

CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource

From: Arora, Surinder
Sent: Wednesday, August 01, 2012 3:15 PM
To: Infanger, Paul; UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com
Cc: CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource; Segala, John; Wilson, Anthony; Vrahoretis, Susan; Spicher, Terri; McNally, Richard; Miernicki, Michael; McLellan, Judith; Le, Tuan; Huang, Jason
Subject: CCNPP3 - Final RAI 366 EMB 6579
Attachments: FINAL RAI 366 EMB 6579.doc

Paul,

Attached is the "Final" version of RAI No. 366 (eRAI No. 6579) pertaining to Section 3.2.2 of the Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 FSAR. The draft version of this RAI was issued to you on July 19, 2012. A clarification phone call, requested by UniStar to discuss the draft RAI question, was held on August 1, 2012. Based on this call, an editorial change to correct the question number for the question in RAI 362 (in the second paragraph of the draft RAI question) was made. This email forwards the subject RAI as "final" for your response.

The schedule we have established for review of your application assumes technically correct and complete responses within 30 days of receipt of RAIs. For any RAIs that cannot be answered within 30 days, it is expected that a schedule date for submitting your technically correct and complete response will be provided to the staff within the 30 day period so that the staff can assess how this information will impact the review schedule.

Your response letter should also include a statement confirming that your response does or does not contain any sensitive or proprietary information.

Thanks.

SURINDER ARORA, PE
PROJECT MANAGER,
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: 301 415-1421
FAX: 301 415-6406
Email: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Col_RAI
Email Number: 244

Mail Envelope Properties (B46615B367D1144982B324704E3BCEEDC6EF46DD02)

Subject: CCNPP3 - Final RAI 366 EMB 6579
Sent Date: 8/1/2012 3:14:44 PM
Received Date: 8/1/2012 3:14:46 PM
From: Arora, Surinder

Created By: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource" <CCNPP3eRAIPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Segala, John" <John.Segala@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Wilson, Anthony" <Anthony.Wilson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Vrahoretis, Susan" <Susan.Vrahoretis@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Spicher, Terri" <Terri.Spicher@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"McNally, Richard" <Richard.McNally@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Miernicki, Michael" <Michael.Miernicki@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"McLellan, Judith" <Judith.McLellan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Le, Tuan" <Tuan.Le@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Huang, Jason" <Jason.Huang@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Infanger, Paul" <paul.infanger@unistarnuclear.com>
Tracking Status: None
"UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com" <UNECC3Project@unistarnuclear.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1346	8/1/2012 3:14:46 PM
FINAL RAI 366 EMB 6579.doc	34810	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information 366 (eRAI 6579)

Issue Date: 8/1/2012

Application Title: Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 - Docket Number 52-016

Operating Company: UniStar

Docket No. 52-016

Review Section: 03.02.02 - System Quality Group Classification

Application Section: 3.2.2

QUESTIONS

03.02.02-7

Follow-up to RAI 182, Question 03.02.02-5

The response to RAI 182 question 03.02.02-5 states that equipment lists, valve lists, and line lists containing quality group classification and other design information as well as Design Specifications and P&IDs associated with SSCs important to safety are currently scheduled to be available in the fourth quarter of 2012. In addition, in a related question, the response to RAI 124 Question 03.09.03-1, in addressing COL Information Item 3.9-2 provides dates for the expected availability of design specifications for audit and states that the design certification (DC) applicant will provide a sample of component design specifications to be audited.

Regarding this second question, staff determined that the COL applicant's response to RAI 124, Question 03.09.03-1 does not entirely resolve the staff's concern for auditable information and identifying which design documents are better addressed by the design certification applicant. In follow-up RAI 362, Question 3.9.3-2 the staff requested that the COLA address the issue of design specifications available for audit. If the design specifications available for audit are to be addressed by the DC applicant, the COL Information Item should either be revised or withdrawn. If this issue is not addressed by the DC applicant, the staff requested the COLA applicant to address the issue.

Accordingly, from the RAI responses for the questions described above, it is not clear which specific classification documents will be available to establish the basis (specific safety function) for equipment classifications and which SSCs will be included to support the COLA audit of design specifications. The COL applicant is requested to clarify which specific classification documents will be available to support the basis (specific safety function) for the classifications and clarify if the auditable documents for a COLA audit are limited to only site-specific equipment or if it is proposed to also include classification documents for the entire list of risk-significant SSCs in the design certification. Additionally, also provide a schedule for their availability because some of the dates previously provided in your previous response to RAI 124 have already lapsed.