

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Aug 21, 2012 16:15

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-12-0457

LOGGING DATE: 08/20/2012

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO/SECY

To: Leeds, NRR

AUTHOR:

Tom Gurdziel

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE:

Chairman Resource

SUBJECT:

Provides Fukushima-related comments for 8-17-12

ccs: EDO
DEDMRT
DEDR
DEDCM
AO

Merzke, OEDO

ACTION:

Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION:

LETTER DATE:

08/17/2012

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

EDO/SECY

NOTES:

Copy to Rochelle Baval, SECY - reference title of meeting, audio.

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

Template: SECY-017

ERIDS: SECY-01

Joosten, Sandy

From: Tom Gurdziel [tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, August 17, 2012 10:41 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource
Cc: hillsc@INPO.org; bridget.frymire@dps.ny.gov; P.Kaiser@iaea.org; jicc@ws.mofa.go.jp; Uldis.Vanags@state.vt.us; thenry@theblade.com; newal@goinfo.com; Screnci, Diane; 'JLD_Public'
Subject: Fukushima-related Comments for 8-17-2012

Good morning,

Meeting Comments

I took 3 hours and 14 minutes and watched the (8-7-2012) NRC-recorded "Briefing on the Status of Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Daiichi Accident." Here are some comments:

It begins with an (unrelated) Calvert Cliffs affirmation session.

It does not provide a title for the Fukushima-related meeting.

I initially had trouble hearing the audio: it being much too faint.

I was favorably impressed with the selection of members for the first, (non-NRC), panel.

Somewhere in the meeting, one commissioner stated that an overall integrated plan would have been more efficient. He is probably right. However, I do not believe we could have accepted the time (delay) necessary for this to have been done.

Another commissioner asked both panels to identify those unknown obstacles that might occur. I was puzzled by this predict-the-future question. We are doing FLEX because we, in Japan, were unable to predict the future.

When talking of unloading BWR elevated spent fuel pools, I would prefer that the terms "5 year unloading" or "delayed unloading" be used instead of the words I heard in the meeting.

Finally, the most valuable part of the meeting to me is the identification that we can't put a limit on time, (72 hours), that the station batteries are operable in an accident. I think this was covered by the 3rd NRC panelist from the left in response to a question by the chairman.

Thank you,

Tom Gurdziel