1988535	Mar-95	2515372	May-97	2521680
Dec-90	1608911	Feb-93	242486	Apr-95	2736514	Jun-97	2735544
Jan-91	2607255	Mar-93	0	May-95	2839848	Jul-97	2826926
Feb-91	2427337	Apr-93	0	Jun-95	2747965	Aug-97	2827018
Mar-91	2372189	May-93	0	Jul-95	2839756	Sep-97	2736000
Apr-91	2578032	Jun-93	0	Aug-95	2839848	Oct-97	2830666

Table A-2 (Continued) Monthly Thermal Generation During the First Sixteen Fuel Cycles of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor (Reactor Power of 3800 MWt from Startup Through the End of Cycle 9; uprate from 3800 MWt to 3853 MWt at the beginning of Cycle 10; and, 3853 MWt for Cycles 11 through 15)

Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)
Nov-97	2568374	Jan-00	2614339	Mar-02	2827200	May-04	2839573
Dec-97	2629752	Feb-00	2114928	Apr-02	2731622	Jun-04	2748240
Jan-98	2647080	Mar-00	2826014	May-02	2821637	Jul-04	2839848
Feb-98	2553509	Apr-00	2731166	Jun-02	2294318	Aug-04	2839848
Mar-98	2826653	May-00	2826379	Jul-02	2653555	Sep-04	2746591
Apr-98	2732170	Jun-00	2144477	Aug-02	2827200	Oct-04	2843696
May-98	2827200	Jul-00	2826014	Sep-02	2735818	Nov-04	2747874
Jun-98	2735818	Aug-00	2826562	Oct-02	79253	Dec-04	2839665
Jul-98	2656018	Sep-00	2735818	Nov-02	0	Jan-05	2839848
Aug-98	2826562	Oct-00	2830210	Dec-02	795432	Feb-05	1859826
Sep-98	2569469	Nov-00	2735453	Jan-03	155001	Mar-05	2839848
Oct-98	273600	Dec-00	2826379	Feb-03	0	Apr-05	2744392
Nov-98	3057298	Jan-01	2826470	Mar-03	1618988	May-05	2839115
Dec-98	2715389	Feb-01	2066683	Apr-03	2744392	Jun-05	2748240
Jan-99	2694504	Mar-01	292752	May-03	2833252	Jul-05	2839756
Feb-99	2553418	Apr-01	2383238	Jun-03	2748240	Aug-05	2839573
Mar-99	2827109	May-01	2464498	Jul-03	2839848	Sep-05	2652876
Apr-99	2732078	Jun-01	2723050	Aug-03	2838565	Oct-05	71821
May-99	2791085	Jul-01	2825650	Sep-03	2747690	Nov-05	7787
Jun-99	2729069	Aug-01	2817989	Oct-03	2843696	Dec-05	2635929
Jul-99	2713930	Sep-01	2735635	Nov-03	2729644	Jan-06	2836275
Aug-99	2606405	Oct-01	2210962	Dec-03	2824366	Feb-06	2839207
Sep-99	2727336	Nov-01	2735909	Jan-04	2839756	Mar-06	2564841
Oct-99	982406	Dec-01	2826835	Feb-04	2656632	Apr-06	2836917
Nov-99	1765723	Jan-02	2826197	Mar-04	2736697	May-06	2744026
Dec-99	2783242	Feb-02	2552050	Apr-04	199339	Jun-06	2823633

Table A-2 (Continued) Monthly Thermal Generation During the First Sixteen Fuel Cycles of the South Texas Unit 2 Reactor (Reactor Power of 3800 MWt from Startup Through the End of Cycle 9; uprate from 3800 MWt to 3853 MWt at the beginning of Cycle 10; and, 3853 MWt for Cycles 11 through 15)

Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)	Month-Year	Thermal Generation (MWt-hr)
Jul-06	2745492	Nov-07	2839482	Mar-09	2563375	Jul-10	2866170
Aug-06	2839848	Dec-07	2751813	Apr-09	2833985	Aug-10	2865522
Sep-06	2838749	Jan-08	2839298	May-09	2745583	Sep-10	2771848
Oct-06	2747690	Feb-08	2839482	Jun-09	2837833	Oct-10	2864690
Nov-06	2843604	Mar-08	2656449	Jul-09	2747324	Nov-10	648876
Dec-06	2748240	Apr-08	2833985	Aug-09	2838840	Dec-10	2863765
Jan-07	2839848	May-08	2747324	Sep-09	2839207	Jan-11	2841757
Feb-07	2839848	Jun-08	2746957	Oct-09	1709772	Feb-11	2587644
Mar-07	2522060	Jul-08	2746683	Nov-09	2838840	Mar-11	2860621
Apr-07	1858910	Aug-08	2838199	Dec-09	2747232	Apr-11	2772126
May-07	167551	Sep-08	2837741	Jan-10	2838932	May-11	2864320
Jun-07	2835909	Oct-08	2644357	Feb-10	2838107	Jun-11	2771756
Jul-07	2748240	Nov-08	305055	Mar-10	2563558	Jul-11	2864043
Aug-07	2839756	Dec-08	2349654	Apr-10	2455736	Aug-11	2609837
Sep-07	2803846	Jan-09	2839665	May-10	2648306	Sep-11	2771756
Oct-07	2748240	Feb-09	2838749	Jun-10	2772311	Oct-11	2645716

Table A-3 Calculated Fast Neutron Flux ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and C_j Factors at the Surveillance Capsule Center, Core Midplane Elevation

Fuel Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}) [\text{n/cm}^2\text{-s}]$			
		Capsule V	Capsule Y	Capsule U	Capsule W
1	2.75E+07	8.97E+10	8.97E+10	9.74E+10	9.61E+10
2	2.24E+07		6.75E+10	7.13E+10	6.90E+10
3	3.28E+07		7.67E+10	8.15E+10	7.90E+10
4	4.13E+07		8.08E+10	8.69E+10	8.57E+10
5	3.79E+07		7.00E+10	7.55E+10	7.44E+10
6	4.89E+07			5.95E+10	5.86E+10
7	2.93E+07			8.49E+10	8.40E+10
8	3.98E+07			7.66E+10	7.56E+10
9	4.54E+07			6.78E+10	6.69E+10
10	3.38E+07				7.26E+10
11	4.35E+07				7.12E+10
12	4.32E+07				6.68E+10
13	4.45E+07				6.81E+10
14	4.26E+07				7.04E+10
15	4.45E+07				6.79E+10
Time Weighted Average Flux		8.97E+10	7.61E+10	7.54E+10	7.60E+10

Table A-3 (continued) Calculated Fast Neutron Flux ($E > 1.0$ MeV) and C_j Factors at the Surveillance Capsule Center, Core Midplane Elevation

Fuel Cycle	Cycle Length [EFPS]	C_j			
		Capsule V	Capsule Y	Capsule U	Capsule W
1	2.75E+07	1.00	1.18	1.29	1.26
2	2.24E+07		0.89	0.95	0.91
3	3.28E+07		1.01	1.08	1.04
4	4.13E+07		1.06	1.15	1.13
5	3.79E+07		0.92	1.00	0.98
6	4.89E+07			0.79	0.77
7	2.93E+07			1.13	1.11
8	3.98E+07			1.02	1.00
9	4.54E+07			0.90	0.88
10	3.38E+07				0.96
11	4.35E+07				0.94
12	4.32E+07				0.88
13	4.45E+07				0.90
14	4.26E+07				0.93
15	4.45E+07				0.89
Average		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table A-4a Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates of Surveillance Capsule V

Reaction	Location	Measured Activity ^(a) (dps/g)	Saturated Activity (dps/g)	Adjusted Reaction Rate ^(d) (rps/atom)
$^{63}\text{Cu} (n,\alpha) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	3.50E+04	3.54E+05	5.39E-17
	Middle	3.38E+04	3.42E+05	5.21E-17
	Bottom	3.33E+04	3.36E+05	5.13E-17
	Average			5.25E-17
$^{54}\text{Fe} (n,p) ^{54}\text{Mn}$	Top	9.97E+05	3.21E+06	5.09E-15
	Middle	9.73E+05	3.13E+06	4.97E-15
	Bottom	9.43E+05	3.03E+06	4.81E-15
	Average			4.96E-15
$^{58}\text{Ni} (n,p) ^{58}\text{Co}$	Top	7.13E+06	4.60E+07	6.59E-15
	Middle	6.89E+06	4.45E+07	6.37E-15
	Bottom	6.60E+06	4.26E+07	6.10E-15
	Average			6.35E-15
$^{238}\text{U} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	1.15E+05	5.91E+06	3.88E-14
	Including ^{235}U , ^{239}Pu , and γ fission corrections:			3.28E-14^(b)
$^{237}\text{Np} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	9.86E+05	5.07E+07	3.23E-13
	Including γ fission corrections:			3.20E-13^(c)
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	7.25E+06	7.32E+07	4.78E-12
	Middle	7.47E+06	7.55E+07	4.92E-12
	Bottom	7.80E+06	7.88E+07	5.14E-12
	Average			4.95E-12
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co} (\text{Cd})$	Top	4.09E+06	4.13E+07	2.70E-12
	Middle	4.24E+06	4.28E+07	2.80E-12
	Average			2.75E-12
Notes: (a) Measured specific activities are indexed to a counting date of March 15, 1991. (b) The average $^{238}\text{U} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 3.28E-14 includes a correction factor of 0.875 to account for plutonium build-in and an additional factor of 0.967 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (c) The average $^{237}\text{Np} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 3.20E-13 includes a correction factor of 0.990 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (d) Reaction rates are referenced to the Cycle 1 rated reactor power of 3800 MWt.				

Table A-4b Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates of Surveillance Capsule Y

Reaction	Location	Measured Activity ^(a) (dps/g)	Saturated Activity (dps/g)	Adjusted Reaction Rate (rps/atom) ^(d)
$^{63}\text{Cu} (n,\alpha) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	1.19E+05	2.85E+05	4.35E-17
	Middle	1.16E+05	2.78E+05	4.24E-17
	Bottom	1.14E+05	2.73E+05	4.17E-17
	Average			4.25E-17
$^{54}\text{Fe} (n,p) ^{54}\text{Mn}$	Top	1.63E+06	2.66E+06	4.22E-15
	Middle	1.59E+06	2.59E+06	4.11E-15
	Bottom	1.55E+06	2.53E+06	4.01E-15
	Average			4.11E-15
$^{58}\text{Ni} (n,p) ^{58}\text{Co}$	Top	1.03E+07	4.18E+07	6.02E-15
	Middle	1.01E+07	4.10E+07	5.90E-15
	Bottom	9.70E+06	3.96E+07	5.67E-15
	Average			5.86E-15
$^{238}\text{U} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	5.06E+05	4.69E+06	3.08E-14
	Including ^{235}U , ^{239}Pu , and γ fission corrections:			2.49E-14^(b)
$^{237}\text{Np} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	3.56E+06	3.30E+07	2.11E-13
	Including γ fission corrections:			2.08E-13^(c)
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	2.33E+07	5.58E+07	3.64E-12
	Middle	2.41E+07	5.77E+07	3.77E-12
	Bottom	2.45E+07	5.87E+07	3.83E-12
	Average			3.75E-12
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co} (\text{Cd})$	Top	1.26E+07	3.02E+07	1.97E-12
	Middle	1.29E+07	3.09E+07	2.02E-12
	Bottom	1.32E+07	3.16E+07	2.06E-12
	Average			2.02E-12
Notes: (a) Measured specific activities are indexed to a counting date of June 19, 1997. (b) The average $^{238}\text{U} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.49E-14 includes a correction factor of 0.836 to account for plutonium build-in and an additional factor of 0.968 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (c) The average $^{237}\text{Np} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.08E-13 includes a correction factor of 0.990 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (d) Reaction rates are referenced to the Cycles 1-5 average rated reactor power of 3800 MWt.				

