

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station 5485 U. S. Highway 61N St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 225 381 4374 Fax 225 381 4872 eolson@entergy.com

Eric W. Olson Site Vice President

RBG-47266

July 23, 2012

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 2012-003-00 River Bend Station – Unit 1 Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47

RBF1-12-0099

Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, enclosed is the subject Licensee Event Report. This document contains no commitments. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Joseph Clark at 225-381-4177.

Sincerely,

Eic W. Olar-

EWO/dhw

Enclosure



Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 2012-003-00 July 23, 2012 RBG-47266 RBF1-12-0099 Page 2 of 2

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 1600 East Lamar Blvd. Arlington, TX 76011-4511

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO Records Center E-Mail (MS Word format)

Ms. Tracie Lowery Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3326

Department of Environmental Quality Office of Environmental Compliance Radiological Emergency Planning and Response Section JiYoung Wiley P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					SION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 10/31/2013									
(10-2010) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							Extimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA/Privacy Section (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocellects.resource@ncc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.								
1. FACILITY NAME River Bend Station – Unit 1							2. DOCKET NUMBER         3. PAGE           05000 - 458         1 OF 3				3				
4. TITLE Reactor Scram Following a Loss of Main Reactor Feedwater Pump Due to Electrical Fault															
	VENT DA				UMBER						8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED				
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		ENTIAL IBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY N n/a	ACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER			,	
05	24	2012		2012-0	03-00		07	23	2012	FACILITY N n/a	FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBER n/a 05000				
9. OPER	ATING M	ODE	11. THIS	S REPO	RT IS SU	JBMITT	ED PURSUA	NT TO	THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check all that apply)						
1 10. POWER LEVEL 32			20.2201(d)         20.2203(a)(1)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         20.2203(a)(2)(ii)         20.2203(a)(2)(iii)         20.2203(a)(2)(iii)         20.2203(a)(2)(iii)         20.2203(a)(2)(iii)				20.2203(a)(3)(i) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) 20.2203(a)(4) 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) 50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii)			□       50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)         □       50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)         □       50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)         □       50.73(a)(2)(iii)         ⊠       50.73(a)(2)(iii)         ⊠       50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)         □       50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)         □       50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)		50.7 50.7 50.7 50.7 50.7 73.7 73.7	<ul> <li>50.73(a)(2)(vii)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)</li> <li>50.73(a)(2)(x)</li> <li>73.71(a)(4)</li> <li>73.71(a)(5)</li> </ul>		
			20.2203(a)(2)(v)       50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)         20.2203(a)(2)(vi)       50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)					50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)       OTHER         50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)       Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A							
					<u>-</u>	12. LIC	ENSEE CON	TACT	OR THIS	LER					
FACILITY NAME Joseph A. Clark, Manager – Licensing								TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 225-381-4177							
		1	3. COMP	LETE O	NE LINE	FOR E	ACH COMPO	ONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	ED IN THIS RI	PORT			
CAUSE SYSTEM		COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER		RER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX		AUSE	SYSTEM COMPONE		IT MAN FACTU		REPORTABLE TO EPIX			
E		EA	8	6	GE		yes	2							
		14. S		ENTAL	REPORT	EXPEC	TED			15. EXF	PECTED	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED									SUBMISSION DATE		-				
ABSTRAC	T (Limit to	1400 spac	es, i.e., app	proximate	ly 15 singl	e-spaced	l typewritten lin	ies)							
On May 24, 2012, at 3:40 p.m. CDT, a manual reactor scram was initiated in response to the loss of the running reactor feedwater pump. The plant was operating at approximately 32% power. The reactor core isolation cooling system was manually started to provide high pressure makeup to the reactor. The high pressure core spray system was manually started during the recovery from the event, but was not aligned to the reactor vessel. An electrical transient caused by the failure of a lockout relay resulted in the main supply breaker to the "B" 13.8kv switchgear to trip. Reactor recirculation pump "B" tripped due to the loss of its power source; the "A" reactor recirculation pump continued to operate in slow speed. The electrical transient also caused a loss of power to all main condenser circulating water pumps and normal service water pumps, necessitating the manual closure of the main steam isolation valves. The standby service water system actuated as designed in response to low normal service water pressure. The operators manually operated selected SRVs for reactor pressure control and for reactor cooldown. Personnel in the turbine building reported the presence of smoke in the area of the feedwater pumps, but no actual fire was observed. There were no safety-related systems out of service at the time. This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an actuation of the reactor protection system and the standby service water system. This event was of low safety significance to the health and safety of the public.															

