

3.4 Qualification

Order Requirement:

The level instrument channels shall be reliable at temperature, humidity and radiation levels consistent with the spent fuel pool water at saturation conditions for an extended period. This reliability shall be established through the use of an augmented quality assurance process (e.g. a process similar to that applied to the site fire protection program).

Guidance:

The instrument channel reliability shall be demonstrated via an appropriate combination of design, analyses, operating experience, and/or testing of channel components for the following sets of parameters, as described in the paragraphs below:

- conditions in the area of instrument channel component use for all instrument components,
- effects of shock and vibration ~~in the area of on~~ instrument channel component use during ~~and following a potential seismic~~ any applicable event for only installed components, and
- seismic effects ~~in the area of on~~ instrument channel components ~~used during and following~~ a potential seismic event for only installed components.

These qualifications do not apply to any plant systems, components or structures that are not part of the SPF instrumentation channel, even if such plant systems, components, or structures are connected, attached or otherwise relied upon for the ~~SPF-SFP~~ instrumentation channel to remain functional ~~provided arrangements have been made to restore such functionality within 30 minutes using a maximum of two trained personnel.~~

Selection of instrument channel components shall consider ease and simplicity of design and replacement after the event. Readily available commercial components ~~shall~~ should be considered. See section 4.2, Procedures, for guidance on replacement instrument channel component replacement at the time of an event or thereafter until the unit is returned to normal service.

The temperature, humidity and radiation levels consistent with conditions in the area of use considering normal operational, event and post-event conditions for no fewer than seven days post-event or until off-site resources can be deployed by the mitigating strategies resulting from Order EA-12-049 should be considered. Examples of post-event (beyond-design-basis) conditions to be considered are:

- radiological conditions for a normal refueling quantity of freshly discharged (100 hours) fuel with the SFP water Level 3 as described in this order,
- temperatures of 212 degrees F and 100% relative humidity environment,
- boiling water and/or steam environment,
- a concentrated borated water environment, and
- the impact of FLEX mitigating strategies.

Comment [GS1]: Exceptions.

Comment [GS2]: Exception.

Comment [GS3]: Clarification.

For the effects of shock and vibration in the area of instrument channel component use after ~~an potential seismic event for only installed~~any applicable components (with the exception of replaceable batteries and battery chargers), the following measures are acceptable to verify that the design and installation is adequate, except for the mounting of components which is discussed in section 3.3.

Comment [GS4]: Clarification.

Comment [GS5]: Consider rewording the entire paragraph for clarity.

All components of the instrument channels are rated by the manufacturer (or otherwise tested) for shock and vibration at levels commensurate with those of postulated design basis event conditions in the area of instrument channel component use using one, or more, of the following methods:

- instrument channel components that use known operating principles, ~~parts~~ supplied by manufacturers with commercial quality programs, such as ISO9001, ~~provided shock and vibration requirements are included in the purchase specification and/or instrument design,~~ and commercial design and testing for operation in environments where significant shock and vibration loadings are common, such as for portable hand-held devices or transportation ~~applications~~;
- substantial history of operational reliability in environments with significant shock and vibration loading, such as transportation applications; or
- use of components inherently resistant to shock and vibration loadings, such as cables.

Comment [GS6]: Exception

Comment [GS7]: Consider rewording for clarity of flow.

For the effects of seismic ~~loading in the area of on~~ instrument channel components used after a potential seismic event for only installed components (with the exception of replaceable batteries and battery chargers), the following measures are acceptable to verify that the design and installation is adequate, except for the mounting of components which is discussed in section 3.3.

Comment [GS8]: Exception.

All components of the instrument channels are rated by the manufacturer (or otherwise tested) for seismic loading at levels commensurate with those of postulated design basis event conditions in the area of instrument channel component use using one, or more, of the following methods:

- instrument channel components that use known operating principles, parts supplied by manufacturers with commercial quality programs, such as ISO9001, ~~provided seismic requirements are included in the procurement specifications and/or instrument design,~~ and commercial design and testing for operation in environments where significant seismic loading is common;

Comment [GS9]: Exception.

- ~~substantial history of operational reliability in environments with significant vibration, such as for portable hand-held devices or transportation applications;~~

Comment [GS10]: Not applicable.

Comment [GS11]: Exception

- demonstration of successful seismic reliability using methods that predict the equipment's performance by analysis, testing of the equipment under simulated seismic conditions, ~~qualification of equipment by~~ a combination of test and analysis, or the use of experience data. Guidance for this method is ~~derived based on related discussion contained~~ in Regulatory Guide 1.100, Revision 3, September 2009, "Seismic Qualification of Electrical and Active Mechanical Equipment and Function Qualification of Active Mechanical Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants" (Reference

Comment [GS12]: Exception.

Comment [GS13]: Exception.

8) and sections 7, 8, 9, and 10 of IEEE Standard 344-2004, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Seismic Qualification of Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations" (Reference 9)^{*}. However, the other requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.100 do not directly apply with seismic reliability requirements addressed directly herein. For example, quality assurance is covered directly in Appendix A-1 of this guidance document;

- demonstration that proposed devices are substantially similar in design to models that have been previously tested to seismic loading levels in excess of the plant design basis at the location where the instrument is to be installed, (g-levels and frequency ranges). Such testing should be substantially similar to the process described in Regulatory Guide 1.100, Revision 3; or
- seismic qualification considering using seismic motion consistent with that of existing design basis loading at the installation location.

The basis for the seismic qualification for instrument channel components shall be the unit seismic design basis at the time of submittal of the Integrated Plan for implementing NRC Order EA-12-051 (See Appendix A-2-2). Should the unit seismic design basis change, changes to the instrument channel component qualifications will be processed in accordance with the procedures for such changes at the unit.

The instrument channel components do not have to be qualified for missile impact. Meeting the arrangement requirements in Section 3.2 will satisfy the missile protection requirements of Order EA-12-051.

The quality assurance process to be applied is provided in Appendix A-1.

Comment [GS14]: For the superscript * add the following note:
Sections 1 through 6 of IEEE standard 344- 2004 provide background, definition, and other related reference information pertaining to the use of Sections 7 through 10, however, Section 11 is not applicable because Appendix A-1 of this document addresses documentation requirements.

Comment [GS15]: Exception.

Comment [GS16]: Clarification.

4.2 Procedures

Order Requirement:

Procedures shall be established and maintained for the testing, calibration, and use of the primary and backup spent fuel pool instrument channels.

Guidance:

Procedures will be developed using guidelines and vendor instructions to address the maintenance, operation, and abnormal response issues associated with the new SFP instrumentation. For portable instruments, the procedures will also specify storage location and installation activities.

If, at the time of an event or thereafter until the unit is returned to normal service, an instrument channel component must be replaced, it is acceptable to use commercially available components that may or may not meet all, or any, of the qualifications (Section 3.4) to maintain the instrument channel functionality.

All licensees shall have a strategy to ensure SFP water level addition is initiated at an appropriate time consistent with the implementation of NEI 12-06.

Comment [GS17]: Clarification for readability.