Table A-4c Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates of Surveillance Capsule U

Reaction	Location	Measured Activity ^(a) (dps/g)	Saturated Activity (dps/g)	Adjusted Reaction Rate ^(d) (rps/atom)
$^{63}\text{Cu} (n,\alpha) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	1.88E+05	2.93E+05	4.46E-17
	Middle	1.80E+05	2.80E+05	4.27E-17
	Bottom	1.78E+05	2.77E+05	4.23E-17
	Average			4.32E-17
$^{54}\text{Fe} (n,p) ^{54}\text{Mn}$	Top	1.86E+06	2.85E+06	4.52E-15
	Middle	1.78E+06	2.73E+06	4.32E-15
	Bottom	1.74E+06	2.66E+06	4.23E-15
	Average			4.36E-15
$^{58}\text{Ni} (n,p) ^{58}\text{Co}$	Top	1.17E+07	4.55E+07	6.51E-15
	Middle	1.13E+07	4.39E+07	6.28E-15
	Bottom	1.09E+07	4.23E+07	6.06E-15
	Average			6.28E-15
$^{238}\text{U} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	1.12E+06	5.47E+06	3.59E-14
	Including ^{235}U , ^{239}Pu , and γ fission corrections:			2.75E-14^(b)
$^{237}\text{Np} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	8.07E+06	3.94E+07	2.51E-13
	Including γ fission corrections:			2.49E-13^(c)
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Middle	3.61E+07	5.62E+07	3.67E-12
		3.76E+07	5.85E+07	3.82E-12
		3.79E+07	5.90E+07	3.85E-12
	Average			3.78E-12
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	1.94E+07	3.02E+07	1.97E-12
		1.99E+07	3.10E+07	2.02E-12
	Bottom	2.05E+07	3.19E+07	2.08E-12
	Average			2.02E-12
Notes:				
(a) Measured specific activities are indexed to a counting date of February 1, 2003.				
(b) The average $^{238}\text{U} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.75E-14 includes a correction factor of 0.793 to account for plutonium build-in and an additional factor of 0.967 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor.				
(c) The average $^{237}\text{Np} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.49E-13 includes a correction factor of 0.990 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor.				
(d) Reaction rates are referenced to the Cycles 1-9 average rated reactor power of 3800 MWt.				

Table A-4d Measured Sensor Activities and Reaction Rates of Surveillance Capsule W

Reaction	Location	Measured Activity ^(a) (dps/g)	Saturated Activity (dps/g)	Adjusted Reaction Rate ^(d) (rps/atom)
$^{63}\text{Cu} (n,\alpha) ^{60}\text{Co}$	Top	2.04E+05	2.74E+05	4.18E-17
	Middle	1.96E+05	2.63E+05	4.01E-17
	Bottom	1.94E+05	2.60E+05	3.97E-17
	Average			4.05E-17
$^{54}\text{Fe} (n,p) ^{54}\text{Mn}$	Top	1.46E+06	2.73E+06	4.33E-15
	Middle	1.42E+06	2.66E+06	4.21E-15
	Bottom	1.43E+06	2.67E+06	4.24E-15
	Average			4.26E-15
$^{58}\text{Ni} (n,p) ^{58}\text{Co}$	Top	4.34E+06	4.52E+07	6.47E-15
	Middle	4.34E+06	4.52E+07	6.47E-15
	Bottom	4.18E+06	4.36E+07	6.24E-15
	Average			6.39E-15
$^{238}\text{U} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	1.55E+06	4.73E+06	3.10E-14
	Including ^{235}U , ^{239}Pu , and γ fission corrections:			2.21E-14^(b)
$^{237}\text{Np} (n,f) ^{137}\text{Cs} (\text{Cd})$	Middle	1.09E+07	3.32E+07	2.12E-13
	Including γ fission corrections:			2.10E-13^(c)
$^{59}\text{Co} (n,\gamma) ^{60}\text{Co} (\text{Cd})$	Top	8.27E+06	1.11E+07	7.24E-13
	Middle	7.49E+06	1.01E+07	6.56E-13
	Bottom	8.34E+06	1.12E+07	7.30E-13
	Average			7.04E-13
Notes: (a) Measured specific activities are indexed to a counting date of June 15, 2012. (b) The average $^{238}\text{U} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.21E-14 includes a correction factor of 0.736 to account for plutonium build-in and an additional factor of 0.969 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (c) The average $^{237}\text{Np} (n,f)$ reaction rate of 2.10E-13 includes a correction factor of 0.990 to account for photo-fission effects in the sensor. (d) Reaction rates are referenced to the Cycles 1-15 average rated reactor power of 3823 MWt.				

Table A-5 Comparison of Measured, Calculated, and Best-Estimate Reaction Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center

Capsule V					
Reaction	Reaction Rate [rps/atom]			M/C	M/BE
	Measured	Calculated	Best-Estimate		
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	5.24E-17	4.80E-17	4.95E-17	1.09	1.03
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	4.95E-15	5.38E-15	5.11E-15	0.92	0.97
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	6.35E-15	7.54E-15	6.98E-15	0.84	0.91
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	3.28E-14	2.88E-14	2.79E-14	1.14	1.18
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	3.20E-13	2.75E-13	2.98E-13	1.16	1.08
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.95E-12	4.06E-12	4.88E-12	1.22	1.01
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co (Cd)}$	2.74E-12	2.81E-12	2.78E-12	0.98	0.99
Note: See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) reaction rates.					
Capsule Y					
Reaction	Reaction Rate [rps/atom]			M/C	M/BE
	Measured	Calculated	Best-Estimate		
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.25E-17	4.29E-17	4.13E-17	0.99	1.03
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	4.11E-15	4.71E-15	4.26E-15	0.87	0.96
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	5.83E-15	6.58E-15	5.96E-15	0.89	0.98
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.49E-14	2.49E-14	2.26E-14	1.00	1.10
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.08E-13	2.35E-13	2.12E-13	0.89	0.98
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	3.75E-12	3.44E-12	3.70E-12	1.09	1.01
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co (Cd)}$	2.02E-12	2.39E-12	2.04E-12	0.85	0.99
Note: See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) reaction rates.					

Table A-5 (Continued) Comparison of Measured, Calculated, and Best-Estimate Reaction Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center

Capsule U					
Reaction	Reaction Rate [rps/atom]			M/C	M/BE
	Measured	Calculated	Best-Estimate		
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.32E-17	4.27E-17	4.23E-17	1.01	1.02
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	4.35E-15	4.68E-15	4.53E-15	0.93	0.96
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	6.28E-15	6.54E-15	6.38E-15	0.96	0.98
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.75E-14	2.47E-14	2.46E-14	1.12	1.12
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.49E-13	2.34E-13	2.43E-13	1.06	1.02
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co}$	3.78E-12	3.41E-12	3.73E-12	1.11	1.01
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co (Cd)}$	2.02E-12	2.34E-12	2.05E-12	0.86	0.99
Note: See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) reaction rates.					
Capsule W					
Reaction	Reaction Rate [rps/atom]			M/C	M/BE
	Measured	Calculated	Best-Estimate		
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	4.05E-17	4.14E-17	4.02E-17	0.98	1.01
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	4.26E-15	4.49E-15	4.35E-15	0.95	0.98
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	6.39E-15	6.26E-15	6.18E-15	1.02	1.03
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.21E-14	2.35E-14	2.26E-14	0.94	0.98
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	2.10E-13	2.21E-13	2.07E-13	0.95	1.02
$^{59}\text{Co}(n,\gamma)^{60}\text{Co (Cd)}$	7.03E-13	2.13E-12	7.34E-13	0.33	0.96
Note: See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) reaction rates.					

Table A-6 Comparison of Calculated and Best-Estimate Exposure Rates at the Surveillance Capsule Center

Capsule ID	$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV}) [\text{n/cm}^2\text{-s}]$			
	Calculated	Best-Estimate	Best-Estimate Uncertainty (1σ)	BE/C
V	8.964E+10	8.855E+10	6%	0.988
Y	7.713E+10	7.019E+10	6%	0.910
U	7.664E+10	7.759E+10	6%	1.012
W	7.274E+10	6.955E+10	6%	0.956
Note: Calculated results are based on the RAPTOR-M3G transport calculations taken at the core midplane following the completion of each respective capsule's irradiation period and are the average neutron exposure over the irradiation period for each capsule. See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) exposure rates.				
Capsule ID	Iron Atom Displacement Rate [dpa/s]			
	Calculated	Best-Estimate	Best-Estimate Uncertainty (1σ)	BE/C
V	1.748E-10	1.763E-10	8%	1.009
Y	1.498E-10	1.358E-10	8%	0.907
U	1.485E-10	1.495E-10	8%	1.006
W	1.402E-10	1.272E-10	7%	0.907
Note: Calculated results are based on the RAPTOR-M3G transport calculations taken at the core midplane following the completion of each respective capsule's irradiation period and are the average neutron exposure over the irradiation period for each capsule. See Section A.1.2 for details describing the Best-Estimate (BE) exposure rates.				

Table A-7 Comparison of Measured/Calculated (M/C) Sensor Reaction Rate Ratios Including all Fast Neutron Threshold Reactions

Reaction	M/C Ratio			
	Capsule V	Capsule Y	Capsule U	Capsule W
$^{63}\text{Cu}(n,\alpha)^{60}\text{Co}$	1.09	0.99	1.01	0.98
$^{54}\text{Fe}(n,p)^{54}\text{Mn}$	0.92	0.87	0.93	0.95
$^{58}\text{Ni}(n,p)^{58}\text{Co}$	0.84	0.89	0.96	1.02
$^{238}\text{U}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	1.14	1.00	1.12	0.94
$^{237}\text{Np}(n,f)^{137}\text{Cs (Cd)}$	1.16	0.89	1.06	0.95
Average	1.03	0.93	1.02	0.97
% Standard Deviation	13.8	6.7	7.5	3.4
Note: The overall average M/C ratio for the set of 20 sensor measurements is 0.99 with an associated standard deviation of 9.2%.				

Table A-8 Comparison of Best-Estimate/Calculated (BE/C) Exposure Rate Ratios

Capsule ID	BE/C Ratio	
	$\phi(E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$	dpa/s
V	0.98	1.02
Y	0.90	0.92
U	1.00	1.02
W	0.95	0.92
Average	0.96	0.97
% Standard Deviation	4.5	6.0

A.2 REFERENCES


- A-1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.190, *Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence*, March 2001.
- A-2 WCAP-13182, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule V from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, J. M. Chicots et al., February 1992.
- A-3 WCAP-14978, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule Y from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, E. Terek, et al., December 1997.
- A-4 WCAP-16093-NP, Revision 2, *Analysis of Capsule U from the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, B. N. Burgos, July 2007.
- A-5 WCAP-17358-NP, Revision 1, *Ex-Vessel Neutron Dosimetry Program for South Texas Unit 2 Cycles 13 and 14*, F. A. Alpan, April 2012.
- A-6 F. Schmittroth, *FERRET Data Analysis Code*, HEDL-TME 79-40, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, September 1979.
- A-7 RSICC Data Library Collection DLC-178, *SNLRML Recommended Dosimetry Cross Section Compendium*, July 1994.
- A-8 ASTM Standard E 1018-09, *Standard Guide for Application of ASTM Evaluated Cross Section Data File, Matrix E 706 (IIB)*, 2009.
- A-9 ASTM Standard E 944-08, *Standard Guide for Application of Neutron Spectrum Adjustment Methods in Reactor Surveillance, E 706 (IIA)*, 2008.

