

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman
Dr. Michael F. Kennedy
Dr. Richard E. Wardwell

In the Matter of

ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)

Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR

ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

August 2, 2012

ORDER

(Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C)

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Applicant) has moved to file surrebuttal testimony concerning New York State Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (NYS-12C).¹ In support of this motion, Applicant argues that New York State (New York) presented, in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony on NYS-12C, "new arguments and evidence that expand the scope of the arguments set forth in [its] direct testimony[.]" to which Applicant "has not had a fair opportunity to respond."²

Specifically, Applicant contends that New York argues for the first time in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony on NYS-12-C that "in the 1980s, the NRC commissioned a 'site-specific case study' to estimate the costs associated with a severe accident at Indian Point, and that the NRC Staff failed to disclose that study in connection with this proceeding."³ Applicant further asserts

¹ See Applicants' Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (July 12, 2012) at 1.

² Id.

³ Id. at 2.

that, according to New York, “the NRC’s ‘site-specific analysis’ is described in Chapter 5 of draft NUREG/CR-5148 (PNL-6350), ‘Property-Related Costs of Radiological Accidents’ (Feb. 1990),” and that this draft NUREG -- though never made final or published -- was released to Purdue University in 1992 in response to a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request.⁴

Applicant states that “[a]lthough this FOIA request appears in the NRC’s ADAMS Public Legacy Library, [Applicant] could find no other record copy of this [draft NUREG] on the NRC’s website or on the Internet in general.”⁵ In fact, according to the Applicant, this draft NUREG does not even appear on the U.S. Department of Commerce’s National Technical Information Service database, which houses over two million government records, including NUREG Reports.⁶

Nevertheless, according to Applicant, New York located this draft NUREG and disclosed it on May 31, 2012, one month before New York filed its rebuttal testimony.⁷ New York then relied on this document for the first time in its revised statement of position and rebuttal testimony, according to Applicant, to argue that “‘a site-specific analysis was not only required under NEPA and NRC’s regulations, but eminently possible and had been completed in conjunction with NUREG/CR-5148.’”⁸

Applicant argues that New York’s new assertions are “directly relevant and material to the parties’ positions[,]” and therefore Applicant should be granted the opportunity to file surrebuttal testimony, on or before August 13, 2012, to respond.⁹ Applicant represents that the NRC Staff (Staff) does not oppose this motion.¹⁰ Moreover, according to Applicant, the Staff would like the option to file

⁴ Id. at 2–3.

⁵ Id. at 3.

⁶ See id.

⁷ See id.

⁸ Id. at 4.

⁹ Id. at 4–5.

¹⁰ Id. at 7.

its own surrebuttal testimony in response to New York's revised Statement of Position and rebuttal testimony regarding NYS-12C.¹¹

New York opposes this motion.¹² First, New York argues that it properly submitted the exhibits and rebuttal testimony in question in "direct response to arguments in [Applicant's] and the . . . Staff's Statements of Position and Pre-filed Testimony on NYS-12C."¹³ Specifically, New York argues that it did not "present[] 'new arguments and evidence that expand the scope of the arguments set forth in [its] direct testimony[.]'"¹⁴ Instead, New York contends that its "[revised Statement of Position] and Rebuttal Testimony[, which highlight draft NUREG/CR-5148,] responds directly to Entergy and [the] Staff's arguments that using NUREG-1150, and thus Sample Problem A, is reasonable under NEPA."¹⁵

New York also notes that an alternative to Applicant's requested relief exists: the issues raised in the instant motion "could be addressed by the parties' witnesses at the evidentiary hearing."¹⁶ Based on these arguments, New York requests that Applicant's motion be denied.

If, however, the Board does grant the instant motion, New York argues that "fairness dictates that [it] should be afforded [an opportunity] to file its own sur-rebuttal testimony to any additional testimony proffered by [Applicant] and [the] Staff."¹⁷ New York notes, however, that allowing the submittal of two additional rounds of testimony on NYS-12C may require the Board to extend pre-hearing deadlines, such as the August 29, 2012, deadline for proposed questions.¹⁸

¹¹ Id.

¹² See State of New York's Answer in Opposition to Entergy's Motion for Leave to File Sur-rebuttal Testimony on Consolidated Contention NYS-12C (July 23, 2012) at 7.

¹³ Id. at 2.

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁵ Id. at 2–3.

¹⁶ Id. at 1.

¹⁷ Id. at 6.

¹⁸ See id.

The Board agrees with New York that the issues raised in this motion can be handled at the evidentiary hearing. Moreover, the Board finds that the submission of two additional rounds of testimony on NYS-12C will have the prejudicial effect of delaying the evidentiary hearing scheduled to commence on October 15, 2012, at least as it relates to NYS-12C.

