

McIntyre, David

From: McIntyre, David
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 1:35 PM
To: Burnell, Scott; Poy, Stephen; Jackson, Deborah; White, Duncan
Subject: RE: CDPHE and NRC

I'm going to try to reach Duncan.

From: Burnell, Scott
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 12:53 PM
To: Poy, Stephen; Jackson, Deborah; White, Duncan
Cc: McIntyre, David
Subject: FW: CDPHE and NRC

All;

I can craft a response based on the Comm plan, if we feel a response is necessary. Please let me know ASAP.

Scott

From: Smith, Warren [<mailto:Warren.Smith@dphe.state.co.us>]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 12:11 PM
To: bob.silbernagel@gjsentinel.com
Cc: Salley, Mark W.
Subject: CDPHE and NRC

Bob: I understand you are interested in our statement on the NRC's inappropriate handling of issues related to the Piñon Ridge mill. Here it is, attributable to me:

A March 6 letter from Nuclear Regulatory Commission was surprisingly, and inappropriately, sent directly to a party that is suing the state over its issuance of a radioactive materials license to Energy Fuels. Following a robust public process, including two public hearings and six additional public meetings, the radiation program of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment issued the license to Energy Fuels on Jan. 5, 2011.

Now comes the NRC, which never formally expressed concerns about the public hearing process established by the state, with a letter to a litigant seeming to support its claim that the public hearing process was somehow insufficient. The NRC has been stunningly inconsistent on the public hearing issue. We raised the issue with NRC on several occasions around the application process and 2010 program review. As recently as the October 2011 NRC review of the Colorado statute and regulations, no incompatibility or corrective action was identified. Later, we believe federal officials flip-flopped and said they were reconsidering their answer.

On Feb. 27 NRC officials sent a letter to the radiation program of the Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division that misstated our previous conversations with them, giving us until the end of March

to respond. We asked for clarification on March 7, only to learn the NRC already had announced its predetermined decision in the March 6 letter without communicating this information directly to the state!

The Department understands that even though it is not required under state law, Energy Fuels proposed to the Sheep Mountain Alliance an opportunity for a hearing that was rejected.

Now the NRC is criticizing the state of Colorado in the press – even though it still has not issued a formal determination in the matter. This disappointing situation has caused severe damage to the state's previously productive working relationship with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. For a federal agency to come along at this late date and appear to muddy the waters is an outrage to all the community members, stakeholders and others who took the time to participate in the public process regarding the radioactive materials license.

Warren Smith, APR
Community Involvement Manager
Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment Hazardous Materials and Waste Management
Division
303.692.3373
[\[cid:image001.png@01CD035D.0A2C2700\]](#)