APPENDIX B


LOAD-TIME RECORDS FOR CHARPY SPECIMEN TESTS

- “HLXX” denotes Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1, longitudinal orientation
- “HTXX” denotes Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1, transverse orientation
- “HWXX” denotes weld material
- “HHXX” denotes heat-affected zone material


Note that the instrumented Charpy data is for information only. The instrumented striker was not calibrated per ASTM E2298-09.




HL44, -70°F




HL39, -20°F




HL40, -10°F




HL34, 0°F




HL41, 10°F




HL36, 20°F




HL38, 30°F




HL33, 40°F




HL37, 50°F




HL32, 72°F




HL35, 130°F




HL43, 160°F




HL31, 200°F




HL45, 220°F




HL42, 250°F




HT40, -70°F




HT45, 0°F




HT41, 30°F




HT34, 40°F




HT33, 50°F




HT37, 60°F




HT42, 60°F




HT32, 72°F




HT35, 72°F




HT44, 80°F




HT43, 100°F




HT31, 130°F




HT36, 200°F




HT38, 220°F




HT39, 250°F




HW40, -70°F




HW37, -20°F




HW38, 0°F




HW31, 20°F




HW33, 30°F




HW32, 40°F




HW35, 40°F




HW39, 50°F




HW45, 60°F




HW44, 72°F




HW34, 100°F




HW43, 130°F




HW42, 200°F




HW36, 220°F




HW41, 250°F




HH42, -150°F




HH37, -90°F




HH36, -70°F




HH33, -60°F




HH31, -50°F




HH44, -20°F




HH34, 0°F




HH40, 0°F




HH39, 30°F




HH45, 50°F




HH41, 70°F




HH38, 72°F



HH35, 130°F



HH43, 200°F



HH32, 250°F

APPENDIX C

CHARPY V-NOTCH PLOTS FOR EACH CAPSULE USING SYMMETRIC HYPERBOLIC TANGENT CURVE-FITTING METHOD

Contained in Table C-1 are the upper-shelf energy (USE) values that are used as input for the generation of the Charpy V-notch plots using CVGRAPH, Version 5.3. The definition for USE is given in ASTM E185-82 [Ref. C-1], Section 4.18, and reads as follows:

“upper shelf energy level – the average energy value for all Charpy specimens (normally three) whose test temperature is above the upper end of the transition region. For specimens tested in sets of three at each test temperature, the set having the highest average may be regarded as defining the upper shelf energy.”

If there are specimens tested in sets of three at each temperature, Westinghouse typically reports the set having the highest average energy as the USE (usually unirradiated material). If the specimens were not tested in sets of three at each temperature, Westinghouse reports the average of all Charpy data ($\geq 95\%$ shear) as the USE, excluding any values that are deemed outliers using engineering judgment. Hence, the Capsule W USE values reported in Table C-1 were determined by applying this methodology to the Charpy data tabulated in Tables 5-1 through 5-4 of this report. USE values documented in Table C-1 for the unirradiated material, as well as Capsules V, Y, and U, were also determined by applying the methodology described above to the Charpy impact data reported in WCAP-9967 [Ref. C-2], WCAP-13182 [Ref. C-3], WCAP-14978 [Ref. C-4], and WCAP-16093 [Ref. C-5]. The USE values reported in Table C-1 were used in generation of the Charpy V-notch curves.

The lower-shelf energy values were fixed at 2.2 ft-lb for all cases. The lower-shelf Lateral Expansion values were fixed at 1.0 mils in order to be consistent with the previous capsule analysis [Ref. C-5].

Table C-1 Upper-Shelf Energy Values (ft-lb) Fixed in CVGRAPH

Material	Capsule				
	Unirradiated	V	Y	U	W
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Longitudinal Orientation	138	139	133	137	134
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 Transverse Orientation	99	105	102	104	93
Surveillance Program Weld Metal (Heat # 90209)	97	93	95	95	94
Heat-Affected Zone (HAZ) Material	146	137	137	129	130

CVGRAPH Version 5.3 plots of all surveillance data are provided in this appendix, on the pages following the reference list.

C.1 REFERENCES

- C-1 ASTM E185-82, *Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E706(IF)*, ASTM, 1982.
- C-2 WCAP-9967, Revision 0, *Houston Lighting & Power Company South Texas Project Unit No. 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, June 1982.
- C-3 WCAP-13182, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule V from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, February 1992.
- C-4 WCAP-14978, Revision 0, *Analysis of Capsule Y from the Houston Lighting and Power Company South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, December 1997.
- C-5 WCAP-16093-NP, Revision 2, *Analysis of Capsule U from the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, South Texas Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program*, July 2007.

This page is intentionally blank.

C.2 CVGRAPH VERSION 5.3 INDIVIDUAL PLOTS

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 08:54 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 70.1 B = 67.9 C = 82.37 T0 = 17.92 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * \{Tanh((T-T_0)/(C+DT))\}$

Upper Shelf Energy=138.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-37.9 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-7.2 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-100.00	6.00	9.53	-3.53
-50.00	15.00	24.09	-9.09
-50.00	21.00	24.09	-3.09
-25.00	46.00	37.61	8.39
-25.00	47.00	37.61	9.39
.00	42.00	55.56	-13.56
.00	50.00	55.56	-5.56
25.00	83.00	75.92	7.08
25.00	90.00	75.92	14.08

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
75.00	94.00	110.83	-16.83
75.00	105.00	110.83	-5.83
125.00	138.00	128.61	9.39
125.00	138.00	128.61	9.39
125.00	139.00	128.61	10.39
200.00	90.00	136.39	-46.39
200.00	132.00	136.39	-4.39
200.00	141.00	136.39	4.61
300.00	137.00	137.86	-.86

Correlation Coefficient = .955

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 08:59 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 42.32 B = 41.32 C = 65.52 T0 = 10.7 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$


Upper Shelf L.E.=83.6

Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=-1.0 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-100.00	4.00	3.72	.28
-50.00	5.00	12.20	-7.20
-50.00	14.00	12.20	1.80
-25.00	27.00	21.80	5.20
-25.00	28.00	21.80	6.20
.00	26.00	35.63	-9.63
.00	30.00	35.63	-5.63
25.00	55.00	51.20	3.80
25.00	61.00	51.20	9.80

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
75.00	64.00	73.46	- 9.46
75.00	69.00	73.46	- 4.46
125.00	86.00	81.19	4.81
125.00	88.00	81.19	6.81
125.00	86.00	81.19	4.81
200.00	80.00	83.38	- 3.38
200.00	80.00	83.38	- 3.38
200.00	83.00	83.38	-.38
300.00	83.00	83.63	-.63

Correlation Coefficient = .982

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:00 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 77.84 T0 = 27.13 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 27.2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-100.00	3.00	3.67	-.67
-50.00	18.00	12.11	5.89
-50.00	14.00	12.11	1.89
-25.00	25.00	20.76	4.24
-25.00	20.00	20.76	-.76
.00	29.00	33.24	-4.24
.00	34.00	33.24	.76
25.00	45.00	48.63	-3.63
25.00	51.00	48.63	2.37

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
75.00	73.00	77.38	- 4.38
75.00	71.00	77.38	- 6.38
125.00	100.00	92.52	7.48
125.00	100.00	92.52	7.48
125.00	100.00	92.52	7.48
200.00	100.00	98.84	1.16
200.00	100.00	98.84	1.16
200.00	100.00	98.84	1.16
300.00	100.00	99.91	.09

Correlation Coefficient = .994

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 08:56 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 70.6 B = 68.4 C = 74.74 T0 = 29.6 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=139.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-21.4 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=6.4 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-75.00	12.00	10.05	1.95
-50.00	14.00	16.73	-2.73
-35.00	35.00	22.83	12.17
-25.00	45.00	27.96	17.04
-10.00	42.00	37.41	4.59
.00	17.00	44.85	-27.85
10.00	49.00	53.07	-4.07
25.00	66.00	66.40	-0.40
50.00	92.00	88.82	3.18

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
75.00	117.00	107.70	9.30
100.00	121.00	120.95	.05
125.00	121.00	129.12	-8.12
150.00	143.00	133.75	9.25
200.00	132.00	137.58	-5.58
250.00	143.00	138.63	4.37

Correlation Coefficient = .977

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:01 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 43.2 B = 42.2 C = 79.42 T0 = 21.4 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=85.4 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp. @ L.E. 35 mils=5.8 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-75.00	7.00	7.85	- .85
-50.00	8.00	12.99	-4.99
-35.00	22.00	17.43	4.57
-25.00	36.00	21.02	14.98
-10.00	30.00	27.34	2.66
.00	16.00	32.10	-16.10
10.00	32.00	37.19	-5.19
25.00	49.00	45.12	3.88
50.00	59.00	57.78	1.22

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
75.00	70.00	68.03	1.97
100.00	76.00	75.16	.84
125.00	79.00	79.62	-.62
150.00	85.00	82.22	2.78
200.00	83.00	84.48	-1.48
250.00	83.00	85.14	-2.14

Correlation Coefficient = .974

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:01 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 83.3 T0 = 38.55 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 38.6

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-75.00	5.00	6.14	-1.14
-50.00	10.00	10.66	-0.66
-35.00	20.00	14.61	5.39
-25.00	25.00	17.86	7.14
-10.00	25.00	23.77	1.23
0.00	20.00	28.38	-8.38
10.00	25.00	33.51	-8.51
25.00	45.00	41.94	3.06
50.00	60.00	56.83	3.17

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
75.00	75.00	70.58	4.42
100.00	80.00	81.39	-1.39
125.00	80.00	88.85	-8.85
150.00	100.00	93.56	6.44
200.00	100.00	97.97	2.03
250.00	100.00	99.38	.62

Correlation Coefficient = .989

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 08:57 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 67.6 B = 65.4 C = 89.4 T0 = 54.89 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=133.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-3.6 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=30.3 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-90.00	2.00	7.12	-5.12
-60.00	7.00	11.50	-4.50
-40.00	14.00	16.18	-2.18
-20.00	13.00	22.83	-9.83
-15.00	37.00	24.85	12.15
-5.00	39.00	29.35	9.65
0.00	41.00	31.83	9.17
25.00	35.00	46.51	-11.51
50.00	57.00	64.02	-7.02

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
72.00	87.00	79.96	7.04
100.00	95.00	98.06	-3.06
150.00	121.00	119.08	1.92
200.00	128.00	128.10	-1.10
250.00	141.00	131.36	9.64
300.00	131.00	132.46	-1.46

Correlation Coefficient = .988

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:02 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 42.11 B = 41.11 C = 82.95 T0 = 52.28 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=83.2 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=37.8 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-90.00	.00	3.58	-3.58
-60.00	2.00	6.14	-4.14
-40.00	5.00	9.02	-4.02
-20.00	7.00	13.25	-6.25
-15.00	22.00	14.56	7.44
-5.00	23.00	17.51	5.49
.00	25.00	19.16	5.84
25.00	24.00	29.06	-5.06
50.00	41.00	40.98	.02

