

From: McConnell, Keith
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 1:58 PM
To: Burnell, Scott; Michalak, Paul
Cc: McIntyre, David; White, Duncan; McDermott, Brian; Henderson, Pamela
Subject: RE: Boulder Weekly request for information

Scott:

These are more Agreement State issues that are more appropriately addressed by FSME/MSSA. I am forwarding this to them and will walk around to see if they can help today.

Keith

From: Burnell, Scott
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 1:55 PM
To: McConnell, Keith; Michalak, Paul
Cc: McIntyre, David
Subject: FW: Boulder Weekly request for information
Importance: High

Keith, Paul;

This reporter says she's on deadline today. The question of hearings in Colorado is obviously a tricky one right now. What answers can we provide immediately? Thanks!

Scott

From: Elizabeth Miller [<mailto:emiller@boulderweekly.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2012 1:50 PM
To: Burnell, Scott
Subject: Boulder Weekly request for information

Scott,

Here's the situation for the site, which has been referred to as the Valmont Butte Corp., Hendricks Mill and Allied Chemical sites over the course of it's ownership, I'm researching:

Historic mill operations at the site resulted in tailings ponds that have produced radiological results after testing for radium-226, natural uranium and polonium-210 that required the site to apply for a radioactive material license in 1971 (Radioactive Materials License Colo 171-01). That license was modified that same year for the ponds to intake additional tailings impacted by radium-226. Modified again in 1977 based on the new owner's planned activity at the mill, which included ongoing storage of radioactive material but not production of additional material (Radioactive Materials License Colo 329-01). The license was maintained through 1980, was not renewed but in 1984 the owner was informed that the license remained "in force" until terminated by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. The license was terminated in 1995.

At the moment, my questions are:

Given the NRC's requirements for public hearings, should the state have conducted public hearings for any, or all, of these license modifications, including the initial issuing of the licenses and the eventual termination?

I've heard that as soon as a license is terminated, the federal government is to take the site over. Is that true? What would that consist of?

What year did Colorado become an Agreement State with the NRC?

Let me know if you need additional information from me to answer these questions.

Thanks,
Elizabeth

Elizabeth Miller
Special Editions & Calendar Editor
Boulder Weekly
303-494-5511 ext. 107