

**McIntyre, David**

---

**From:** McIntyre, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 1:50 PM  
**To:** White, Duncan  
**Subject:** RE: Pinon Ridge Mill

OK thanks. I'll call you in bit. I've two other reporters to answer on this.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** White, Duncan  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 1:42 PM  
**To:** McIntyre, David  
**Subject:** RE: Pinon Ridge Mill

I don't know the legal ramifications.

The issue is an administrative matter. The NRC has pointed out to the State of Colorado that they need to hold a public hearing as required by the regulations (it's in the 2/27/12 letter). We are not asking the State to take any other action with regard to the Pinon Ridge license and are not make any other judgments with regards to what Colorado has already done.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** McIntyre, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 11:27 AM  
**To:** White, Duncan  
**Subject:** RE: Pinon Ridge Mill

Do we have an answer to #3?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** White, Duncan  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 11:24 AM  
**To:** McIntyre, David  
**Subject:** FW: Pinon Ridge Mill

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Darryl Roberts [<mailto:reporter@fone.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2012 9:37 AM  
**To:** White, Duncan  
**Subject:** Pinon Ridge Mill

Dear Mr. White,

My name is Darryl Roberts and I am a reporter for the San Miguel Basin Forum newspaper, based in Nucla, CO. I am hoping you might be able to provide some information related to the March 6, 2012 letter from Deborah Jackson to attorney Jeffrey C. Parsons concerning the licensing process for the proposed Pinon Ridge Mill. (attached) I'll try to keep it to just a few questions, and follow up as need.

1. It seems that there is a suggestion that the CDPHE failed to fulfill statutory requirements in approving licensure. Am I reading that correctly? And if so, what, precisely, did they fail to do?

2. I am of the understanding that the NRC handed authority over uranium mills to the state, is that correct?

3. What are the legal ramifications of the contentions in the letter?

How, if at all, will this impact the future of the Mill? Will Energy Fuels, in fact, have to start from scratch?

4. CDPHE has stated that they haven't even received the letter. Why was the attorney notified rather than the responsible agency?

Thank you in advance for your anticipated assistance. I sincerely appreciate any information you can provide.

Sincerely,

Darryl T. Roberts  
San Miguel Basin Forum