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
72.00	49.00	51.71	- 2.71
100.00	65.00	63.46	1.54
150.00	77.00	76.11	.89
200.00	82.00	80.96	1.04
250.00	80.00	82.53	- 2.53
300.00	84.00	83.02	.98

Correlation Coefficient = .991

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:02 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 74.49 T0 = 45.15 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 45.2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-90.00	2.00	2.59	-.59
-60.00	5.00	5.61	-.61
-40.00	10.00	9.23	.77
-20.00	10.00	14.81	-4.81
-15.00	15.00	16.59	-1.59
-5.00	20.00	20.64	-.64
.00	20.00	22.93	-2.93
25.00	45.00	36.79	8.21
50.00	55.00	53.25	1.75

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
72.00	65.00	67.28	- 2.28
100.00	80.00	81.34	- 1.34
150.00	90.00	94.35	- 4.35
200.00	100.00	98.46	1.54
250.00	100.00	99.59	.41
300.00	100.00	99.89	.11

Correlation Coefficient = .997

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 08:57 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 69.6 B = 67.4 C = 82.62 T0 = 46.61 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=137.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-9.0 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=21.9 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-50.00	7.00	14.06	-7.06
-25.00	28.00	22.44	5.56
0.00	22.00	35.16	-13.16
10.00	46.00	41.55	4.45
20.00	58.00	48.61	9.39
40.00	60.00	64.22	-4.22
50.00	68.00	72.37	-4.37
75.00	107.00	91.89	15.11
100.00	105.00	107.96	-2.96

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
125.00	105.00	119.43	-14.43
150.00	127.00	126.80	.20
175.00	127.00	131.23	-4.23
200.00	144.00	133.79	10.21
225.00	143.00	135.23	7.77
250.00	133.00	136.03	-3.03

Correlation Coefficient = .983

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:03 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 40.41 B = 39.41 C = 70.9 T0 = 42.4 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=79.8 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=32.7 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-50.00	1.00	6.42	-5.42
-25.00	15.00	11.24	3.76
.00	11.00	19.30	-8.30
10.00	28.00	23.56	4.44
20.00	35.00	28.36	6.64
40.00	37.00	39.08	-2.08
50.00	40.00	44.62	-4.62
75.00	63.00	57.36	5.64
100.00	65.00	66.86	-1.86

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
125.00	70.00	72.84	-2.84
150.00	75.00	76.21	-1.21
175.00	78.00	78.00	.00
200.00	82.00	78.92	3.08
225.00	81.00	79.37	1.63
250.00	78.00	79.60	-1.60

Correlation Coefficient = .988

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 09:03 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 56.64 T0 = 55.93 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 56.0

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-50.00	2.00	2.32	- .32
-25.00	10.00	5.43	4.57
.00	15.00	12.19	2.81
10.00	15.00	16.50	- 1.50
20.00	25.00	21.95	3.05
40.00	30.00	36.30	- 6.30
50.00	40.00	44.78	- 4.78
75.00	75.00	66.23	8.77
100.00	85.00	82.58	2.42

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
125.00	85.00	91.97	- 6.97
150.00	100.00	96.52	3.48
175.00	90.00	98.53	- 8.53
200.00	100.00	99.39	.61
225.00	100.00	99.75	.25
250.00	100.00	99.89	.11

Correlation Coefficient = .993

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 11:45 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 68.1 B = 65.9 C = 97.07 T0 = 61.37 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=134.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-2.6 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=34.1 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-70.00	7.00	10.45	-3.45
-20.00	14.00	22.97	-8.97
-10.00	31.00	26.83	4.17
.00	32.00	31.23	.77
10.00	46.00	36.16	9.84
20.00	42.00	41.60	.40
30.00	33.00	47.52	-14.52
40.00	53.00	53.82	-.82
50.00	67.00	60.42	6.58

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
72.00	83.00	75.29	7.71
130.00	101.00	108.22	-7.22
160.00	114.00	118.73	-4.73
200.00	122.00	126.83	-4.83
220.00	136.00	129.17	6.83
250.00	143.00	131.35	11.65

Correlation Coefficient = .986

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 11:51 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 41.29 B = 40.29 C = 100.47 T0 = 57.17 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=81.6 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=41.4 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-70.00	4.00	6.94	-2.94
-20.00	9.00	15.27	-6.27
-10.00	19.00	17.76	1.24
0.00	21.00	20.55	1.45
10.00	31.00	23.65	7.35
20.00	29.00	27.03	1.97
30.00	20.00	30.65	-10.65
40.00	37.00	34.47	2.53
50.00	42.00	38.41	3.59

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
72.00	50.00	47.19	2.81
130.00	62.00	66.26	-4.26
160.00	68.00	72.36	-4.36
200.00	82.00	77.14	4.86
220.00	80.00	78.54	1.46
250.00	79.00	79.87	-.87

Correlation Coefficient = .984

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 11:53 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 92.64 T0 = 81.11 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 81.2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-70.00	0.00	3.69	-3.69
-20.00	5.00	10.13	-5.13
-10.00	10.00	12.27	-2.27
0.00	15.00	14.79	2.1
10.00	20.00	17.72	2.28
20.00	20.00	21.09	-1.09
30.00	20.00	24.91	-4.91
40.00	35.00	29.16	5.84
50.00	40.00	33.81	6.19

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: LT Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
72.00	45.00	45.10	- .10
130.00	70.00	74.18	- 4.18
160.00	80.00	84.60	- 4.60
200.00	95.00	92.87	2.13
220.00	100.00	95.25	4.75
250.00	100.00	97.46	2.54

Correlation Coefficient = .994

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:06 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 50.6 B = 48.4 C = 82.85 T0 = 19.32 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=99.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-18.3 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=18.3 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-50.00	10.00	17.49	-7.49
-25.00	25.00	26.93	-1.93
-25.00	35.00	26.93	8.07
.00	40.00	39.51	.49
.00	40.00	39.51	.49
.00	40.00	39.51	.49
25.00	46.00	53.91	-7.91
25.00	51.00	53.91	-2.91
25.00	68.00	53.91	14.09

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
75.00	70.00	78.98	- 8.98
75.00	71.00	78.98	- 7.98
75.00	82.00	78.98	3.02
125.00	94.00	92.00	2.00
125.00	94.00	92.00	2.00
125.00	100.00	92.00	8.00
200.00	102.00	97.78	4.22
200.00	106.00	97.78	8.22
300.00	96.00	98.89	- 2.89

Correlation Coefficient = .977

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:07 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 34.01 B = 33.01 C = 70.51 T0 = 23.25 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=67.0 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=25.4 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-50.00	1.00	8.35	-7.35
-25.00	12.00	14.39	-2.39
-25.00	17.00	14.39	2.61
.00	22.00	23.51	-1.51
.00	22.00	23.51	-1.51
.00	27.00	23.51	3.49
25.00	32.00	34.83	-2.83
25.00	34.00	34.83	-.83
25.00	47.00	34.83	12.17

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
75.00	49.00	54.66	- 5.66
75.00	49.00	54.66	- 5.66
75.00	52.00	54.66	- 2.66
125.00	66.00	63.53	2.47
125.00	70.00	63.53	6.47
125.00	67.00	63.53	3.47
200.00	59.00	66.59	- 7.59
200.00	69.00	66.59	2.41
300.00	68.00	67.00	1.00

Correlation Coefficient = .974

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:07 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 90.2 T0 = 28.14 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 28.2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-50.00	18.00	15.02	2.98
-25.00	25.00	23.54	1.46
-25.00	25.00	23.54	1.46
.00	34.00	34.89	-.89
.00	34.00	34.89	-.89
.00	40.00	34.89	5.11
25.00	48.00	48.26	-.26
25.00	47.00	48.26	-1.26
25.00	48.00	48.26	-.26

Unirradiated Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
75.00	59.00	73.87	-14.87
75.00	68.00	73.87	-5.87
75.00	75.00	73.87	1.13
125.00	100.00	89.54	10.46
125.00	100.00	89.54	10.46
125.00	95.00	89.54	5.46
200.00	100.00	97.83	2.17
200.00	100.00	97.83	2.17
300.00	100.00	99.76	.24

Correlation Coefficient = .983

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:08 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 53.6 B = 51.4 C = 120.42 T0 = 53.64 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=105.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-6.1 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=45.2 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-70.00	9.00	13.89	-4.89
-50.00	28.00	17.80	10.20
-35.00	17.00	21.38	-4.38
-25.00	33.00	24.11	8.89
.00	29.00	32.11	-3.11
25.00	40.00	41.60	-1.60
40.00	42.00	47.80	-5.80
50.00	60.00	52.05	7.95
75.00	62.00	62.62	-.62

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
100.00	66.00	72.46	-6.46
125.00	75.00	80.93	-5.93
150.00	93.00	87.74	5.26
200.00	96.00	96.69	-0.69
250.00	111.00	101.20	9.80
275.00	119.00	102.46	16.54

Correlation Coefficient = .978

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:09 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 39.64 B = 38.64 C = 127.63 T0 = 63.3 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=78.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=47.9 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-70.00	5.00	9.52	-4.52
-50.00	17.00	12.20	4.80
-35.00	11.00	14.64	-3.64
-25.00	23.00	16.49	6.51
.00	22.00	21.91	.09
25.00	26.00	28.38	-2.38
40.00	30.00	32.67	-2.67
50.00	40.00	35.63	4.37
75.00	40.00	43.18	-3.18

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
100.00	48.00	50.46	- 2.46
125.00	58.00	56.99	1.01
150.00	66.00	62.49	3.51
200.00	71.00	70.17	.83
250.00	74.00	74.35	-.35
275.00	74.00	75.59	- 1.59

Correlation Coefficient = .989

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:09 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 98.22 T0 = 66.97 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 67.0

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-70.00	5.00	5.79	- .79
-50.00	15.00	8.46	6.54
-35.00	15.00	11.14	3.86
-25.00	20.00	13.32	6.68
.00	20.00	20.36	- .36
25.00	25.00	29.85	-4.85
40.00	30.00	36.60	-6.60
50.00	50.00	41.44	8.56
75.00	50.00	54.08	-4.08

Capsule V Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
100.00	60.00	66.21	- 6.21
125.00	70.00	76.52	- 6.52
150.00	100.00	84.43	15.57
200.00	100.00	93.75	6.25
250.00	100.00	97.65	2.35
275.00	100.00	98.57	1.43

Correlation Coefficient = .983

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:10 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 52.1 B = 49.9 C = 86.62 T0 = 58.83 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=102.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=17.7 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=55.2 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-80.00	4.00	6.09	-2.09
-30.00	17.00	13.57	3.43
-15.00	17.00	17.56	-.56
-5.00	25.00	20.80	4.20
10.00	18.00	26.62	-8.62
25.00	40.00	33.55	6.45
40.00	39.00	41.42	-2.42
50.00	42.00	47.03	-5.03
72.00	66.00	59.63	6.37

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
100.00	74.00	74.18	- .18
150.00	87.00	91.16	- 4.16
200.00	96.00	98.31	- 2.31
250.00	111.00	100.81	10.19
300.00	111.00	101.62	9.38
350.00	103.00	101.88	1.12