Therefore, we DENY Applicant's motion for leave to file surrebuttal testimony on NYS-12C. That being so, we encourage the parties to address the issues raised in New York's rebuttal testimony in their proposed questions for the Board to ask at the evidentiary hearing, which are due no later than Wednesday, August 29, 2012.¹⁹

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY
AND LICENSING BOARD

/RA/

Lawrence G. McDade, Chairman
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland
August 2, 2012

¹⁹ See Licensing Board Order (Memorializing Items Discussed During the July 9, 2012, Status Conference) (July 12, 2012) at 2 (unpublished).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	
)	
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.)	Docket Nos. 50-247-LR
)	and 50-286-LR
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating,)	
Units 2 and 3))	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **ORDER** (Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C) have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
Mail Stop O-7H4M
Washington, DC 20555-0001
ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
Hearing Docket
hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop T-3F23
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Edward L. Williamson, Esq.
Beth N. Mizuno, Esq.
David E. Roth, Esq.
Brian Harris, Esq.
Mary B. Spencer, Esq.
Anita Ghosh, Esq.
Karl Farrar, Esq.

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Administrative Judge
lawrence.mcdade@nrc.gov

Brian Newell, Paralegal
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15D21
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Richard E. Wardwell
Administrative Judge
richard.wardwell@nrc.gov

sherwin.turk@nrc.gov; edward.williamson@nrc.gov
beth.mizuno@nrc.gov; brian.harris@nrc.gov
david.roth@nrc.gov; mary.spencer@nrc.gov
anita.ghosh@nrc.gov; karl.farrar@nrc.gov
brian.newell@nrc.gov

Michael F. Kennedy
Administrative Judge
michael.kennedy@nrc.gov

Anne Siarnacki, Law Clerk
anne.siarnacki@nrc.gov
Shelbie Lewman, Law Clerk
shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov
James Maltese, Law Clerk
james.maltese@nrc.gov

OGC Mail Center
OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

ORDER (Denying Applicant's Motion for Leave to File Surrebuttal Testimony on NYS-12C)

William C. Dennis, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601
wdennis@entergy.com

Elise N. Zoli, Esq.
Goodwin Procter, LLP
Exchange Place
53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109
ezoli@goodwinprocter.com

Kathryn M. Sutton, Esq.
Paul M. Bessette, Esq.
Martin J. O'Neill, Esq.
Raphael Kuyler, Esq.
Jonathan M. Rund, Esq.
Lena Michelle Long, Esq.
Laura Swett, Esq.
Lance Escher, Esq.
Mary Freeze, Legal Secretary
Antoinette Walker, Legal Secretary
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
ksutton@morganlewis.com
martin.oneill@morganlewis.com
rkuyler@morganlewis.com
jrund@morganlewis.com
llong@morganlewis.com; lszett@morganlewis.com
lescher@morganlewis.com
mfreeze@morganlewis.com
awalker@morganlewis.com

Clint Carpenter, Esq.
Bobby Burchfield, Esq.
Matthew Leland, Esq.
McDermott, Will and Emery LLP
600 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
ccarpenter@mwe.com; bburchfield@mwe.com
mleland@mwe.com

Matthew W. Swinehart, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Thomas F. Wood, Esq.
Daniel Riesel, Esq.
Victoria Shiah Treanor, Esq.
Adam Stolorow, Esq.
Jwala Gandhi, Paralegal
Peng Deng, Paralegal
Counsel for Town of Cortlandt
Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C.
460 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
driesel@sprlaw.com; vtreanor@sprlaw.com
astolorow@sprlaw.com; jgandhi@sprlaw.com
pdeng@sprlaw.com

Phillip Musegaas, Esq.
Deborah Brancato, Esq.
Ramona Cearley, Secretary
Riverkeeper, Inc.
20 Secor Road
Ossining, NY 10562
phillip@riverkeeper.org; dbrancato@riverkeeper.org
rcearley@riverkeeper.org

Melissa-Jean Rotini, Esq.
Assistant County Attorney
Office of Robert F. Meehan,
Westchester County Attorney
148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601
MJR1@westchestergov.com

Manna Jo Greene, Environmental Director
Steven C. Filler
Karla Raimundi
Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
724 Wolcott Ave.
Beacon, NY 12508
mannajo@clearwater.org; stephenfiller@gmail.com
karla@clearwater.org

[mswinehart@cov.com](mailto:mwinehart@cov.com)

John Louis Parker, Esq.
Office of General Counsel, Region 3
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
21 South Putt Corners Road
New Paltz, NY 12561-1620
jl Parker@gw.dec.state.ny.us

John J. Sipos, Esq.
Charles Donaldson, Esq.
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
Elyse Houle, Legal Support
The Capitol
State Street
Albany, New York 12224
John.Sipos@ag.ny.gov;
charlie.donaldson@ag.ny.gov
elyse.houle@ag.ny.gov

Janice A. Dean, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Kathryn Liberatore, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
janice.dean@ag.ny.gov;
kathryn.liberatore@ag.ny.gov

Michael J. Delaney, Esq.
Director, Energy Regulatory Affairs
NYC Department of Environmental Protection
59-17 Junction Boulevard
Flushing, NY 11373
mdelaney@dep.nyc.gov

Robert D. Snook, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
robert.snook@po.state.ct.us

Sean Murray, Mayor
Kevin Hay, Village Administrator
Village of Buchanan
Municipal Building
236 Tate Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511-1298
SMurray@villageofbuchanan.com
Administrator@villageofbuchanan.com

[Original signed by Christine M. Pierpoint]
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 2nd day of August 2012