

From: [Carrico, J Bruce](#)
To: [Jankovich, John](#)
Cc: [Kotzalas, Margie](#); [Wagner, Katie](#); [Sepulveda, Lymari](#)
Subject: RE: SAIC case: no SSD registration is needed?
Date: Wednesday, January 23, 2013 7:58:22 AM

John, the applicant asked that the device be licensed as a radiation detection instrument containing an internal calibration source under 30.15/32.14 – we don't do SSD for products that fall under those regulations. However, it turns out the device is not a radiation detection instrument nor is the source to be used for internal calibration, but instead is a backscatter device and therefore does not qualify under 30.15/32.14. I have explained this to the applicant and indicated it may qualify under new 30.22/32.30, and that he would need to withdraw and resubmit. If he does resubmit, an SSD will be needed.

From: Jankovich, John
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2013 12:24 PM
To: Carrico, J Bruce
Cc: Kotzalas, Margie; Wagner, Katie; Sepulveda, Lymari
Subject: FW: SAIC case: no SSD registration is needed?

Bruce,
Have I missed your response to my question? I have been out for some days.
If you have replied, please re-send it to me.
Thanks.
John

From: Jankovich, John
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 1:32 PM
To: Carrico, J Bruce
Cc: Kotzalas, Margie; Wagner, Katie; Sepulveda, Lymari
Subject: SAIC case: no SSD registration is needed?

Bruce,
You are the e-license reviewer for this case.

When the application arrived, we opened an SSD case for it.
However, as I looked at it, it appears that there is no need for an SSD registration for this device because the quantity in it does not exceed the limit set forth in Schedule B, 10 CFR 30.71.

I drafted a Note to File (attached), please review it and I will appreciate your comments.
John