Correlation Coefficient = .990

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:10 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 33.17 B = 32.17 C = 91.16 T0 = 61.84 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=65.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp. @L.E. 35 mils=67.1 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-80.00	1.00	3.74	-2.74
-30.00	9.00	8.57	-4.43
-15.00	7.00	11.06	-4.06
-5.00	16.00	13.06	2.94
10.00	9.00	16.62	-7.62
25.00	25.00	20.84	-4.16
40.00	27.00	25.61	-1.39
50.00	29.00	29.02	-1.02
72.00	39.00	36.74	2.26

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
100.00	50.00	45.90	4.10
150.00	48.00	57.22	-9.22
200.00	60.00	62.38	-2.38
250.00	66.00	64.32	1.68
300.00	68.00	65.00	3.00
350.00	67.00	65.23	1.77

Correlation Coefficient = .985

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:11 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 72.78 T0 = 57.41 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 57.5

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-80.00	2.00	2.24	-0.24
-30.00	5.00	8.30	-3.30
-15.00	10.00	12.03	-2.03
-5.00	20.00	15.25	4.75
10.00	15.00	21.37	-6.37
25.00	30.00	29.10	0.90
40.00	40.00	38.26	1.74
50.00	50.00	44.93	5.07
72.00	60.00	59.89	0.11

Capsule Y Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
100.00	70.00	76.32	- 6.32
150.00	95.00	92.72	2.28
200.00	100.00	98.05	1.95
250.00	100.00	99.50	.50
300.00	100.00	99.87	.13
350.00	100.00	99.97	.03

Correlation Coefficient = .996

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:12 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 53.1 B = 50.9 C = 100.88 T0 = 71.5 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=104.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=22.2 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=65.4 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-75.00	2.00	7.49	-5.49
-50.00	10.00	10.60	-.60
-25.00	24.00	15.30	8.70
.00	22.00	22.06	-.06
25.00	32.00	31.17	.83
50.00	35.00	42.42	-7.42
60.00	50.00	47.32	2.68
75.00	59.00	54.87	4.13
100.00	64.00	67.11	-3.11

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
125.00	79.00	77.82	1.18
150.00	79.00	86.27	-7.27
190.00	101.00	95.13	5.87
225.00	102.00	99.37	2.63
250.00	108.00	101.13	6.87
275.00	104.00	102.23	1.77

Correlation Coefficient = .991

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:12 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 34.64 B = 33.64 C = 92.04 T0 = 81.01 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=68.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=82.0 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-75.00	0.00	3.19	-3.19
-50.00	1.00	4.69	-3.69
-25.00	10.00	7.11	2.89
0.00	11.00	10.87	1.13
25.00	18.00	16.37	1.63
50.00	20.00	23.72	-3.72
60.00	30.00	27.09	2.91
75.00	31.00	32.45	-1.45
100.00	44.00	41.48	2.52

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
125.00	51.00	49.59	1.41
150.00	50.00	56.00	-6.00
190.00	67.00	62.52	4.48
225.00	63.00	65.46	-2.46
250.00	66.00	66.61	-.61
275.00	69.00	67.30	1.70

Correlation Coefficient = .992

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 11:13 AM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 62.1 T0 = 89.31 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 89.4

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-75.00	2.00	5.50	1.50
-50.00	5.00	11.11	3.89
-25.00	10.00	21.46	7.54
0.00	10.00	35.33	4.67
25.00	15.00	51.19	3.81
50.00	20.00	68.99	-1.99
60.00	25.00	78.01	-3.01
75.00	35.00	88.67	-3.67
100.00	60.00	98.52	1.48

Capsule U Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
125.00	80.00	75.94	4.06
150.00	85.00	87.59	-2.59
190.00	100.00	96.24	3.76
225.00	100.00	98.75	1.25
250.00	100.00	99.44	.56
275.00	100.00	99.75	.25

Correlation Coefficient = .997

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:17 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 47.6 B = 45.4 C = 71.22 T0 = 85.69 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=93.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=56.6 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=89.5 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-70.00	4.00	3.33	.67
0.00	17.00	9.71	7.29
30.00	21.00	17.92	3.08
40.00	15.00	21.91	-6.91
50.00	27.00	26.58	.42
60.00	29.00	31.90	-2.90
60.00	25.00	31.90	-6.90
72.00	44.00	38.98	5.02
72.00	45.00	38.98	6.02

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
80.00	46.00	43.98	2.02
100.00	51.00	56.60	-5.60
130.00	75.00	72.69	2.31
200.00	86.00	89.48	-3.48
220.00	96.00	90.96	5.04
250.00	97.00	92.11	4.89

Correlation Coefficient = .987

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:20 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 34.15 B = 33.15 C = 77.48 T0 = 95.62 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=67.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=97.7 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-70.00	0.00	1.91	-1.91
-50.00	12.00	6.18	5.82
30.00	10.00	11.29	-1.29
40.00	11.00	13.74	-2.74
50.00	18.00	16.61	1.39
60.00	20.00	19.90	1.10
60.00	13.00	19.90	-6.90
72.00	28.00	24.35	3.65
72.00	26.00	24.35	1.65

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
80.00	30.00	27.56	2.44
100.00	33.00	36.02	-3.02
130.00	50.00	47.96	2.04
200.00	59.00	63.10	-4.10
220.00	68.00	64.73	3.27
250.00	66.00	66.09	-.09

Correlation Coefficient = .988

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:23 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 78.45 T0 = 118.77 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 118.8

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-70.00	0.00	8.81	-8.81
0.00	5.00	4.62	0.38
30.00	10.00	9.42	0.58
40.00	15.00	11.84	3.16
50.00	15.00	14.76	0.24
60.00	15.00	18.27	3.27
60.00	20.00	18.27	1.73
72.00	25.00	23.28	1.72
72.00	25.00	23.28	1.72

Capsule W Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: TL Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
80.00	30.00	27.12	2.88
100.00	40.00	38.26	1.74
130.00	45.00	57.11	12.11
200.00	95.00	88.80	6.20
220.00	100.00	92.96	7.04
250.00	100.00	96.60	3.40

Correlation Coefficient = .992

Unirradiated (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:06 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 49.6 B = 47.4 C = 58.26 T0 = 11.49 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=97.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-14.1 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=12.0 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-100.00	8.00	4.22	3.78
-50.00	16.00	12.44	3.56
-25.00	30.00	23.27	6.73
-25.00	35.00	23.27	11.73
.00	21.00	40.37	-19.37
.00	28.00	40.37	-12.37
25.00	68.00	60.40	7.60
25.00	69.00	60.40	8.60
75.00	85.00	87.37	-2.37

Unirradiated (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
75.00	93.00	87.37	5.63
125.00	90.00	95.11	-5.11
125.00	94.00	95.11	-1.11
200.00	99.00	96.85	2.15
200.00	100.00	96.85	3.15
300.00	103.00	97.00	6.00

Correlation Coefficient = .972

Unirradiated (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:07 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 36.41 B = 35.41 C = 56.1 T0 = 11.94 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=71.8 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=9.8 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-100.00	1.00	2.29	-1.29
-50.00	9.00	8.01	.99
-25.00	22.00	15.96	6.04
-25.00	24.00	15.96	8.04
.00	16.00	28.98	-12.98
.00	21.00	28.98	-7.98
25.00	49.00	44.50	4.50
25.00	51.00	44.50	6.50
75.00	65.00	65.05	-.05

Unirradiated (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
75.00	66.00	65.05	.95
125.00	66.00	70.58	-4.58
125.00	70.00	70.58	-.58
200.00	77.00	71.73	5.27
200.00	70.00	71.73	-1.73
300.00	71.00	71.81	-.81

Correlation Coefficient = .977

Unirradiated (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:07 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 85.75 T0 = -13.43 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -13.4

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-100.00	18.00	11.72	6.28
-50.00	33.00	29.88	3.12
-25.00	43.00	43.29	- .29
-25.00	47.00	43.29	3.71
.00	50.00	57.77	-7.77
.00	50.00	57.77	-7.77
25.00	77.00	71.02	5.98
25.00	67.00	71.02	-4.02
75.00	93.00	88.72	4.28

Unirradiated (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
75.00	94.00	88.72	5.28
125.00	100.00	96.19	3.81
125.00	100.00	96.19	3.81
200.00	100.00	99.32	.68
200.00	100.00	99.32	.68
300.00	100.00	99.93	.07

Correlation Coefficient = .988

Capsule V (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:08 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 47.6 B = 45.4 C = 87.57 T0 = 13.42 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=93.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-22.4 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=18.1 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-100.00	7.00	8.53	-1.53
-75.00	18.00	12.84	5.16
-50.00	28.00	19.47	8.53
-25.00	33.00	28.87	4.13
.00	26.00	40.70	-14.70
15.00	35.00	48.42	-13.42
25.00	73.00	53.57	19.43
30.00	53.00	56.09	-3.09
50.00	61.00	65.53	-4.53

Capsule V (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
75.00	80.00	75.13	4.87
100.00	86.00	81.96	4.04
150.00	88.00	89.16	-1.16
200.00	95.00	91.74	3.26
225.00	99.00	92.28	6.72
275.00	98.00	92.77	5.23

Correlation Coefficient = .964

Capsule V (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:09 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 38.31 B = 37.31 C = 103.22 T0 = 16.02 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\tanh((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=75.6 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=6.9 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-100.00	6.00	8.13	-2.13
-75.00	11.00	11.92	-0.92
-50.00	23.00	17.24	5.76
-25.00	31.00	24.22	6.78
0.00	21.00	32.56	-11.56
15.00	30.00	37.94	-7.94
25.00	53.00	41.55	11.45
30.00	43.00	43.33	-0.33
50.00	47.00	50.16	-3.16

Capsule V (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
75.00	58.00	57.57	.43
100.00	68.00	63.36	4.64
150.00	69.00	70.44	-1.44
200.00	73.00	73.56	-.56
225.00	76.00	74.34	1.66
275.00	73.00	75.12	-2.12

Correlation Coefficient = .971

Capsule V (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:09 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 77.83 T0 = -1.82 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -1.8

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-100.00	5.00	7.43	-2.43
-75.00	10.00	13.23	-3.23
-50.00	25.00	22.48	2.52
-25.00	45.00	35.53	9.47
0.00	45.00	51.17	-6.17
15.00	60.00	60.64	-1.64
25.00	70.00	66.58	3.42
30.00	60.00	69.38	-9.38
50.00	80.00	79.11	.89

Capsule V (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
75.00	90.00	87.81	2.19
100.00	100.00	93.19	6.81
150.00	100.00	98.02	1.98
200.00	100.00	99.44	.56
225.00	100.00	99.71	.29
275.00	100.00	99.92	.08

Correlation Coefficient = .991

Capsule Y (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:10 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 48.6 B = 46.4 C = 89.93 T0 = 27.68 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=95.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-10.5 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=30.4 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-100.00	6.00	7.32	-1.32
-75.00	7.00	10.78	-3.78
-50.00	17.00	16.20	.80
-25.00	21.00	24.15	-3.15
-15.00	35.00	28.10	6.90
-5.00	38.00	32.44	5.56
10.00	48.00	39.59	8.41
35.00	38.00	52.37	-14.37
50.00	49.00	59.89	-10.89

Capsule Y (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
72.00	79.00	69.78	9.22
115.00	80.00	83.36	-3.36
125.00	93.00	85.44	7.56
200.00	99.00	93.03	5.97
250.00	103.00	94.34	8.66
300.00	100.00	94.78	5.22