)

NRC FORM 366A (10-2010)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
(10-2010)	CONTINUATION SHEET	

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET		6. LER NUMBEF	3. PAGE		
	05000 450	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV. NO.		
River Bend Station – Unit 1	05000 -458	2012 003 00			2 OF 3	

## **REPORTED CONDITION**

On May 24, 2012, at 3:40 p.m. CDT, a manual reactor scram was initiated in response to the loss of the running reactor feedwater pump (\*\*P\*\*). The plant was operating at approximately 32% power at the time.

The reactor core isolation cooling system (BN) (RCIC) was manually started to provide high pressure makeup to the reactor. The high pressure core spray (BG) (HPCS) system was manually started during the recovery from the event, but was not aligned to the reactor vessel.

An electrical transient caused by the failure of a lockout relay (\*\*86\*\*) resulted in the main supply breaker (\*\*BKR\*\*) to the "B" 13.8kv switchgear (EA) to trip. Reactor recirculation (AD) pump "B" tripped due to the loss of its power source; the "A" reactor recirculation pump continued to operate in slow speed. The electrical transient also caused a loss of power to all main condenser circulating water pumps (NN) and normal service water pumps (KG), necessitating the manual closure of the main steam isolation valves. The standby service water system (BS) actuated as designed in response to low normal service water pressure.

No reactor safety-relief valves (SRVs) operated automatically as a result of the scram. The operators manually operated selected SRVs for reactor pressure control and for reactor cooldown. The residual heat removal system (BO) was manually started in the suppression pool cooling mode to support RCIC operation, as well as for control of suppression pool level.

Personnel in the turbine building reported the presence of smoke in the area of the feedwater pumps, but no actual fire was observed.

This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an actuation of the reactor protection system (JC) and the standby service water system. There were no safety-related systems out of service at the time of the event.

## INVESTIGATION and CAUSAL ANALYSIS

At the time of the event, the "C" reactor feedwater pump was in service. When the operator started the "B" feedwater pump, an electrical fault occurred at the pump motor. The lockout relay on the pump's feeder breaker failed to trip the breaker, and the main supply breaker to the "B" 13.8kV switchgear tripped to clear the fault. This caused the loss of power to the "C" pump, as well as switchgears supplying the circulating water system and the normal service water system.

The inspection of the terminal box on the "B" feedwater pump determined that fault occurred due to an inadequately crimped terminal lug on one of the three current transformers. The motor (\*\*MO\*\*) had been rewound by a vendor in 2008. When the motor was returned, new lugs were

## **U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** NRC FORM 366A LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (10-2010) **CONTINUATION SHEET** 2. DOCKET 3. PAGE 1. FACILITY NAME 6. LER NUMBER YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV. NUMBER NO. 3 OF 3 05000 - 458 River Bend Station – Unit 1 2012 -- 003 -- 00 supplied by the vendor to be installed onsite. The lugs were installed by a local vendor. The investigation found that the lugs were too large for the application. Additionally, the crimping tool used for the installation did not fully compress the lugs, leaving an inadequately bonded connection. The lockout relay installed on the breaker for the "B" feedwater pump is a General Electric HEA 61. The analysis of this event found that the lockout relay failed to operate as designed due to age-related mechanical binding and a possible coil failure. This condition resulted from an inadequate preventative maintenance program for the relays and a design issue with the trip plate. Prior to plant restart, similar lockout relays were functionally tested. Additional failed relays were discovered (none in safety-related service), and these were replaced. CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE The preventative maintenance program for lockout relays is being evaluated to develop appropriate changes. A training needs analysis is being conducted for those departments responsible for development and implementation of the preventative maintenance program. Thermographic imaging will be performed on other large motors potentially susceptible to this same type of lug failure. These actions are being tracked in the station's corrective action program. PREVIOUS OCCURRENCE EVALUATION No previous scrams have occurred with the same root cause as this event. There was a failure of the same type of lockout relay in February 2011. SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE No plant parameters requiring the automatic actuation of the emergency diesel generators or the emergency core cooling systems were exceeded. The RCIC system operated properly in response to the operators' manual control and provided high pressure makeup to the reactor. Control of reactor pressure was accomplished by manual actuation of selected SRVs. The plant was placed in cold shutdown. The standby service water system operated as designed. This event was of minimal safety significance to the health and safety of the public. (NOTE: Energy Industry Component Identification codes are annotated as (\*\*XX\*\*).)

NRC FORM 366A (10=2010)