Correlation Coefficient = .979

Capsule Y (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:10 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 36.63 B = 35.63 C = 90.6 T0 = 32.67 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=72.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=28.6 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-100.00	.00	4.62	-4.62
-75.00	2.00	7.06	-5.06
-50.00	11.00	10.90	.10
-25.00	15.00	16.59	-1.59
-15.00	23.00	19.44	3.56
-5.00	27.00	22.62	4.38
10.00	34.00	27.90	6.10
35.00	32.00	37.55	-5.55
50.00	39.00	43.37	-4.37

Capsule Y (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
72.00	50.00	51.20	- 1.20
115.00	65.00	62.31	2.69
125.00	66.00	64.05	1.95
200.00	71.00	70.54	.46
250.00	71.00	71.68	-.68
300.00	71.00	72.07	- 1.07

Correlation Coefficient = .990

Capsule Y (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:11 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 76.84 T0 = .61 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = .7

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-100.00	10.00	6.79	3.21
-75.00	10.00	12.26	-2.26
-50.00	25.00	21.13	3.87
-25.00	30.00	33.92	-3.92
-15.00	35.00	39.98	-4.98
-5.00	45.00	46.35	-1.35
10.00	70.00	56.08	13.92
35.00	60.00	70.99	-10.99
50.00	80.00	78.34	1.66

Capsule Y (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
72.00	90.00	86.51	3.49
115.00	95.00	95.15	-.15
125.00	95.00	96.22	-1.22
200.00	100.00	99.45	.55
250.00	100.00	99.85	.15
300.00	100.00	99.96	.04

Correlation Coefficient = .988

Capsule U (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:12 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 48.6 B = 46.4 C = 59.19 T0 = 31.42 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=95.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=6.3 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=33.3 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-75.00	4.00	4.68	- .68
-50.00	10.00	7.77	2.23
-25.00	17.00	14.21	2.79
.00	28.00	26.05	1.95
10.00	28.00	32.50	-4.50
30.00	41.00	47.49	-6.49
50.00	72.00	62.71	9.29
50.00	64.00	62.71	1.29
75.00	74.00	77.69	-3.69

Capsule U (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
125.00	94.00	91.23	2.77
150.00	86.00	93.34	-7.34
200.00	91.00	94.69	-3.69
225.00	97.00	94.87	2.13
250.00	96.00	94.94	1.06
275.00	104.00	94.98	9.02

Correlation Coefficient = .990

Capsule U (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:12 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 33.94 B = 32.94 C = 57.78 T0 = 33.41 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=66.9 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=35.3 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-75.00	.00	2.51	-2.51
-50.00	3.00	4.48	-1.48
-25.00	10.00	8.70	1.30
.00	20.00	16.77	3.23
10.00	20.00	21.28	-1.28
30.00	25.00	32.00	-7.00
50.00	50.00	43.14	6.86
50.00	45.00	43.14	1.86
75.00	51.00	54.26	-3.26

Capsule U (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
125.00	64.00	64.22	- .22
150.00	62.00	65.73	- 3.73
200.00	63.00	66.67	- 3.67
225.00	67.00	66.79	.21
250.00	71.00	66.84	4.16
275.00	70.00	66.86	3.14

Correlation Coefficient = .990

Capsule U (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:12 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 74.04 T0 = 13.7 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 13.8

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-75.00	10.00	8.35	1.65
-50.00	15.00	15.18	-0.18
-25.00	25.00	26.01	-1.01
.00	45.00	40.85	4.15
10.00	40.00	47.50	-7.50
30.00	65.00	60.83	4.17
50.00	75.00	72.72	2.28
50.00	70.00	72.72	-2.72
75.00	85.00	83.97	1.03

Capsule U (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
125.00	95.00	95.29	- .29
150.00	95.00	97.54	- 2.54
200.00	98.00	99.35	- 1.35
225.00	98.00	99.67	- 1.67
250.00	100.00	99.83	.17
275.00	100.00	99.91	.09

Correlation Coefficient = .996

Capsule W (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:31 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 48.1 B = 45.9 C = 80.71 T0 = 45.9 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=94.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=12.3 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=49.3 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: W. Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-70.00	4.00	7.12	-3.12
-20.00	15.00	17.20	-2.20
.00	27.00	24.49	2.51
20.00	57.00	33.86	23.14
30.00	32.00	39.17	-7.17
40.00	34.00	44.75	-10.75
40.00	38.00	44.75	-6.75
50.00	56.00	50.43	5.57
60.00	54.00	56.04	-2.04

Capsule W (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
72.00	56.00	62.45	- 6.45
100.00	78.00	74.96	3.04
130.00	93.00	83.84	9.16
200.00	92.00	92.03	-.03
220.00	91.00	92.79	- 1.79
250.00	98.00	93.42	4.58

Correlation Coefficient = .962

Capsule W (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:34 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 33.17 B = 32.17 C = 86.96 T0 = 32.18 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=65.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=37.2 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-70.00	.00	6.60	-6.60
-20.00	14.00	15.89	-1.89
.00	23.00	21.78	1.22
20.00	44.00	28.70	15.30
30.00	28.00	32.37	-4.37
40.00	29.00	36.06	-7.06
40.00	31.00	36.06	-5.06
50.00	45.00	39.68	5.32
60.00	42.00	43.13	-1.13

Capsule W (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
72.00	46.00	46.96	- .96
100.00	58.00	54.17	3.83
130.00	54.00	59.21	- 5.21
200.00	65.00	64.02	.98
220.00	62.00	64.50	- 2.50
250.00	69.00	64.92	4.08

Correlation Coefficient = .956

Capsule W (Weld)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:36 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 93.78 T0 = 40.71 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 40.8

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209

Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-70.00	10.00	8.62	1.38
-20.00	20.00	21.51	-1.51
0.00	30.00	29.56	.44
20.00	45.00	39.14	5.86
30.00	40.00	44.32	-4.32
40.00	45.00	49.62	-4.62
40.00	45.00	49.62	-4.62
50.00	65.00	54.94	10.06
60.00	60.00	60.14	-.14

Capsule W (Weld)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SAW Heat: 90209
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
72.00	65.00	66.09	-1.09
100.00	75.00	77.98	-2.98
130.00	90.00	87.04	2.96
200.00	95.00	96.76	-1.76
220.00	100.00	97.86	2.14
250.00	100.00	98.86	1.14

Correlation Coefficient = .990

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:49 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 74.1 B = 71.9 C = 78.16 T0 = -54.54 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=146.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-110.3 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-81.7 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-200.00	19.00	5.60	13.40
-100.00	30.00	36.43	-6.43
-100.00	31.00	36.43	-5.43
-50.00	60.00	78.27	-18.27
-50.00	90.00	78.27	11.73
-50.00	106.00	78.27	27.73
.00	70.00	117.45	-47.45
.00	117.00	117.45	-.45
.00	130.00	117.45	12.55

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
25.00	126.00	129.38	- 3.38
25.00	140.00	129.38	10.62
75.00	150.00	140.96	9.04
75.00	189.00	140.96	48.04
125.00	110.00	144.56	- 34.56
125.00	113.00	144.56	- 31.56
150.00	155.00	145.24	9.76
150.00	155.00	145.24	9.76
200.00	192.00	145.79	46.21

Correlation Coefficient = .874

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:49 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 38.42 B = 37.42 C = 79.84 T0 = -53.61 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=75.8 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp. @L.E. 35 mils=-60.9 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-200.00	4.00	2.86	-1.14
-100.00	13.00	18.83	-5.83
-100.00	14.00	18.83	-4.83
-50.00	38.00	40.11	-2.11
-50.00	47.00	40.11	6.89
-50.00	55.00	40.11	14.89
.00	35.00	60.35	-25.35
.00	47.00	60.35	-13.35
.00	68.00	60.35	7.65

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
25.00	75.00	66.67	8.33
25.00	76.00	66.67	9.33
75.00	79.00	72.97	6.03
75.00	76.00	72.97	3.03
125.00	67.00	75.00	-8.00
125.00	67.00	75.00	-8.00
150.00	77.00	75.39	1.61
150.00	81.00	75.39	5.61
200.00	77.00	75.71	1.29

Correlation Coefficient = .924

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:49 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 79.32 T0 = -73.6 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -73.6

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-200.00	9.00	3.97	5.03
-100.00	33.00	33.95	-.95
-100.00	35.00	33.95	1.05
-50.00	56.00	64.45	-8.45
-50.00	64.00	64.45	-.45
-50.00	73.00	64.45	8.55
.00	65.00	86.48	-21.48
.00	83.00	86.48	-3.48
.00	100.00	86.48	13.52

Unirradiated (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: UNIRR Fluence: n/cm^2

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
25.00	100.00	92.32	7.68
25.00	100.00	92.32	7.68
75.00	100.00	97.69	2.31
75.00	100.00	97.69	2.31
125.00	100.00	99.34	.66
125.00	100.00	99.34	.66
150.00	100.00	99.65	.35
150.00	100.00	99.65	.35
200.00	100.00	99.90	.10

Correlation Coefficient = .967

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:51 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 69.6 B = 67.4 C = 89.15 T0 = -57.22 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=137.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-117.2 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-83.9 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-150.00	4.00	17.15	-13.15
-100.00	17.00	39.53	-22.53
-100.00	98.00	39.53	58.47
-75.00	56.00	56.33	-.33
-65.00	41.00	63.73	-22.73
-50.00	85.00	75.05	9.95
-25.00	57.00	92.95	-35.95
-10.00	95.00	102.30	-7.30
.00	136.00	107.76	28.24

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
25.00	132.00	118.60	13.40
60.00	129.00	127.94	1.06
100.00	141.00	133.15	7.85
150.00	137.00	135.72	1.28
200.00	111.00	136.58	-25.58
225.00	170.00	136.76	33.24

Correlation Coefficient = .863

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:51 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 37.67 B = 36.67 C = 83.37 T0 = -57.55 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=74.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp. @ L.E. 35 mils = -63.6 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-150.00	1.00	8.20	-7.20
-100.00	11.00	20.46	-9.46
-100.00	49.00	20.46	28.54
-75.00	30.00	30.10	-0.10
-65.00	22.00	34.40	-12.40
-50.00	43.00	40.98	2.02
-25.00	40.00	51.30	-11.30
-10.00	48.00	56.57	-8.57
.00	75.00	59.60	15.40

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
25.00	76.00	65.44	10.56
60.00	69.00	70.21	-1.21
100.00	72.00	72.70	-.70
150.00	78.00	73.83	4.17
200.00	64.00	74.18	-10.18
225.00	75.00	74.25	.75

Correlation Coefficient = .895

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:52 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 81.63 T0 = -65.88 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -65.8

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-150.00	2.00	11.30	-9.30
-100.00	15.00	30.24	-15.24
-100.00	65.00	30.24	34.76
-75.00	45.00	44.44	.56
-65.00	40.00	50.54	-10.54
-50.00	60.00	59.61	.39
-25.00	60.00	73.14	-13.14
-10.00	70.00	79.72	-9.72
.00	100.00	83.40	16.60

Capsule V (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: V Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
25.00	100.00	90.26	9.74
60.00	100.00	95.62	4.38
100.00	100.00	98.31	1.69
150.00	100.00	99.50	.50
200.00	100.00	99.85	.15
225.00	100.00	99.92	.08

Correlation Coefficient = .925

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:54 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 69.6 B = 67.4 C = 84.43 T0 = -5.86 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=137.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-62.7 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-31.1 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-160.00	4.00	5.61	-1.61
-125.00	51.00	9.77	41.23
-125.00	5.00	9.77	-4.77
-90.00	12.00	18.37	-6.37
-60.00	30.00	31.47	-1.47
-25.00	43.00	54.58	-11.58
.00	54.00	74.27	-20.27
25.00	129.00	93.19	35.81
25.00	97.00	93.19	3.81

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
50.00	105.00	108.65	-3.65
72.00	109.00	118.59	-9.59
100.00	128.00	126.85	1.15
140.00	124.00	132.87	-8.87
175.00	135.00	135.17	-0.17
250.00	162.00	136.69	25.31

Correlation Coefficient = .944

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:54 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 38.54 B = 37.54 C = 66.49 T0 = 2.19 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\tanh((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=76.1 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=4.0 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-160.00	.00	1.57	-1.57
-125.00	23.00	2.60	20.40
-125.00	2.00	2.60	-1.60
-90.00	.00	5.42	-5.42
-60.00	15.00	11.02	3.98
-25.00	22.00	23.99	-1.99
.00	25.00	37.30	-12.30
25.00	64.00	50.93	13.07
25.00	55.00	50.93	4.07

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
50.00	60.00	61.67	- 1.67
72.00	60.00	67.89	- 7.89
100.00	76.00	72.32	3.68
140.00	74.00	74.91	- .91
175.00	72.00	75.67	- 3.67
250.00	80.00	76.04	3.96

Correlation Coefficient = .965

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:55 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 68.59 T0 = -1.46 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -1.4

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-160.00	5.00	9.97	4.03
-125.00	25.00	22.65	22.35
-125.00	5.00	2.65	2.35
-90.00	10.00	7.03	2.97
-60.00	20.00	15.35	4.65
-25.00	25.00	33.48	-8.48
.00	40.00	51.06	-11.06
25.00	80.00	68.39	11.61
25.00	70.00	68.39	1.61

Capsule Y (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: Y Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
50.00	85.00	81.77	3.23
72.00	85.00	89.49	-4.49
100.00	100.00	95.07	4.93
140.00	100.00	98.41	1.59
175.00	100.00	99.42	.58
250.00	100.00	99.93	.07

Correlation Coefficient = .981

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:55 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 65.6 B = 63.4 C = 116.78 T0 = -21.26 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=129.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-95.4 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-50.5 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-200.00	6.00	7.87	-1.87
-150.00	7.00	14.79	-7.79
-125.00	13.00	20.55	-7.55
-108.00	30.00	25.61	4.39
-75.00	52.00	38.32	13.68
-50.00	36.00	50.30	-14.30
-25.00	56.00	63.57	-7.57
0.00	90.00	77.02	12.98
25.00	130.00	89.48	40.52

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
50.00	61.00	100.11	-39.11
75.00	96.00	108.55	-12.55
125.00	115.00	119.42	-4.42
150.00	114.00	122.59	-8.59
175.00	144.00	124.75	19.25
200.00	143.00	126.20	16.80

Correlation Coefficient = .927

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:56 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 32.75 B = 31.75 C = 69.41 T0 = -26.49 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=64.5 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp. @L.E. 35 mils=-21.5 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-200.00	0.00	1.43	-1.43
-150.00	1.00	2.76	-1.76
-125.00	4.00	4.51	-0.51
-108.00	11.00	6.54	4.46
-75.00	18.00	13.58	4.42
-50.00	15.00	22.39	-7.39
-25.00	26.00	33.43	-7.43
0.00	52.00	44.31	7.69
25.00	75.00	52.76	22.24

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
50.00	35.00	58.19	- 23.19
75.00	53.00	61.27	- 8.27
125.00	65.00	63.71	1.29
150.00	53.00	64.11	- 11.11
175.00	73.00	64.31	8.69
200.00	76.00	64.41	11.59

Correlation Coefficient = .923

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 04/13/2012 02:56 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 82.3 T0 = -27.32 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = -27.3

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-200.00	2.00	1.48	.52
-150.00	2.00	4.83	-2.83
-125.00	5.00	8.52	-3.52
-108.00	10.00	12.34	-2.34
-75.00	15.00	23.89	-8.89
-50.00	35.00	36.56	-1.56
-25.00	55.00	51.41	3.59
.00	80.00	66.01	13.99
25.00	100.00	78.10	21.90

Capsule U (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: U Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
50.00	55.00	86.75	-31.75
75.00	70.00	92.32	-22.32
125.00	100.00	97.59	2.41
150.00	100.00	98.67	1.33
175.00	100.00	99.27	.73
200.00	100.00	99.60	.40

Correlation Coefficient = .950

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:40 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 66.1 B = 63.9 C = 104.35 T0 = 12.28 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Upper Shelf Energy=130.0(Fixed) Lower Shelf Energy=2.2(Fixed)

Temp@30 ft-lbs=-54.5 Deg F Temp@50 ft-lbs=-14.5 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
-150.00	5.00	7.65	-2.65
-90.00	23.00	17.97	5.03
-70.00	32.00	24.08	7.92
-60.00	7.00	27.78	-20.78
-50.00	39.00	31.93	7.07
-20.00	51.00	46.94	4.06
.00	42.00	58.61	-16.61
.00	72.00	58.61	13.39
30.00	113.00	76.85	36.15

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input CVN	Computed CVN	Differential
50.00	37.00	88.24	- 51.24
70.00	99.00	98.23	.77
72.00	114.00	99.14	14.86
130.00	106.00	117.88	- 11.88
200.00	154.00	126.59	27.41
250.00	159.00	128.67	30.33

Correlation Coefficient = .904

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:40 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1

A = 37.17 B = 36.17 C = 88.97 T0 = 6.81 D = 0.00E+00


Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T-T_0)/(C+DT))]$

Upper Shelf L.E.=73.3 Lower Shelf L.E.=1.0(Fixed)

Temp.@L.E. 35 mils=1.5 Deg F

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm^2



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
-150.00	2.00	3.07	-1.07
-90.00	11.00	8.37	2.63
-70.00	19.00	11.92	7.08
-60.00	3.00	14.18	-11.18
-50.00	19.00	16.77	2.23
-20.00	25.00	26.59	-1.59
.00	22.00	34.41	-12.41
.00	42.00	34.41	7.59
30.00	73.00	46.39	26.61

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input L.E.	Computed L.E.	Differential
50.00	24.00	53.47	- 29.47
70.00	52.00	59.27	- 7.27
72.00	77.00	59.77	17.23
130.00	66.00	69.08	- 3.08
200.00	79.00	72.42	6.58
250.00	69.00	73.04	- 4.04

Correlation Coefficient = .884

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

CVGRAPH 5.3 Hyperbolic Tangent Curve Printed on 07/09/2012 12:41 PM

Page 1

Coefficients of Curve 1


A = 50. B = 50. C = 76.34 T0 = 9.44 D = 0.00E+00

Equation is $A + B * [\text{Tanh}((T - T_0)/(C + DT))]$

Temperature at 50% Shear = 9.5

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1

Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²



Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
-150.00	.00	1.51	-1.51
-90.00	10.00	6.88	3.12
-70.00	15.00	11.09	3.91
-60.00	15.00	13.95	1.05
-50.00	20.00	17.40	2.60
-20.00	25.00	31.62	-6.62
.00	35.00	43.85	-8.85
.00	50.00	43.85	6.15
30.00	80.00	63.15	16.85

Capsule W (Heat Affected Zone)

Page 2

Plant: South Texas 2 Material: SA533B1 Heat: NR 62 067-1
Orientation: NA Capsule: W Fluence: n/cm²

Charpy V-Notch Data

Temperature	Input Percent Shear	Computed Percent Shear	Differential
50.00	45.00	74.32	-29.32
70.00	95.00	83.01	11.99
72.00	90.00	83.74	6.26
130.00	100.00	95.92	4.08
200.00	100.00	99.33	.67
250.00	100.00	99.82	.18

Correlation Coefficient = .962

APPENDIX D

SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 2 SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM

CREDIBILITY EVALUATION

D.1 INTRODUCTION

Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 [Ref. D-1] describes general procedures acceptable to the NRC staff for calculating the effects of neutron radiation embrittlement of the low-alloy steels currently used for light-water-cooled reactor vessels. Positions 2.1 and 2.2 of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, describe the method for calculating the adjusted reference temperature and Charpy upper-shelf energy of reactor vessel beltline materials using surveillance capsule data. The methods of Positions 2.1 and 2.2 can only be applied when two or more credible surveillance data sets become available from the reactor in question.

To date there have been four surveillance capsules removed and tested from the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel. To use these surveillance data sets, they must be shown to be credible. In accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, the credibility of the surveillance data will be judged based on five criteria.

The purpose of this evaluation is to apply the credibility requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, to the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel surveillance data and determine if that surveillance data is credible.

D.2 EVALUATION

Criterion 1: Materials in the capsules should be those judged most likely to be controlling with regard to radiation embrittlement.

The beltline region of the reactor vessel is defined in Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements" [Ref. D-2], as follows:

"the region of the reactor vessel (shell material including welds, heat affected zones, and plates or forgings) that directly surrounds the effective height of the active core and adjacent regions of the reactor vessel that are predicted to experience sufficient neutron radiation damage to be considered in the selection of the most limiting material with regard to radiation damage."

The South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel consists of the following beltline region materials:

Intermediate Shell Plates R2507-1, R2507-2, and R2507-3 (Heat # NR 62 067-1, NR 62 230-1, and NR 62 248-1)

Lower Shell Plates R3022-1, R3022-2, and R3022-3 (Heat # NR 64 647-1, NR 64 627-1, and NR 64 445-1)

Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-124A, B, C (Heat # 90209, Linde 0091 flux type, lot # 1054)

Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-142A, B, C & Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld Seam 101-171 (Heat # 90209, Linde 124 flux type, lot # 1061)

The South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program utilizes longitudinal and transverse test specimens from Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1. The surveillance weld metal was fabricated with weld wire Heat # 90209, Linde 124 flux, lot # 1061.

At the time when the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program material was selected, initial RT_{NDT} and initial USE were considered important parameters in selection of surveillance materials. The Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 had the highest initial RT_{NDT} and the lowest USE values of all plate materials in the beltline region. In addition, the Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 had approximately the same copper and phosphorus content as the other beltline plate materials. Therefore, based on the highest initial RT_{NDT} and the lowest USE values, the Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 was chosen for the surveillance program.

The weld material in the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program was made of the same wire as all the reactor vessel beltline welds, thus it was chosen as the surveillance weld material.

Based on the above discussion and the methodology in use at the time the program was developed, the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance material meets the intent of Criterion 1.

Criterion 2: Scatter in the plots of Charpy energy versus temperature for the irradiated and unirradiated conditions should be small enough to permit the determination of the 30 ft-lb temperature and upper-shelf energy unambiguously.

Based on engineering judgment, the scatter in the data presented in these plots is small enough to permit the determination of the 30 ft-lb temperature and the USE of the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance materials unambiguously. Hence, the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program meets this criterion.

Criterion 3: When there are two or more sets of surveillance data from one reactor, the scatter of ΔRT_{NDT} values about a best-fit line drawn as described in Regulatory Position 2.1 should normally be less than 28°F for welds and 17°F for base metal. Even if the fluence range is large (two or more orders of magnitude), the scatter should not exceed twice those values. Even if the data fail this criterion for use in shift calculations, they may be credible for determining decrease in USE if the upper shelf can be clearly determined, following the definition given in ASTM E185-82 [Ref. D-3].

The functional form of the least-squares method as described in Regulatory Position 2.1 will be utilized to determine a best-fit line for this data and to determine if the scatter of these ΔRT_{NDT} values about this line is less than 28°F for welds and less than 17°F for the plate.

The South Texas Unit 2 Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 and surveillance weld material will be evaluated for credibility. The weld is made from weld wire Heat # 90209; South Texas Unit 2 does not have a sister plant that shares the same weld wire heat and thus does not utilize data from other surveillance programs. Therefore, the method of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 will be followed for determining credibility of the weld as well as the plate material.

Credibility Assessment:

Since all surveillance data is from one vessel (South Texas Unit 2), the measured ΔRT_{NDT} and fluence factor (FF) should be used to calculate the chemistry factors to determine if the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance material test results are credible.

The chemistry factors for the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance plate and weld material contained in the surveillance program were calculated in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Position 2.1 and are presented in Table D-1. The scatter of ΔRT_{NDT} values about the functional form of a best-fit line drawn as described in Regulatory Position 2.1 is presented in Table D-2.

Table D-1 Calculation of Interim Chemistry Factors for the Credibility Evaluation using South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Capsule Data

Material	Capsule	Capsule Fluence ($\times 10^{19}$ n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV)	FF	ΔRT_{NDT} (°F)	FF * ΔRT_{NDT} (°F)	FF ²
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)	V	0.2448	0.619	16.5	10.21	0.383
	Y	1.248	1.062	34.3	36.42	1.127
	U	2.493	1.245	28.9	35.99	1.551
	W	4.201	1.367	35.3	48.25	1.868
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)	V	0.2448	0.619	12.2	7.55	0.383
	Y	1.248	1.062	36.0	38.22	1.127
	U	2.493	1.245	40.5	50.44	1.551
	W	4.201	1.367	74.9	102.37	1.868
SUM:					329.45	9.859
$CF_{R2507-1} = \sum(FF * \Delta RT_{NDT}) \div \sum(FF^2) = (329.45) \div (9.859) = 33.4^{\circ}F$						
Surveillance Weld Material (Heat # 90209)	V	0.2448	0.619	-8.3	-5.14	0.383
	Y	1.248	1.062	3.6	3.82	1.127
	U	2.493	1.245	20.4	25.41	1.551
	W	4.201	1.367	26.4	36.08	1.868
SUM:					60.18	4.929
$CF_{Surv. Weld} = \sum(FF * \Delta RT_{NDT}) \div \sum(FF^2) = (60.18) \div (4.929) = 12.2^{\circ}F$						

Table D-2 South Texas Unit 2 Surveillance Capsule Data Scatter about the Best-Fit Line

Material	Capsule	CF (Slope _{best fit}) (°F)	Capsule Fluence ($\times 10^{19}$ n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV)	FF	Measured ΔT_{NDT} (°F)	Predicted ΔT_{NDT} (°F)	Scatter ΔT_{NDT} (°F)	<17°F (Base Metal) <28°F (Weld)
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Longitudinal)	V	33.4	0.2448	0.619	16.5	20.7	4.2	Yes
	Y	33.4	1.248	1.062	34.3	35.5	1.2	Yes
	U	33.4	2.493	1.245	28.9	41.6	12.7	Yes
	W	33.4	4.201	1.367	35.3	45.6	10.3	Yes
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1 (Transverse)	V	33.4	0.2448	0.619	12.2	20.7	8.5	Yes
	Y	33.4	1.248	1.062	36.0	35.5	0.5	Yes
	U	33.4	2.493	1.245	40.5	41.6	1.1	Yes
	W	33.4	4.201	1.367	74.9	45.6	29.3	No
Surveillance Weld Material (Heat # 90209)	V	12.2	0.2448	0.619	-8.3	7.5	15.8	Yes
	Y	12.2	1.248	1.062	3.6	13.0	9.4	Yes
	U	12.2	2.493	1.245	20.4	15.2	5.2	Yes
	W	12.2	4.201	1.367	26.4	16.7	9.7	Yes

From a statistical point of view, $\pm 1\sigma$ would be expected to encompass 68% of the data. Table D-2 indicates that seven of the eight surveillance data points fall inside the $\pm 1\sigma$ of 17°F scatter band for surveillance base metals; therefore, the plate data is deemed “credible” per the third criterion.

Table D-2 indicates that all four surveillance data points fall inside the $\pm 1\sigma$ of 28°F scatter band for surveillance weld materials; therefore, the surveillance weld data is deemed “credible” per the third criterion.

Criterion 4: The irradiation temperature of the Charpy specimens in the capsule should match the vessel wall temperature at the cladding/base metal interface within +/- 25°F.

The capsule specimens are located in the reactor between the core barrel and the vessel wall and are positioned opposite the center of the core. The test capsules are in baskets attached to the neutron pads. The location of the specimens with respect to the reactor vessel beltline provides assurance that the reactor vessel wall and the specimens experience equivalent operating conditions such that the temperatures will not differ by more than 25°F. Hence, this criterion is met.

Criterion 5: The surveillance data for the correlation monitor material in the capsule should fall within the scatter band of the database for that material.

The South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program does not contain correlation monitor material. Therefore, this criterion is not applicable to the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance program.

D.3 CONCLUSION

Based on the preceding responses to all five criteria of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Section B, the South Texas Unit 2 surveillance plate and weld data are deemed credible.

D.4 REFERENCES

- D-1 Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, *Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1998.
- D-2 10 CFR 50, Appendix G, *Fracture Toughness Requirements*, Federal Register, Volume 60, No. 243, December 19, 1995.
- D-3 ASTM E185-82, *Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels, E706(IF)*, ASTM, 1982.

APPENDIX E

SOUTH TEXAS UNIT 2 UPPER-SHELF ENERGY EVALUATION

Per Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 [Ref. E-1], the Charpy upper-shelf energy (USE) is assumed to decrease as a function of fluence and copper content as indicated in Figure 2 of the Guide (Figure E-1 of this appendix) when surveillance data is not used. Linear interpolation is permitted. In addition, if surveillance data is to be used, the decrease in upper-shelf energy may be obtained by plotting the reduced plant surveillance data on Figure 2 of the Guide (Figure E-1 of this appendix) and fitting the data with a line drawn parallel to the existing lines as the upper bound of all the data. This line should be used in preference to the existing graph.

The 34 EFPY (end-of-license) and 54 EFPY (end-of-license renewal) upper-shelf energy of the vessel materials can be predicted using the corresponding 1/4T fluence projection, the copper content of the beltline materials and/or the results of the capsules tested to date using Figure 2 in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2. The maximum vessel clad/base metal interface fluence value was used to determine the corresponding 1/4T fluence value at 34 and 54 EFPY.

The South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel beltline region minimum thickness is 8.63 inches. Calculation of the 1/4T vessel surface fluence values at 34 and 54 EFPY for the beltline materials is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Maximum Vessel Fluence @ 34 EFPY} &= 2.50 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E > 1.0 MeV)} \\ 1/4\text{T Fluence @ 34 EFPY} &= (2.50 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2) * e^{(-0.24 * (8.63 / 4))} \\ &= 1.49 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E > 1.0 MeV)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Maximum Vessel Fluence @ 54 EFPY} &= 3.91 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E > 1.0 MeV)} \\ 1/4\text{T Fluence @ 54 EFPY} &= (3.91 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2) * e^{(-0.24 * (8.63 / 4))} \\ &= 2.33 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E > 1.0 MeV)}\end{aligned}$$

The following pages present the South Texas Unit 2 upper-shelf energy evaluation. Figure E-1, as indicated above, is used in making predictions in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2. Table E-1 provides the predicted upper-shelf energy values for 34 EFPY (end-of-license). Table E-2 provides the predicted upper-shelf energy values for 54 EFPY (end-of-license renewal).




Figure E-1

Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 Predicted Decrease in Upper Shelf Energy as a Function of Copper and Fluence

Table E-1 Predicted Positions 1.2 and 2.2 Upper-Shelf Energy Values at 34 EFY

Material	Weight % of Cu ^(a)	1/4T EOL Fluence ($\times 10^{19}$ n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV)	Unirradiated USE (ft-lb)	Projected USE Decrease (%)	Projected EOL USE (ft-lb)
Position 1.2					
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	0.04	1.49	109	21	86
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-2	0.05	1.49	129	21	102
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-3	0.05	1.49	122	21	96
Lower Shell Plate R3022-1	0.03	1.49	124	21	98
Lower Shell Plate R3022-2	0.04	1.49	118	21	93
Lower Shell Plate R3022-3	0.04	1.49	123	21	97
Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-124A, B, C	0.044	1.49 ^(b)	146	21	115
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-142A, B, C	0.044	1.49 ^(b)	101	21	80
Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld Seam 101-171	0.044	1.49	101	21	80
Position 2.2^(c)					
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	0.04	1.49	109	4.8	104
Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-124A, B, C	0.044	1.49 ^(b)	146	6.2	137
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-142A, B, C	0.044	1.49 ^(b)	101	6.2	95
Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld Seam 101-171	0.044	1.49	101	6.2	95
Notes:					
(a) For the plates, a conservative copper percent value of 0.10 was used rather than extrapolation to lesser values. For the weld seams, a conservative copper percent value of 0.05 was used rather than extrapolation to 0.044.					
(b) The fluence values listed for the intermediate and lower shell longitudinal welds conservatively pertain to the maximum vessel fluence value, though the welds vary in location.					
(c) Calculated using surveillance capsule measured percent decrease in USE from Table 5-10 and Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Position 2.2; see Figure E-1.					

Table E-2 Predicted Positions 1.2 and 2.2 Upper-Shelf Energy Values at 54 EFPY

Material	Weight % of Cu ^(a)	1/41 EOLR Fluence ($\times 10^{19}$ n/cm ² , E > 1.0 MeV)	Unirradiated USE (ft-lb)	Projected USE Decrease (%)	Projected EOLR USE (ft-lb)
Position 1.2					
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	0.04	2.33	109	24	83
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-2	0.05	2.33	129	24	98
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-3	0.05	2.33	122	24	93
Lower Shell Plate R3022-1	0.03	2.33	124	24	94
Lower Shell Plate R3022-2	0.04	2.33	118	24	90
Lower Shell Plate R3022-3	0.04	2.33	123	24	93
Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-124A, B, C	0.044	2.33 ^(b)	146	24	111
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-142A, B, C	0.044	2.33 ^(b)	101	24	77
Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld Seam 101-171	0.044	2.33	101	24	77
Position 2.2^(c)					
Intermediate Shell Plate R2507-1	0.04	2.33	109	5.4	103
Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-124A, B, C	0.044	2.33 ^(b)	146	7.0	136
Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld Seams 101-142A, B, C	0.044	2.33 ^(b)	101	7.0	94
Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld Seam 101-171	0.044	2.33	101	7.0	94
Notes: (a) For the plates, a conservative copper percent value of 0.10 was used rather than extrapolation to lesser values. For the weld seams, a conservative copper percent value of 0.05 was used rather than extrapolation to 0.044. (b) The fluence values listed for the intermediate and lower shell longitudinal welds conservatively pertain to the maximum vessel fluence value, though the welds vary in location. (c) Calculated using surveillance capsule measured percent decrease in USE from Table 5-10 and Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, Position 2.2; see Figure E-1.					

USE Conclusion

All of the beltline materials in the South Texas Unit 2 reactor vessel are projected to remain above the USE screening criterion value of 50 ft-lb (per 10 CFR 50, Appendix G) at 34 and 54 EFPY.

E.1 REFERENCES

- E-1 Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, *Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1998.